Application Printout

eGrant Management System

Printed Copy of Application

Applicatin: 000-0001 District of Columbia Public Schools
Application: 2015-2016 SIG Section 1003g - A0 - Coolidge HS
2015-2016 10/1/2015 - 9/30/2016
Cycle: Amendment 1
Date Generated: 2/1/2016 8:01:06 AM
Generated By: 5021

FY14 School Improvement Grant (SIG) Section 1003(g)

Name of Grant Program: FY 2014 Title I 1003(g) School Improvement Grant

2001 Reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, Title I, Part A, Subpart I Authorizations Total amount of funds for FY 2014 Title I 1003(g) School Improvement Grant (SIG): \$1,322,532.05 Amount of Funds:

Individual grant awards will range from not less than \$50,000.00 per school to no more than \$2,000,000.00 per each Priority and/or Focus school annually. model in its Priority and/or Focus schools that the LEA commits to serve The SEA will allocate SIG funds to a local education agency (LEA) in an amount that is of sufficient size and scope to support a sci

SY 2015-16 through SY 2017-18 Grant Period:

A. Purpose of the Title I Section 1003(g) School Improvement Grant:
Sc

B. Eligibility

B. Enginity
To ensure that SIG funds are targeted toward the schools that face the greatest academic challenges, OSSE will award SIG funds first to LEAs with Priority schools that: 1) will be in the implementation phase (the second year subsequent to identification and beyond) of school improved priority status for the 2015-16 school year; and 2) have not yet received SIG funds. While Focus schools are eligible to apply for SIG funding, the OSSE anticipates only awarding funds to approximately 2-4 Priority schools given the limited amount of available SIG funding (s1,22,23,23,03) and the large number of schools currently classified as Priority schools. as Priority schools are eligible to apply for SIG funding (s1,22,23,23,03).

C. Grant Award Information

Successful applicants may be awarded amounts less than requested. Should the LEA be awarded an amount less than requested, OSSE reserves the right to request and approve an amended budget and budget narrative prior to the first reimbursament. The sub-grant is offered for a period of three years from the date of award, not to exceed September 30, 2019, The applicant is to create a budget that corresponds to the length of the grant period. For example, the applicant must provide a budget and budget narrative that substantiates the need for a draw-down of the sub-grant award over three years. The total duration of this sub-grant shall not exceed three years, therefore, no budget and budget narrative for this award over three years. The total duration of this sub-grant shall not exceed three years, therefore, no budget and budget narrative for this award over three years.

The review panel for this RFA will be composed of neutral, qualified professional individuals who have been selected for their unique and related experiences. The panel will review, score, and rank each application. When the panel has completed its review, it shall make recommendations for awards based on the SIG Application Requirements.

The recommendations of the review panel are advisory only and not binding on the Office of the State Superintendent of Education. The final decision on awards is vested solely with the State Superintendent of Education. After reviewing the recommendations of the panel and any other information considered relevant, the OSSE shall make the decisions regarding which applications will be awarded and the amounts to be funded,

E (a), Renewal of Funding

t (a) a networked transfer the following factors annually in determining whether to renew funding:
The SEA will consider the following factors annually in determining whether to renew funding:
The SEA will annually evaluate whether the LEA has made sufficient progress on the implementing the selected model with fidelity, the LEAs sub-grant will be
considered for repeal.

turing I if there is evidence of fraud or fiscal irregularity in the use of funds for their intended purpose

F. Permissible Use of Funds

Securious transports to the control of the control

The SIG funds must supplement, not supplant, existing services and may not be used to supplant federal, state, local or nonlederal funds. Programs may not use SIG funds to pay for existing levels of service funded from any other source. An LEA that command/or Focus schools must ensure that each of these schools receive all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of the SIG funds.

Grant funds can be paid by a sub-grant recipient to partnering LEAs and non-LEAs. Partnerships may be with entities such as other LEAs, non-profit organizations, and institutes of higher education. An applicant who intends to provide sub-grant funding to a third-party must identify that third-party and must provide evidence of that third-partys expertise in micrealing student achievement and improving teacher effectiveness. The use of these funds by a third-party must be captured in detail in the proposed budget and budget narrative.

G. Reporting and Accountability Requirements:
Applicants awarded SIG funds must satisfy periodic reporting and accountability requirements throughout the term of the supgrant. These requirements address: (1) program accountability; (2) fiscal reporting requirements; (3) site visits; and (4) program evaluation.

1. Program Accountability:
a)Each identified Priority and/or Focus school and LEA receiving SIG subgrant funds is responsible for carrying out its school improvement responsibilities under ESEA Section 1116(b) and (c), respectively.

b) Each LEA and school receiving a STG subgrant is responsible for carrying out its school improvement responsibilities in accordance with its approved subgrant application and im vernent plan. This includes making progress toward annual school goals

ols, the LEA must provide school-level data on all of the metrics designated by the Department.

2. Fiscal Reporting Requirements:

The LEA must include on its application a list of each of the schools served, their National Council on Education Statistics (MCES) Identification flumber, Classification, the intervention model selected for each school, the total amount of funds requested to implement chosen intervention model, amount of funds (of total amount) to be allocated to the school, and amount of funds (of total amount) to be used at the LEA level to provide services to the school.

If selected as part of a site visit sample, LEAs and their funded schools must agree to site visits by the Department of Education (the Department), the Office of the State Superintendent of Education or the regional consortia. The site visit is intended to validate information provided in expenditure and program evaluation reports and gather more detailed information on implementation efforts and challenges, and provide technical assistance and support.

sible for fulfilling the following program evaluation re

a, Report annual accountability data to the OSSE including, but not limited to:

Fiscal information on the use of grant funds provided under ESEA Section 1003(g)

ii. Measures to demonstrate implementation of research- and evidence-based strategies identified in the subgrant application

iii. The number and percentage of students who score proficient in reading/language arts and mathematics, as measured by the states annual assessments, both overall in the LEA and for each school receiving funds through this application

iv. Whether the LEA has met its Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

v. Respond to any specific data requests from the Depar

b. Utilize annual student achievement goals and student achievement data to evaluate the effectiveness of improvement strategies identified in the SIG subgrant application for purposes of local monitoring and continuous in

c. In addition, the OSSE will review the performance of participating schools on the ten leading indicators identified by the Department in its 2015 SIG guidance (see Leading Indicators tab).

LEAs with eligible Priority and/or Focus schools may apply for SIG funding through this application. When recommending sub-grant applications for funding, the OSSE will recommend funding those applications that fully comply with all requirements not to meet those requirements will not be recommended for funding. The SEA will only consider awarding funds to those LEAs that develop and submit a comprehensive and viable application likely to improve student academic achievement.

Each LEA application will be reviewed and scored according to the following process against the Application Rubric (See Application Rubric tab).

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

a. Insurance
Each applicant must submit a Certificate of Insurance that reflects the coverage and amount under the policy as well as the dates of coverage and renewal.

ne prior final payment and for three (3) years thereafter, the District and respective jurisdictional administrative agencies may have the applicants expenditure state

Nondiscrimination in the Delivery of Services a accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Public Law 88-352), as amended, no person shall, on the grounds of race, color, religion, nationality, sex, or political opinion, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any program activity

d. W-9

If not already on file with the Office of Public Charter School Financing and Support (OPCSFS) or needs to be updated, the Applicant is submitting a completed and signed W-9. It is, the responsibility of the Applicant to ensure that a current, signed W-9 is on file with OPCSPS.

e. Additional Information
The OSSE reserves the right to request and be provided with addition

f. Monitoring and Reporting
The OSSE will monitor the sub-grantee through the following but not limited to: site visits, periodic telephone check-ins, etc. The specific schedules will be established and agreed upon immediately after the grant is awarded.

g. Organizational and Governance Documents

Upon notification of award, sub-grantees must certify that the following documents are on file at its business offices: organizational charts, signed articles of incorporation, and any other organizational and governance documents of the agency

h. Cooperation with OSSE

The sub-grantee will:

The sub-grantee will:

-Cooperate with the GSSE in evaluating the program
-Compily with the guidelines of each turnaround model
-Provide OSSE with data as requised (i.e., Leading Indicators)
-Participate in all monitoring to be conducted at both the LEA/school level
-Provide evidence of full-implementation of the chosen turnaround model
-Submit reimbursements in accordance to OSSE Reimbursement Guidelines
-Maintain appropriate financial management practices as required, including tracking activity; and

i. Conflict of Interest Sub-grantees must avoid apparent and actual conflicts of interest when admir

The RFA can be found here.

| jocelyn.basley@dc. | gov | | |
|---|--|---|------------------------|
| christina.ashford@d | | | |
| hasan, ashshaheed | pdc.gov | | |
| antione.vinson⊕dç | gov | | |
| | | | |
| Universal Number System (DUN) G Funds Coordinator Contact: | | System of Award Management (SAM) Expiration Date | 05/11/2016 |
| First Name* | Sarah | | |
| Last Name* | Lee | | |
| Title* | Director, OSTP | State* | DC |
| Street Adress* | 1200 First St. NE | Zipcode* | 20002 |
| City* | Washington | Fax* | 202 724 2021 |
| Email* | sarah.lee@dc.gov | Phone* | 202 719 6607 Extension |
| | Has the Local Educational Agency Completed CRR Registration? | | |

LEA Plans and Capacity A

Massa provide a brief narrative for each of the following sections:

- Please describe the LEAs overall capacity to provide adequate resources and related support in order for each school identified in its application to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the selected school intervention model. Examples of LEA capacity may include, but should not be limited to, having appropriate staff to lead implementation, the ability to recoult new principals to lead improvement efforts, or the identification of a charter management organization or education management organization to lead the implementation of the selected

Click here for a full description or the 2xx monograms and control (CFRG). The OSTP team will support the schools directly by conducting implementation meetings with Principals and Both schools will be supported by DCPS central office of School Turnarround and Performance (OSTP) and the Office of Federal Programs and Crants (OFPG). The OSTP team will support the schools directly by conducting implementation meetings with Principals and school staff, monitoring grant activities throughout the school year; as well as providing schools with any technical assistance being fixed, personnel, or programmatic. The OFPG Team will work with OSTP to insure that schools are meeting their burn rates each quarter as well as schools staff, monitorially discovered by the schools throughout the grant period. DC Public Schools has an extensive process to recruit and attain the set school address as well as a schools with the period of the office of throughout videos as well as a school with the period of the office of throughout videos as well as school when the period of the office of through and capital focuses heavy for necruiting schools leaders who have experience and success in effectively leading instruction, managing talent and operations, family eggagement, as well as other competencies that are included in DCPS leadership framework. The district makes an effort to attain principals that have experience within Turnaround Schools in order to ensure that we are able to handle school leadership transitions at our failing schools. The recruitment process starts in December and Principals are assigned schools by June of every school year.

- 2. Please describe the actions the LEA has taken, or will take, to:
- a. Recruit, screen, and select external providers with the requisite quality and expertise necessary to support and provide assistance to the LEA or to schools in implementing redesign plans, # applicable. Please include the credentials, experience, and qualification relevant task;
- . Modify its practices or policies to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively;
- Consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEAs application and implementation of school improvement models in its Priority and/or Focus schools. Please describe how the LEA has consulted, or will consult, with relevant stakeholders, including parents, community members, teachers unions, and school board members, regarding the LEAs application and implementation of school improvement models in its Priority and/or Focus schools and how their input will be factored into the LEAs application.
- d. Requiarly review external providers performance and hold external providers accountable, if applicable
- e. Sustain the reforms after the funding period ends;

(4990 of 5000 maximum characters used)

(490 of 5000 maximum characters used)
DCPS has a rigorous process to ensure that all external vendors have a clear SOW, along with proper qualifications to faithfully fulfill all tenants of the SOW with fidelity. Our procurement team works with all program claff to ensure that all external vendors have a clear SOW, along with proper qualifications before a regulation is entered. The Central Office XTI Specialst, Directory COTT, and the South and control of the support support suppo

| 3. Effective Oversight and Support | | |
|--|---|--|
| LEAs that accept the Title I 1003(g) school improvement funds agree to Management Office. The team will coordinate the support, as well as mo | extablish a SIG Support Team to oversee the implementation of the selected models and strates initor, and assess the progress for, each of the identified schools. | gles in Priority, and/or Focus schools. The team must include an individual from the LEAs Title I/Gran |
| lame of SIG Support Team Member | Title | Responsibility |
| Antione Vinson | Grants Specialist | Ensure timely spending of grant for each school |
| arah Lee | Director of OSTP | Oversee overall implementation |
| David Pinder | Instructional Superintendent | Provide programmatic consultation and ensure |
| o-Anne Henry | Director of RTI | Provide programmatic consultation |
| ane Spence | Deputy Chief of Secondary Schools | Provide programmatic consultation |
| lasan Ashshaheed | Fiscal Management Specialist | Work with OSTP to ensure timely spending of |
| Doug Abbott | Data Specialist | Provide data review and progress monitoring s |
| Annmarie Ekey | School Turnaround Manager | Conduct ESEA site visits to review overall prog |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

LEA Plans and Capacity C

How often will the SIG Support Team meet? Where will the team meet?

(183 of 500 maximum characters used)
The SIG Support Team will meet on a quarterly basis at the least (more frequently if needed) to discuss SIG implementation progress, The support team will meet at OCPS Central Office.

How often will the team report on its work and the work of SIG schools to LEA leadership?

(146 of 500 maximum characters used)
The SIG Support Team will report on implementation progress at each SIG school to DCPS leadership on a guarterly basis, more frequently as needed.

How will the team remain engaged with and aware of school progress in implementing the selected models?

(492, of 500 maximum characters used)

OSTP Grant Specialist will meet with school leadership and business manager at each SIG school on a quarterly basis to discuss implementation progress; OSTP Data Specialist will review RTI data and support the Grant Specialist in this capacity with progress monitoring on a monthly basis, Instructional Superintendent will conduct monthly walk-throughs to ensure program implementation fidelity; and OSTP School Turnaround Manager will conduct two ESEA Site Visits to review overall progress.

What process will the team use to identify implementation challenges faced by the schools, elevate them to the appropriate parties, and ensure the challenges are addressed in a timely manner?

(322 of 500 maximum characters used)

Through the support team's quarterly check-in meetings, as well as each team member's touch points with each SIG school described above, the support team will be able to identify implementation challenges faced by the schools so that they can elevate them to the appropriate parties as needed and ensure the challenges are addressed in a timely manner.

. If applicable, what other leadership teams/offices will provide oversight and technical assistance to SIG schools?

(196 of 500 maximum characters used)
The Office of Teaching and Learning, the Office of Specialized Instruction, and the Office of Data and Strategy will provide additional oversight and technical assistance to SIG schools as needed.

Schools to be Served: A

An LEA must serve all eligible Priority and/or Focus schools unless it lacks sufficient capacity to do so. An LEA must also demonstrate that it has the capacity to serve all of the schools it does include in its application for funds.

OSSE will evaluate the rationale provided by each LEA to ensure that LEAs utilize funds to effectively intervene in as many of its Priority and/or Focus schools as possil

If the LEA is not applying to serve each of its Priority and/or Focus schools, the LEA must include in its response below why it lacks sufficient capacity to serve each Priority and/or Focus school. The LEA must describe specific elements of capacity that are lacking.

(476 of 50) maximum characters used)
OFFs is subminishing the SIG application for 2 Priority schools, Coolidge HS and Woodson HS, based on the amount of SIG funding available and OSSE's guidance that the SEA anticipates to award 2-4 schools within this particular cohort of SIG. Additionally, the funds available to Cohort 4.
SIG schools are not sufficient to effectively support DCPS' 45 Priority and Focus schools. OSSE is aware of this capacity constraint towards supporting over half of the District's schools.

Proposed Plan for Funding Allocations for Schools Applying for SIG Funding
Below, please indicate the full name of the school/campus that the LEA proposes to support with 1003(g) funds and provide all requested information.

| Name and Address of participating School/Campus | National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) ID Number for School/Campus | | School Enrollment | Schools Selected Intervention Model (Turnaround, Transformation, Restart, Closure, Evidence-Based Whole School Reform Model) | Amount Allocated for this School/Campus | Allocated for LEA to Provide Services to this | Total Amount of Funds Requested to Implement Selected Interventions |
|---|---|----------|----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Coolidge HS: 6315 5th St NW, Washington, DC 20011 | 110003000081 | Priority | 395 | Transformation | \$612,160.17 | \$49,105.86 | \$661,266.02 |
| Woodson HS; 540 S5th St NE, Washington, DC 20019 | [110003000055 | Priority | 639 | Transformation | \$612,160.17 | \$49,105.85 | \$661,266.02 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

LEAs choosing to implement an Evidence-Based Whole School Reform Model must use a U.S. Department of Education approved provider, Please use the following link to obtain fail - http://www.y.ed.gov/programs/st/sizevidence

Please provide the following information for each school applying for SIG funding:

1. A description of the STG Implementation Team for the school, including each members role within the school, each members credentials, how often the team will meet, and the process by which STG decisions will be made and progress monitored. (497 of 500 maximum characters used)

Richard Jackson (Principal: Natters Ed leadership & Urban Ed., 1,D.); Carmille Robinson & Tomeka McKenzie (Asst Principals; Nasters in Ed Leadership); James Flowers (Student Dean: Bachelors); Mary Huysman (Instructional Coach) Ph.D. English); Alexis Richburg (Business Manager: Bachelors); Teacher Leads and SPED/ELL teachers'the SIG team will meet as an RTI team bi-weekly to review, reflect, and adjust RTI implementation accordingly. Coolidge team will consult OSTP with major changes as needed.

2. A description of the schools student achievement over time. What progress, if any, has the school made in improving student achievement in key measures of progress (e.g., value added scores, school-wide proficiency rates, proficiency rates for targeted sub-populations of students)?

Coolidge's reading proficiency based on DC CAS has been increasing incrementally over the past 3 years: 31.6% in 2012, 27.3% in 2013, and 33.3% in 2014.Coolidge's math proficiency based on the DC CAS shows no significant growth over the past 3 years: 31.6% in 2012, 27.3% in 2013, and 33.3% in 2014.Coolidge's 4-yr graduation rate has been going down over the past 3 years: 59% in 2012, 53% in 2013, and 51% in 2014.

3. A detailed and data-based analysis of the needs of the school that assesses the current status of the schools implementation. Use the data and needs assessment to identify the current state of implementation across the Seven Turnaround Principles.

a. How the school analyzed multiple sources of data (e.g., DC-CAS, growth, other achievement data, perceptual and behavioral data) by sub-groups, grades, and other categories to identify explanations for achievement outcomes and to identify patterns in the data;

OSTP Data Specialist met with school leaders to review the major school performance data points, such as SRI, Agile Mind, attendance, behavior, and course marks. After triangulating the data school leaders identified key focus areas for the school year by conducting a root cause analysis, Based on the school's overall literacy rates in SY14-15 (SRI profisency rate of 25% for Coolidge and 18% for Woodson), school leadership decided to implement RTI to address this immediate need.

The stakeholders involved in the data analysis and needs assessment, including school leaders, staff, community stakeholders and families;

(488 of 500 maximum characters used)

OSTP guided school leaders and the Instructional Superintendent with assessing school needs, conducting root cause analysis, and conceptualizing comprehensive school improvement plans. Other DCPS offices (OYE, Teaching and Learning) were engaged in the process based on individual school needs. The needs assessments revealed that students had challenges engaging with the secondary curriculum because of their deficit in literacy, which impacted their performance in other subject areas.

The main issues of academic concern (e.g., the performance of students in particular subject areas, grades, sub-populations of students) that were determined through data analysis; and

(#27 or 300 maximum characters used)
The school's primary concern regards the students' inability to read on the secondary level based on the Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI) diagnostic data. Approximately a third of the student population requires either a Tier 2 or a Tier 3 reading intervention. Students are not able to angage with the High School controllers which negatively impacts overall student engagement and performance in all subject areas.

(496 of 500 maximum characters used)
The implementation of the RTT method by way of the SIG Transformation model was selected to address the school's critical need to support students who are struggling in attendance, behavior, and academics. Hore specifically, the school will focus on supporting Tier 2 and 3 students with reading deficits.

The RTI implementation will establish a platform to provide differentiated support to students and build teachers' capacity to support students with reading deficits.

Schools to be Served: B

- A description of the approach to school improvement that will be used in the identified school, The description must indicate which federal intervention model Turnaround, Transformation, Closure, Restart, Evidence-Based Whole School Reform Model, or Early Learning Model the LEA will begin, or has already begun, to implement in the LEA or school.
- a. The LEAs plans to design and implement interventions consistent with SIG final requirements (Also complete Action Plan tab).

The LEAs plans to design and implement interventions consistent with SIG final requirements (Also complete Action Plan tab).

(248 af 5000 maximum characters used)

Based on national studeies on RTI models/programs and DCPS student needs, DCPS will design and implement interventions consistent with the final requirements of SIG and will ensure that school improvement planning is completed prior to the start of the 2015-16 school year. With the SIG finding provided by CSSE, DCPS will provide interventions to bee Priority, Senior provides interventions to bee Priority, Senior provides interventions to be Priority, Senior provides interventions to be Priority, Senior provides and the SIG Models of the SIG Mo

- b. If applicable, how the LEA will implement evidence-based strategies in the school in accordance with the selected intervention model, to the extent practicable,
- (500 of 5000 maximum characters used)

As previously mentioned, DCPs will provide students with additional instructional time to build literacy, skills through the Response to Intervention (RTT) model, increase staff capacity to support students with literacy, use data to adjust implementation methods (RTT Coordinator), embed the positive behavioral intervention and supports model to enhance culture and climate, obtain various instructional platforms to reinforce the duly curriculum, and inform parents of individual student progress.

The LEAs plans to provide adequate resources and related support to each school it commits to serve in order to implement fully and effectively the selected intervention throughout the grant period;

(727 of 5000 maximum characters used)
The schools will be supported by DCPS central office through the Office of School Turnaround and Performance (QSTP) and the Office of Federal Programs and Grants (OPPG). The OSTP team will support the schools directly by conducting implementation meeting with Principals and schools are chools after monitoring grean activities throughout the school year; as well as providing schools with any technical assistance being fiscal, personnel, or programmatic. The OFPG Team will work with OSTP to ensure that schools are meeting thing their rates each quarter as well as helping with any great related amendments or clarifications. There will be clear and regular communication between the two barns and the schools through the grant period.

The other funds that will be directly dedicated to supporting the implementation of the proposed SIG turnaround model/intervention and how the LEA will align other resources (e.g. Title I funding) with the interventions.

(121 of 5000 maximum characters used)

The school is utilizing a portion of Title I funds to further support the implementation of the SIG Transformation model.

Any additional/other elements of capacity the LEA will employ to implement their SIG proposed/turnaround model in each school.

(3490 of 5000 maximum characters used)

(1490 of \$000 maximum characters used)

All DCPS schools begin their needs assessment cycle in May of the particular school year. Pollowing the budget season, all Principals review their instructional and staffing priorities for the upcoming school year with their Instructional Specialist, review and of year data includes formative assessments as well as student engagement indicators such as in-season standards, review provides the complete a root cause analysis. End of year data includes formative assessments such as Interim Assessments as well as student engagement indicators such as in-season standards, review provides analysis, acceled provides analysis, acceled provides analysis, acceledance in Ladership Teams as season standards, review provides analysis, acceledance in Ladership Teams as season standards, review provides analysis, acceledance in Ladership Teams and School Teams review better instructional priorities against identified root causes to develop strategies to vercome any barriers to implementation. These strategies are documented in the Comprehensive School Plan or Indistar Plan for Priority and Focus schools. After school teams review the state assessment results, added the instructional priorities and school teams are sense that instructional disperimented on the Comprehensive School Plan, or Indistar Plan for Priority and Focus schools. After school teams are sense the initial root causes analysis and indicate distinction or indistar Plan and additional root causes that may prohibit effective implementation and goals with the Local School Advanced in Version and School Teams and School Teams are selected to be finalized by September 20th and updated over the course of the school year. All formations are expected to be finalized by September 20th and updated over the course of the school year. The instructional superintendent continues to work with the Academic Leadership Team to prepare to the upcoming school year. All formations are depressed to the school year, the Instructional Superint

. For LEAs that choose to implement a Whole-School Reform model: Please describe how the LEA will: 1) implement a model with evidence of effectiveness that includes a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school to be served and 2) partner with a whole school reform model developer, as defined in the SIG final requirements.

(3 of 5000 maximum characters used)

N/A

6. For LEAs that plan to implement the Restart model: Please describe how the LEA will conduct a rigorous review of the charter school operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO) that it will select to operator, will hold the provider(s) accountable.

(3 of 5000 maximum characters used)

. Please describe how the selected schools will meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected intervention on an ongoing basis.

(1489 5 5000 maximum characters used)
As part of the principal prevaitment process, parents and community in the implementation of the selected individuals are appropriate for the school and its community. This part of the process aliminates have been provided to a forum to meet potential new testing and community in the process aliminates are invited to a forum to meet potential new testing and the school and its community. This part of the process aliminates have been provided through implementation of the school and its community. This part of the process aliminates are fully supported through implementation of the school and its community. This part of the process aliminates are fully supported through implementation of the school and its community. This part of the process are fully supported through implementation of the school and its community. This part of the process are fully supported through implementation of the school and its community. The part of the process are fully supported through implementation of the school and its community. The part of the process are fully supported through implementation of the school and its community. The part of the process are fully supported through implementation of the school and its community and its community. The part of the school and its community and its community. The part of the school and its community aneal and its community and its community and its community and its

Principle 1: School Leadership

Please complete the needs assessment for this school/campus and indicate the overall results for each of the Seven Turnaround Principles by indicator, (Please note: The four (4) digit number in parentheses (i.e., 1671) indicates Indicator and also connects to the Wise Ways; which are research briefs to support indicators of effective practices.)

School Leadership is an intervention strategy that consists of the following:

DO1: The principal regularly evaluates a range of teacher skills and knowledge, using a variety of valid and reliable tools. (1671)

D02: There is an established procedure for documenting the evaluation process, (1675)

D03: The principal provides timely, clear, constructive feedback to teachers, (1676)

DO7: The LEA ensures that school leaders act as instructional leaders, providing regular feedback to teachers to help them improve their practice. (1699)

CO3: The principal is a change leader. (1664)

CO4: The principal effectively and clearly communicates the message of change, (1665)

CO6: The principal, after reviewing the data, seeks quick wins. (1667)

CO7: The principal provides optimum conditions for a school transformation team to make decisions and act on their decisions. (1668)

CO8: The principal focuses on building leadership capacity, achieving learning goals, and improving instruction. (1712)

F10: The principal aligns professional development with classroom observations and teacher evaluation criteria. (1713)

Overall Rating of the school's current progress for Principle 1

Please provide additional comments in the space below describing your overall rating of principle 1 (optional)
(3326 of 5000 maximum characters used)
(3326 of 5000 maximum characters)
(33

Full Implementation Full Implementation Full Implementation Limited Development Limited Development Limited Development

Limited Development

| Principle 2: Effective Staffing Practices and Instruction | |
|--|---------------------|
| Effective Staffing Practices and Instruction is an intervention strategy that consists of the following: | |
| E03: The LEA/School has identified and established non-monetary staff incentives for performance, (1684) | Limited Development |
| EO4: The LEA/School has created several exit points for employees (e.g., voluntary departure of those unwilling, unable to meet new goals, address identified problems). (1685) | Full Implementation |
| EOS: The LEA/School has established and communicated clear goals and measures for employees performance that reflect the established evaluation system and provide targeted training or assistance for an employee receiving an uncatisfactory evaluation or warning. (1656) | Full Implementation |
| EDG: The LEA has negotiated expedited processes for performance-based dismissals in transformation schools. (1688) | Full Implementation |
| E08: The LEA/School facilitates swift exits to minimize further damage caused by underperforming employees. (1691) | Full Implementation |
| D04: The evaluation process is linked with the LEA's collective and individual professional development programs, (1677) | Full Implementation |
| D05: The LEA/School assesses the evaluation process periodically to gauge its quality and utility. (1678) | Full Implementation |
| EO1: The LEA/School has created a system for making awards that is transparent and fair. (1679) | Full Implementation |
| EQ2: The LEA/School has implemented a communication plan for building stakeholder support, for the system of awards, (1681) | Full Implementation |
| E07: The LEA has a team available to help principals as they deal with underperforming employees to minimize principals time spent dismissing low performers. (1690) | Full Implementation |
| FO1: The LEA/School provides professional development that is appropriate for individual teachers with different experience and expertise, (1992) | Limited Development |
| FO2: The LEA/School offers an induction program to support new teachers in their first years of teaching. (1693) | Limited Development |
| FO3: The LEA/School aligns professional development with identified needs based on staff evaluation and student performance. (1694) | Limited Development |
| FG4: The LEA/School provides all staff high quality, ongoing, job-embedded, and differentiated professional development. (1695) | Limited Development |
| F00: The LEA/School sets goals for professional development and monitors the extent to which it has changed practice, (1698) | Limited Development |
| F08: The LEA/School directly aligns professional development with classroom observations (including peer observations) to build specific skills and knowledge of teachers. (L700) | Limited Development |
| GOI: The LEA/school has a plan and process in place to recruit and retain highly-qualified teachers to support the transformation. (1846) | Full Implementation |
| GO2: The LEA/School has established a system of procedures and protocols for recruiting, evaluating, rewarding, and replacing staff. (1670) | Full Implementation |
| 101: The school has established a team structure among teachers with specific duties and time for instructional planning. (1711) | Full Implementation |
| 104: All teachers provide sound instruction in a variety of modes: teacher-directed whole-class; teacher-directed small-group; student-directed small group; independent work; computer-based; homework. (1719) | Limited Development |
| KO1: All teachers demonstrate sound homework practices and communication with parents. (1720) | Limited Development |
| Overall Rating of the school's current progress for Principle 2 | Limited Development |
| | |

Please provide additional comments in the space below describing your overall rating of Principle 2 (optional)

(985 of 5000 maximum characters used)

(1985 of 5000 maximum characters used)

Time is dedicated in the Morning Collaborative Planning Calendar for teachers to update Unit Planning Calendars and receive additional support when necessary, Teachers participated in assessments reviews, student data and student work reviews during department and grade level meetings. In addition, the Leadership Team meets regularly to analyze classroom observation data and student performance data to make decisions about faculty PD, Professional Development is aligned to teachers' needs and our school goals. The APs consistently provide feedback to teachers on their Unit Planning Calendars. According to Improvement: "His additional support staff to meet the needs of the Calendars and Calendars an

| Principle 3: Effective Use of Time | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Effective Use of Time is an intervention strategy that consists of the following: | |
| 304: The LEA/School has allocated funds to support extended learning time, including innovative partnerships. (1706) | Limited Development |
| 102: All teachers monitor and assess student mastery of standards-based objectives in order to make appropriate curriculum adjustments. (1715) | Limited Development |
| IDS: All teachers employ effective classroom management. (1721) | Limited Development |
| FDS: The LEA/School structures professional development to provide adequate time for collaboration and active learning. (1696) | Limited Development |
| 301: The principal is familiar with research and best practices associated with efforts to increase Jearning time. (1703) | Full Implementation |
| 106: The LEA/School creates and sustains partnerships to support extended learning. (1708) | No Development/Implementation |
| 107: The LEA/School ensures that teachers use extra time effectively when extended learning is implemented within the regular school program by providing targeted professional development. (1709) | Limited Development |
| Overall Rating of the school's current progress for Principle 3 | Limited Development |
| | |

Please provide additional comments in the space below describing your overall rating of Principle 3 (optional) (1016 of 9000 maximum characters used)

AE ELA II, Algebra I and Geometry students meet for these classes every day (as opposed to every other day like the other subjects) to ensure ample time to meet the rigorous goals of the Common Core Standards. Beginning ELL students take their ELA and Nathermatics courses with ELL teachers to that they have the additional alleguage support as necessary, Meeting and include pre-work when applicable), Notes, and Evaluations (when applicable). Agends are sent at these tables the substitutions of the meeting, Areas of Improvement. "Additional funds to support after school tutoring "hire additional Educational Aides to support ELL learners in their core subject and elective classes" Professional Development on effective reteaching strategies that align with our current schools**Combined Combinative planning time to strategically assess strategies that align with our current schools**Combined Combinative planning time to strategically assess strategies that align with our current schools**Combined Combinative planning time to strategically assess strategies that align with our current schools**Combined Combinative planning time to strategically assess strategies that align with our current schools**Combined Combinative planning time to strategically assess strategies that align with our current schools**Combined Combinative planning time to strategically assess strategies that align with our current schools**

| Principle 4: Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System | |
|---|---------------------|
| Curriculum, Assessment and Intervention System is an intervention strategy that consists of the following: | |
| H03: All teachers, working in teams, prepare standards-aligned lessons. (1718) | Limited Development |
| 103: All teachers, working in teams, differentiate and align learning activities with state standards. (1716) | Limited Development |
| HO2: All teachers assess student learning frequently using standards-based classroom assessments. (1717) | Limited Development |
| Overall Rating of the school's current progress for Principle 4 | Limited Development |
| Please provide additional comments in the space below describing your overall rating of Principle 4 (optional) (830 of \$000 maximum characters used) | |

(asu of sour maximum characteristics user)
Teaches create unit and lesson plants that are aligned with the Common Core Standards, Unit Assessments are also aligned to the Common Core Standards, and the department teams meet to collaborate on curricula. The Leadership team conducted professional development and Focus Walks on creating objectives and aligning them to assessments. Area of Improvement. Professional Development on effective releashing strategies that align evit her our current schedule Continued professional development and collaborative planning time for instructional teams to review student assessments and reasts inserventions. Professional development of standards to objective and schedules are necessary. Additional funds of schedules who are preferring below greate level (time 2 and 3 academic interventions). Continued professional development of standards interventions of the interv

| Effective Use of Data is an intervention strategy that consists of the following: | |
|--|---------------------|
| 108: The LEA/School monitors progress of the extended learning time programs and strategies being implemented, and uses data to inform modifications. (1710) | Limited Development |
| COS: The principal collects and acts on data from a variety of sources and in a timely manner, (1666) | Full Implementation |
| 101: The principal ensures that teachers align instruction with standards and benchmarks, (1714) | Limited Development |
| Overall Rating of the school's current progress for Principle 5 | Limited Development |
| Plasse provide additional comments in the space below describing your overall rating of Principle 5 (optional). 447 of 5000 maximum characters used) | |

Principle 6: School Culture and Climate

School Culture and Climate is an intervention strategy that consists of the following:

F09; The LEA/School creates a professional learning community that fosters a school culture of continuous learning. (1701)

IIC13: The school addresses other non-academic factors that impact student achievement, such as students' social, emotional, and health needs by way of additional counseling, access to ancillary services, or other supports.

Overall Rating of the school's current progress for Principle 6

Please provide additional comments in the space below describing your overall rating of Principle 6 (optional)
(855 of 5000 maximum characters used)
The School Support Team (Social Workers, Counselors, Psychologist, Nurse, etc.) meets weekly to determine necessary supports for students' social-temotional well-being and growth. Members of this team also lead small groups to support students, and discuss topics such as self-eatem, coping mechanisms, and dealing with conflict. The Dean or Students and Attendance Teams meet regularly to analyze student discipline and attendance data to determine necessary interventions and strategies. Regular assembles are also held to celebrate students and their accomplishments including Quarterly Awards Assembles (Honor Roll), Black History Month, and Should Could Dream tour, Special field trips are also conducted to reward students, Areas of Improvement.**Professional Development on Restorative Justice*Additional funds for incentive System (PBLD).

Limited Development Limited Development

| Effective Family and Community Engagement is an intervention strategy that consists of the following: | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| 102: The principal has assessed areas of need, selected programs/strategies to be implemented and identified potential community partners. (1704) | Limited Development |
| 103: The principal creates enthusiasm for extended learning programs and strategies among parents, teachers, students, civic leaders and faith-based organizations through information sharing, collaborative planning, and regular communication, (1705) | Limited Development |
| 105: The LEA assists school leaders in networking with potential partners and in developing partnerships. (1707) | Limited Development |
| KO2: The LEA/School has assigned transformation team members the task of creating a plan to work and communicate with stakeholders prior to and during implementation of the transformation, (1647) | No Development/Implementation |
| KO4: The LEA/School has engaged parents and community in the transformation process. (1649) | Limited Development |
| KOS: The LEA/School helps stakeholders overcome resistance to change. (1652) | Limited Development |
| Overall Rating of the school's current progress for Principle 7 | Limited Development |
| Plasse provide additional comments in the space below describing your overall rating of Principle 7 (optional) Sol of \$000 maximum characters used) | |
| Parent Tascher Student Association (PTSA) was just established at the end of the school year where the President was elected. A Senior Parent informational meeting was held to inform parents and situations about senior year executs and college a Communicator (persident inserting pass out each month to families year, pass of teach member (as Families by a required so that OLD). Communicator (persident inserting pass out each month to families and outreach. | nd career readiness.The COLTS |

School Goals

Schools that receive SIG funds are required to establish annual goals on the states assessment in both reading/language arts and mathematics. High schools must also establish goals for the 4-year graduation rate. As a result of DCs forthcoming transition to the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC), participating SIG schools may use 2014-15 as a baseline year for progress in the state assessment and identify goals for forthcoming years against that baseline (see example). However, for the 2015-16 year schools must establish goals using another void assessment.

Within 60 days of receiving 2014-2015 PARCC assessment results, LEAs must submit to OSSE reading/language arts and mathematics goals for the PARCC assessment for years 2 and 3 of implementation.

| Example | Current Performance | 2015-2016 Goals | 2016-2017 Goals | 2017-2018 Goals |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| PARCC Assessment- Reading/language arts | N/A | N/A | +4% increase from baseline | +6% increase from baseline |
| 4-year graduation rate | 55% | 58% | 65% | 70% |
| | Current Performance | 2015-2016 Goals | 2016-2017 Goals | 2017-2018 Goals |
| PARCC Assessment- Reading/language arts | | | 13% | 18% |
| PARCC Assessment- Math | | | 5% | 10% |
| Alternative assessment (Include name) | 57% (SRI: % met growth target) | 62% (SRI) | 67% (SRI) | 72% (SRI) |
| 4-year graduation rate | 56% (preliminary as of 8/12) | 61% | 66% | 72% |

Please describe the LEAs process of monitoring schools progress toward meeting the annual goals and progress on leading indicators as defined in the final requirements.

(776 of 5000 maximum characters used)
The goals listed above will be established between the Chancellor, Chief of Schools, Instructional Superintendent and Principal to meet five-year strategic goals embedded in School Leader DMPACT, All DCPS high schools are required to administer formative assessments hroughout the school year. These seasonsments include the Schoolstock Reading inventory for ninth and tenth graders (three times a year) and the Common Core aligned paced interim assessment (four times a year). These formative assessments are used to monitor progress throughout the year in addition to course pass rates and CollegeBoard data. These goals are also embedded in the School Leader's evaluation and are included in formal evaluations conducted by the Instructional Superintendent and Chancellor.

Action Plan for Implementation

Provide a detailed action plan for implementing the selected intervention(s) for the school/campus, This timeline must cover the full period of implementation through the life of the grant and must show that the basic elements of the selected turnaround model/intervention(s) will be up

| in Date | End Date | Short Description of Action Step | Person/s Responsible |
|--------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| /2015 | 07/31/2015 | | Pinder, Jackson, Abbott |
| 5/2015 | 08/07/2015 | YEAR 1 (SY 2015-2016) DCPS to provide guidance for school with conducting a school-level root cause analysis | Abbott |
| 7/2015 | 08/14/2015 | YEAR 1 (SY 2015-2016) DCPS to work with school to identify intervention method and SIG model | Lee, Vinson |
| 7/2015 | 08/21/2015 | YEAR 1 (5Y 2015-2016) School to Identify vendors for instructional programs and professional development | Jackson and RTI team, Vinson |
| 4/2015 | 10/01/2015 | YEAR 1 (SY 2015-2016) School to identify and hire RTI specialist position | Jackson, Vinson |
| 4/2015 | 08/21/2015 | YEAR 1 (5Y 2015-2016) School to create intervention blocks for additional time for differentiated instruction. | Jackson and RTI team |
| 07/2015 | 09/30/2015 | YEAR 1 (SY 2015-2016) DCPS/School to obtain quotes to order supplies | Richburg, Vinson |
| 07/2015 | 09/30/2015 | YEAR 1 (SY 2015-2016) DCPS/School to work with vendors and school teams to establish year 1 implementation plan and milestones | Jackson, Vinson, Lee |
| 24/2015 | 07/31/2016 | YEAR 1 (SY 2015-2016) School to work with vendors to provide teacher training on LDC, AVID, RJ, PBIS | Jackson, Vinson |
| 24/2015 | 06/30/2016 | YEAR 1 (SY 2015-2016) DCPS to conduct site visits to review implementation progress and spend down goals; Pfincipal, RTI Team, Instructional Superintendent, OSTP support staff to check-in regularly to progress monitor. | Ekey, Vinson, Pinder, Lee, Jackson |
| | | | |
| gin Date | End Date | Short Description of Action Step YEAR 1 (SY 2015-2016) DCPS and school to conduct final evaluation of Year 1 Implementation and adjust support mechanisms as necessary | Person/s Responsible Pinder, Jackson, Lee, Vinson, Abbott |
| 01/2016 | 08/31/2016 | | Lucia de la constanta de la co |
| 01/2016 | 07/31/2016 | | Pinder, Jackson, Abbott |
| | 08/15/2016 | | |
| oriotisticos | 08/31/2016 | YEAR 2 (SY 2016-2017) School to identify additional vendors for instructional programs and professional development | Jackson and RTI team, Vinson |
| 15/2016 | Occopes processes | | Jackson and RTI team |
| 01/2016 | 08/15/2016 | | Richburg, Vinson |
| | 08/31/2016 | | Jackson, Vinson, RTI Coordinator, Le |
| 24/2016 | Contract Contract Contract | YEAR 2 (SY 2016-2017) School to work with vendors to provide additional teacher training on LDC, AVID, RJ, PBIS as needed | Jackson, Vinson |
| 24/2016 | 06/30/2017 | | Ekey, Vinson |
| 24/2016 | 06/30/2017 | YEAR 2 (SY 2016-2017) Principal, RTI Team, Instructional Superintendent, OSTP support staff to check-in regularly to progress monitor | Jackson, Pinder, Lee, Vinson |
| egin Date | End Date | Short Description of Action Step | Person/s Responsible |
| 01/2017 | 08/31/2017 | YEAR 2 (SY 2016-2017) DCPS and school to conduct final evaluation of Year 2 Implementation and adjust support mechanisms as necessary | Pinder, Jackson, Lee, Vinson, Abbott |
| 01/2017 | 07/31/2017 | YEAR 3 (SY 2017-2018) DCPS to identify school essentials through a school-level needs assessment | Pinder, Jackson, Abbott |
| 15/2017 | 08/15/2017 | YEAR 3 (SY 2017-2018) DCPS to provide guidance for school with conducting a school-level root cause analysis | Abbott |
| 15/2017 | 08/31/2017 | YEAR 3 (SY 2017-2018) School to identify additional vendors for instructional programs and professional development | Jackson and RTI team, Vinson |
| 15/2017 | 08/15/2017 | YEAR 3 (SY 2017-2018) School to adjust intervention blocks for additional time for differentiated instruction | Jackson and RTI team |
| 01/2017 | 08/15/2017 | YEAR 3 (SY 2017-2018) School to obtain updated quotes to order supplies | Richburg, Vinson |
| 01/2017 | 08/31/2017 | YEAR 3 (5Y 2017-2018) DCPS/School to work with vendors and school teams to update goals for year 3 implementation and sustainability | Jackson, Vinson, RTI Coordinator, Le |
| | 07/31/2018 | YEAR 3 (SY 2017-2018) School to work with vendors to provide additional teacher training on LDC, AVID, RJ, PBIS as needed | Jackson, Vinson |
| | 06/30/2018 | | Ekey, Vinson, Pinder, Lee, Jackson |
| 01/2017 | 08/31/2018 | YEAR 3 (SY 2017-2018) DCPS and school to conduct final evaluation of Year 3 and overall SIG Implementation and review final results | Pinder, Jackson, Lee, Vinson, Abbott |
| | | | |
| Segin Date | End Date | Short Description of Action Step | Person/s Responsible |
| /01/2015 | 08/31/2018 | There are clear differences between the two schools, as demonstrated by graduation rates, in-seal attendance rate, and trusing yate, but the common challenge in that students in both schools are strongling in all content areas due to their below grade-level liberacy skills. While CDTS would love to differentiate the RTI support for these schools, the limited amount of funding available to Chohort 4 SIG schools forces us to address the main challenge at both schools, which is focusing on increasing the states and processional development needs between the schools are stated from the control of the action plan mirror one another, the challenge platforms and professional development needs between the schools are forced in the schools of the action plan mirror one another, the platforms, where it is booking to build the schools grade back on technological platforms, whereas, Woodson is to blooking to build the schools where specifically with technology and provide a multitude of platforms to ad the teachers with differentiated instruction delivery to the platform. Such as the schools are also sharing a RTI coordinator to ensure that teachers are informed of student performance and receive the further support with implementation. | Lee |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | AND A THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Leading Indicators

To inform and evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions identified in the final requirements for School Improvement Grants, OSSE and the U.S. Department of Education (USDE) will collect data on several metrics. While some data is already provided in EDPacts, several new metrics are lasted below.

OSSE reports these metrics to the USDE for the school year prior to implementing the intervention, if the data are available. This data will serve as a baseline. Thereafter, OSSE must report the data for each year for which funds are allocated to each participating Priority schools. If school closure is the selected intervention, the LEA only needs to report on the identity of the school and the intervention selected.

The table below illustrates the Priority school level data that must be collected by the LEA and submitted to OSSE after approval of the LEA application.

Required Reporting Metrics for Leading Indicators

Discipline incidents
Chronic Absenteeism
Student attendance rate
College enrollment rate
Dropoot rate where applicable
Teacher and Principal Attendance Rate
Number of minutes within the school year
Distribution of teachers by performance level on LEA's teacher evaluation system
Student participation rate on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by student subgroup
Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes
Process for submitting data on the Leading Indicator

Process for submitting data on the Leading Indicators

*Please describe the LEAs process for collecting and reporting on the leading indicators.

*DCPS has an internal real-time Student Information System called ASPEN that tracks and reports discipline incidents, chronic absences missing and DCPS reports the aggregated school-level data. The Collection of the Collection

Please identify who will be responsible for collecting and submitting the data.
The Data Systems Item in the Office of Chief Operating Officer is responsible for collecting and submitting the student-level, shoot-level data. The Office of Human Capital is responsible for collecting and submitting the personnel data.

Description of the student participation rate for PARCC.

| Below, please indicate the full name of the school/campus that the LEA proposes to sup | oport with 1003(g) funds and provide all requested information. | |
|--|---|--|
| Name of participating School/Campus: | Coolidge HS | |
| National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) ID Number: | 110003000081 | |
| School/Campus Address: | 6315 5th St. NW | |
| School/Campus City: | Washington | |
| School/Campus State: | OC. | |
| School/Campus Zipcode: | [20011] | |
| School/Campus Intervention Model Selected: | Transformation | |

Budget Overview

For each of the following six budget categories, the LEA must provide a full list of all planned expenditures from the School Improvement Program pool of funds. The total of all expenditures in this section must match the total amount being consolidated from all sources of funds.

A summary of the six budget category planned expenditures can be found on the Budget Summary tab.

Salaries and Benefits

| | Detail By Site | | | | | | | | Is | nstructio |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| The District Level Bodget page is identified by "000" Siles 2000 - District of Columbia Fublic Schools 200 | ofication has been approved. No more upd | ates will be sayed, | | | | | | | | |
| The District Level Budgeting \$133,121.36 Silection Available for Budgeting Program Category Program C | and evelope work expenditure arrespond that arrespond | us on the Budnet Summary. | | | | | | | | |
| the Budget Summary displays to ad in creating and editing the Request and will not display note the Request is submitted for the SEA. 109 109 500 500 500 1000 1000 1000 1000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date Amounts 100 200 400 50. | | sating and editing the Request and v | will not display once the Request is s | ubmitted to the SEA. | | | 700 | T #00 | Indirect Co | net |
| A Bludgeted Amounts by Budget Category 947,000.00 \$46,985.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 \$27,076.36 \$0.00 \$10,060.20 \$10,000 | | 100 | 300 | 400 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Sites 000 - District of Columbia Public Schools Go Incation Available for Budgeting S131,121.36 | t Budgeted Amounts by Budget Categ | | \$46,985,00 | \$0,00 | \$0.00 | \$27,076.36 | \$0.00 | \$10,060.00 | \$0,00 | |
| In additional detail lines; fill in all blank lines; and click Save Rage. 10 more blank lines will then be added at the bottom. Name of Individual Position Title Program Category salary during the 3 year period of availability | The District Level B | udget page is identified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| In additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and click Save Page. 10 more blank lines will then be added at the bottom. Name of Individual Position Title Program Category Total dollar amount of this salary during the 3 year period of availability | | | Site: 000 - Distr | rict of Columbia Public Sci | hools | Go | | | | |
| In additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and click Save Page. 10 more blank lines will then be added at the bottom. Name of Individual Position Title Program Category Total dollar amount of this salary during the 3 year period of availability | location Available for Budgeting 61 | 31 121 36 | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Individual Position Title Program Category State of Program Category Individual Position Title Po | | | lank lines will then be added at the b | sottom. | | | | | | |
| | | | | Total doll salary d | ar amount of this uring the 3 year | Expenditure Desc | cription and Itemization | SIC | -1003g Funds | Del Ro |
| | | | The owner of the second | period | of availability | SENCERCIAL NU | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | 2000 | 1 |
| 0.00 | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 1 |
| 0.00 | | | T | | | | | | 0.00 | 1 |
| 0.00 | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | T I |
| 0.00 | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 0.00 0.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Displayed: 1 Allication Available for Rudgeting 5131,121.36 (F) Total budgeted 5131,121.36 | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Total Displayed: | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| al Allicration Available for Rudgeting \$131,121.36 (F) Total budgeted \$131,121. | | | | | | | | | 0.003 | |
| Alloration Available for Budgeting \$131,221.30 | | | | | | | | Total | Displayed: | \$0 |
| al Alloration Available for Budgeting \$131,121.30 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4121.131.3 | | | | | (F) Total be | dgeted \$131 | ,121.36 |
| | al Allocation Available for Budgeting | | \$131,121.0 | | | | | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Budget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | Isal | Instructions |
|--|--|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| This application has been approved. No more updates will be saved | be saved. | | | | | | | | |
| Itenize and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the Budget Summary | e Budget Summary. | | | | | | | | |
| Olick here for Description of Program Category Values | o de la companya de l | de Bantant in eliberthan to the CEA | | | | | | | |
| Hotel: This budget Suffmerly displays to eld in creding a | To county the Nequest and will not objudy of | and the nequest is submitted to the services and 300 | | 200 | 009 | 200 | 800 | Indirect Cost | |
| Paid to Date Amounts | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 80.00 | 20'00 | 50.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Current Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985.00 | | \$0.00 | \$27,076.36 | | \$10,060.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Notes: The District Level Bu | The District Level Budget page is identified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| | | Site: 455 | Site: 455 - Coolidge HS | 8 | | | | | |
| Total Allocation Available for Budgeting \$131,121.36 | 36 | | | | | | | | |
| To obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and click Save Page. 10 more blank lines will then be added at the bottom. | d dick Save Page, 10 more biank lines will th | en be added at the bottom. | | | | | | | |
| Name of Individual | Position Title | Program Category | Total dollar amount of this salary during the 3 year period of availability | his salary riod of | Expenditure Descrip | Expenditure Description and Remization | 5 7 | SJG-1003g Funds | Delete Row |
| 081 | RTI Coordinator | 20-Support Services | | | ck implementation and compose pro cugh reviewing data. The incumben | Montor (track implementation and compose progress reports) and support Tier 2 and Tier 3 students through reviewing data. The incumbent will also support teachers and communicate | er3 A | 47000.00 | |
| | | 2 | | | | | < > | 00:00 | |
| | | | | | | | (2) | 0.00 | |
| | | > | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | > | | | | | 0 | 00:00 | |
| | | > | | | | | 0 | 00:0 | |
| | | > | | | | | < > | 0.00 | |
| | | 2 | | | | | | 00'0 | |
| | | > | | | | | 0 | 00.0 | |
| | | > | | | | | $\langle \rangle$ | 00:00 | |
| | | | | | | | | Total Osplayed: \$4 | \$47,000.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Professional Services

| Budget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | 1 | nstruction |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---|--------------|
| is application has been approved. No more updated will be | in service, | | | | | | | | |
| mize and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the B | indust Summer | | | | | | | | |
| ck here for Description of Program Category Values | augus saireneg. | | | | | | | | |
| ote: This Budget Summery displays to aid in creating and | edition the Request and will | I not display once the Request | is submitted to the SEA. | | | | | | |
| aid to Date Amounts | 100 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 500 \$0.00 | 700 \$0.00 | \$0.00 | Indirect C \$0.00 | |
| urrent Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$0.00 \$47,000,00 | \$0.00 \$46,985.00 | \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,076.36 | \$0.00 | \$10,060,00 | \$0.00 | |
| lotes: The District Level Budget pag | e is identified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| | | Site: 000 - 1 | District of Columbia Public Sch | nools | Go | | | | |
| stal Allocation Available for Budgeting \$131,121,36 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| o obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and cl | ick Save Page. 10 more blan | nk lines will then be added at t | he bottom, | | | | | *************************************** | 2000 |
| Item(s) to be Purchased | | Progr | ram Category | | Brief Description of Purp | ose of Purchase | SIG-10 | 3g Funds | Delet Row |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | NEC. |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | E |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | MIC |
| | | | | | | | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | | | | | Total Displ | iyed: | \$0.00 |
| A) Total Allocation Available for Budgeting | | \$131,12 | 11 36 | | | | (F) Total budget | ed \$131 | ,121.36 |
| n) Total Allocation Available for Budgeting | | 7131.11 | | | | | Remaining (A | | \$0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| The best of part of the first o | Budget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | | Instructions |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|
| Fe doing Stream 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1 | This application has been approved. No more updates will be saved. | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | fembe and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the Budget Sum | mary. | | | | | | | | |
| Section 1 to 1 departs and the Research is submired to the Section Secti | Click here for Description of Program Category Values | | | | | | | | | |
| 1000 | Note: This Budget Summary displays to aid in creating and editing th | se Request and will not display once the | ne Request is submitted to the SE | | | 204 | 404 | 0000 | 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | - |
| State Stat | Paid to Date Amounts | 100 | 300 | 400 | \$0.00 | 50.00 | \$0.00 | 00'0\$ | Judired C \$0.00 | 19 |
| Size (55 - Cooling and Control Burkline and | Current Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985.00 | \$0,00 | \$0.00 | \$27,076,36 | \$0.00 | \$10,060,00 | \$0.00 | |
| Site 155 - Cooldog NS | | s identified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| Program Category Description of Purpose of Purchase Stiff-100tdg Finals | | | Sites | 455 - Coolidge HS | > | 3 | | | | |
| Program Category Principle to include services to help teachers with Renery supports, pulsure and dringte pupports, behavior Program Category Principle to include services to help teachers will include trainest, conference teach materials, etc. V | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 Support Services Value Private training and more. Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, conference flees, materials, etc. Value Services will include trainings, etc. Value Val | To obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and click Save P | Page, 10 more blank lines will then be | added at the bottom. | | | | | | | |
| 100 Support Starrings 100 | Item(s) to be Purchase | 78 | | rogram Category | | Brief Description of Pur | pose of Purchase | | SIG-1003g Funds | Delete Row |
| | Professional development and trainings throughout the school year. | | | | PD and Trainings to includ- intervention supports, soft | services to help teachers with litera | cy supports, culture and climate s include trainers, conference fees | supports, behavior A , matenals, etc. V | 46985.00 | |
| | | | | > | | | | \Diamond | 00'0 | |
| 000 | | | | > | | | | <> | 0000 | |
| 0000 | | | | > | | | | V > | 000 | |
| No.00 | | | | > | | | | <> | 0000 | |
| 0000 | | | | > | | | | < > | 0000 | |
| 000 | | | | > | | | | < > | 0.00 | |
| 000 | | | | > | | | | < > | 0000 | |
| Total Displayed: | | | | > | | | | <> | 0.00 | |
| | | | | > | | | | < > | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | | Total Displayed: | \$46,985.00 |

Equipment

| Budget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | In | structions |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| This application has been approved. No more updates will be | be saved. | | | | | | | | |
| temize and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the E | D. J. S. | | | | | | | | |
| comize and explain each expenditure amount trial appears on the C Dick here for Description of Program Category Values | budger Surmary. | | | | | | | | |
| Note: This Budget Summary displays to aid in creating and | d edition the Request and will | not display once the Request i | is submitted to the SEA. | | | | | | |
| Paid to Date Amounts | 100 | 300 \$0.00 | 400 \$0.00 | 500 \$0.00 | 600 \$0.00 | 700 \$0.00 | \$0.00 | Indirect Co \$0.00 | ost |
| Current Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985,00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,076.36 | \$0,00 | \$10,060.00 | \$0,00 | |
| Notes: The District Level Budget pag | ge is identified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| | | Site: 000 - D | istrict of Columbia Public Sch | ools | Go | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Allocation Available for Budgeting \$131,121.3 | | | | | | | | | |
| To obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and c Item(s) to be Purchase: | | | e bottom. am Category | M DECEMBER OF STREET | Brief Description of Purp | ose of Purchase | SIG-10 | 03g Funds | Delete Row |
| Assures to the Parents | | | | | | OXIONALISMO SE | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | TF |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | No. |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 1 F |
| | | | | | | | | 0,00 | T |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | F |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | F |
| | | _ | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | - |
| | | | | | | | Total Disp | layed: | \$0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | (F) Total budge | ed #131 | 121.36 |
| (A) Total Allocation Available for Budgeting | | \$131,12 | 1.36 | | | | Remaining (| | \$0.00 |

| Judget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | 2 | Instructions |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|----------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| hs application has been approved. No more updates will be saved. | | | | | | | | | |
| emize and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the Budgel Summary | (interpretation of the control of th | | | | | | | | |
| ick here for Description of Program Category Values | | | | | | | | | |
| lote. This Budget Summary displays to aid in creating and editing the Request and will not display once the Request is submitted to the SSA. | Request and will not display once | the Request is submitted to the SEA. | | 100 | US | 700 | 800 | Indirect Cost | |
| Paid to Date Amounts | 0008 | 20.02 | 00.08 | \$0.00 | 20.00 | 00'05 | 80.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Current Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985.00 | 80.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,076.36 | \$0.00 | \$10,060.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Notes: The District Level Budget page is identified by "000" | identified by "900" | | | | | | | | |
| | | Site: | Site: 455 - Coolidge HS | > | 8 | | | | |
| otal Allocation Available for Budgeting \$131,121,36 | | | | | | | | | |
| To obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and click Save Page. 10 more blank lines will then be added at the bottom | Page. 10 more blank lines will then b | e added at the bottom. | | | | | | | |
| Item(s) to be Purchased | -22 | | Program Category | | Brief Description of Purpose of Purchase | se of Purchase | SIS | SIG-1003g Funds | Delete Row |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0.00 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 0.00 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0.00 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 00'0 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0.00 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 00'0 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 00'0 | |
| | | | | | | | | Total Displayed: | \$0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 |

Supplies and Materials

| Budget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | I | nstruction |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| This application has been approved. No more applates will b | e saved, | | | | | | | | |
| territze and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the B | odget Summary, | | | | | | | | |
| lick here for Description of Program Category Values | | | | | | | | | |
| lote: This Budget Summary displays to aid in creating and | editing the Request and wil | I not display once the Request | is submitted to the SEA. | | | , | | | |
| Paid to Date Amounts | 100 \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 400 \$0.00 | 500 \$0.00 | 600 \$0.00 | 700 \$0.00 | 800 \$0.00 | Indirect C \$0.00 | |
| Current Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985,00 | \$0.00 | \$0,00 | \$27,076.36 | \$0.00 | \$10,060,00 | \$0,00 | |
| Notes: The District Level Budget pag | e is identified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| | | Site: 000 - 1 | District of Columbia Public Sch | nools | Go | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| otal Allocation Available for Budgeting \$131,121.36 | | | | | | | | | |
| o obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and cli Item(s) to be Purchased | | | am Category | M SERVICE | Brief Description of Pur | oose of Purchase | SIG-1 | 003g Funds | Delet |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | Г |
| | | | | | | | | 0,00 | Г |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | F |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | I |
| | | | | | | | Total Dis | played: | \$0.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| (A) Total Allocation Available for Budgeting | | \$131,12 | 1.36 | | | | (F) Total budg | | ,121.36 \$0.00 |
| | | | | | | | Remaining | (4-1) | \$0.00 |

| Budget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | | Instructions |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| This application has been approved. No more updates will be saved, | | | | | | | | | |
| Nemice and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the Budgat Summary. | J.p. | | | | | | | | |
| Click here for Description of Program Category Välues | | | | | | | | | |
| Note: This Budget Summary displays to aid in creating and editing the Request and will not display once the Reguest | Request and will not display once | he Request is submitted to the SEA. | | 444 | 200 | 901 | 0000 | today Co | |
| Paid to Date Amounts | 100 | 300 | | 20.00 | 80.00 | 00'0\$ | 80.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Current Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,076.36 | 80.00 | \$10,060.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Notes: The District Level Budget page is identified by '900' | dentified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| | | Site: 4 | Site: 455 - Coolidge HS | > | 8 | | | | |
| Total Allocation Available for Budgeting \$131,121.36 | | | | | | | | | |
| To obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and click Save Page. 10 more blank lines will then be added at the bottom. | age, 10 more blank ines will then b | | | | | | STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN | | Dellar |
| Item(s) to be Purchased | Last of the second | Pro | Program Category | | Brief Description of Purpose of Purchase | se of Purchase | | SIG-1003g Funds | Row |
| Student Supports and Materials | | (10-Instruction | 5 | Materials to support studen books and materials, texts | Materials to support student instruction and learning development. To include online softwares, it hardware, library books and materials, texts and instructional manuals, etc. | t. To include online softwares,it h | andware, ibrary A | 27076.36 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 000 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 0000 | |
| | | <> | > | | | | <> | 0.00 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 00'0 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 00.0 | 0 |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 00:0 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 00:0 | |
| | | | | | | | | Tota Displayed: | \$27,076.36 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Fixed Property Costs

| y. equest and will not display once the Request 00 300, 00 \$0.00 00.00 \$46,985.00 1 by "000" Site: 000 - D | s submitted to the SEA. 400 \$0.00 \$0.00 | 500 \$0.00 \$0.00 | 600 \$0.00 \$27,076,36 | 700 \$0.00 \$0.00 | 800 \$0.00 \$10,060,00 | Indirect C \$0.00 \$0,00 | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------|
| equest and will not display once the Request 1 300 300 40.00 60.00 60.00 \$46,985.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| equest and will not display once the Request 1 300 300 40.00 60.00 60.00 \$46,985.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 00 300 .00 \$0.00 00.00 \$46,985.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| .00 \$0.00 100,00 \$46,985,00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 000.00 \$46,985.00 Lby "000" | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,076.36 | \$0,00 | \$10,060.00 | \$0,00 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Site: 000 - D | | | | | | | |
| | istrict of Columbia Public School | s | Go | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | RESIDEN | Brief Description of Pur | pose of Purchase | SIG-1 | 003g Funds | Delet |
| | | | | AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY | | 0.00 | NO. |
| | | | | | | 0.00 | Г |
| | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | 0.00 | 1 |
| | | | | | CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR | 0.00 | Г |
| | | | | | | 0.00 | - |
| | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | 0.00 | F |
| | | | | | | | T. |
| | | | | | | 0,00 | 1 |
| | | | | | Total Dis | olayed: | \$0.0 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| \$131,12 | 1.36 | | | | | | \$0.00 |
| | Progra | Program Category Program Category State of the state of | Program Category | Program Category Brief Description of Pur | Program Category Brief Description of Purpose of Purchase | Program Category Brief Description of Purpose of Purchase S10-11 | Program Category |

| The special content of the special content | Budget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | Inst | Instructions |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| Figure 2 and the lighted in the label State of the | This application has been approved. No more updates will be saved. | | | | | | | | | |
| The Changes of Paris (1974 1974 1974 1974 1974 1974 1974 1974 | Itemze and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the Budget Sumn | mary. | | | | | | | | |
| The following 1 and 1 an | Cick here for Description of Program Category Values | O and see and see her developed | a Danisatie cilimited to the CS | | | | | | | |
| The Detect Leef Rodge gaps a described by WOT State Stat | NOTE: HIS DOUGH, SUBMINERY WEIGHT TO SHE IT COMMING SON COMING SIN | 100 | 300 | | 200 | 009 | 700 | 900 | Indirect Cost | |
| The Control Level Budge gags accreted by 1000 State (655-Concispent) And the line in a bidder in a b | Falla to Date Amounts | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$6.00 | |
| Size (EST-Concident Section 2) (Size (EST-Concident Section 2) | Current Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985.00 | \$0.00 | 30,00 | 37/10/000 | 20,00 | porpoolote. | notine | |
| Site: 455 - Cooldge HS Not Description of Purpose of Purchase SIG-100dg Finals V 0.000 0.000 Total Despised: 0.000 | | identified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| Program Category Bird Description of Purpose of Purchase Site 1000g Finds Description of Purpose of Purchase Description of Purpose of Purpose of Purchase Description of Purpose of | | | Site: | 455 - Coolidge HS | > | | | | | |
| Program Category Shed Description of Purchase Sife-joining Funds Description of Purchase Description of Pu | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program Category Brief Description of Purchase Stig-tiotogy Funds | To obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and click Save P. | Page, 10 more blank lines will then b | added at the bottom. | | | | | | | |
| | Item(s) to be Purchased | P | | rogram Category | | Brief Description of Pur | pose of Purchase | IS | G-1003g Funds | Row Row |
| 1000 | | | | > | | | | <> | 000 | |
| 0000 | | | | > | | | | <>> | 0.00 | |
| Coro | | | | > | | | | 33 | 0000 | |
| Cool | | | | > | | | | <>> | 000 | |
| 1000 0000 | | | | > | | | | <>> | 00.00 | |
| 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 | | | ×> | > | | | | <>> | 00'0 | |
| 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0 | | | C> | 7 | | | | <> | 00'0 | |
| 0.00 0.00 Total Displayed: | | | <> | > | | | | <> | 00.0 | |
| Treal Displayed: | | | | > | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | > | | | | <> | 00:0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | Tetal Displayed: | \$0.00 |

Other Objects

| udget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | li li | nstructio |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| is application has been approved. No more updates will b | te saved. | | | | | | | | |
| nize and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the B | Sudnet Summery | | | | | | | | |
| kk here for Description of Program Category Values | | | | | | | | | |
| ote: This Budget Summary displays to aid in creating and | editing the Request and will | I not display once the Request | is submitted to the SEA. | | | | | Indirect C | |
| aid to Date Amounts | 100 \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 400 \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 600 \$0.00 | 700 \$0.00 | \$0,00 | \$0.00 | |
| urrent Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985,00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,076.36 | \$0,00 | \$10,060.00 | \$0.00 | |
| otes: The District Level Budget pag | je is identified by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| | | Site: 000 - F | District of Columbia Public Sch | nols | Go | | | | |
| | | | Table of Control of Control | | | | | | |
| tal Allocation Available for Budgeting \$131,121,36 | | | | | | | | | |
| obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and cl | | | | | Brief Description of Purp | ose of Burchase | SIG-100 | 3g Funds | Dele Rov |
| Item(s) to be Purchased | PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA | Progr | am Category | | Brief Description of Parp | ose of Function | | 0.00 | Rov |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | F |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | T |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | T |
| | | | | | | | | 0,00 | F |
| | | | | | | AUTOMORPH CANADA | | 0.00 | F |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | F |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | F |
| | | | | | | | | 0.00 | LO |
| | | | | | | | Total Displa | wed! | \$0.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| k) Total Allocation Available for Budgeting | | \$131,12 | 1.36 | | | | (F) Total budgete | | ,121.36 |
| | | | | | | | Remaining (A- | F) | \$0,00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Budget Detail By Site | | | | | | | | | Instructions |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------|------------------|---------------|
| This application has been approved. No more updates will be saved, | | | | | | | | | |
| Henice and explain each expenditure amount that appears on the Budget Summary | ary | | | | | | | | |
| Click here for Description of Program Category Values | | | | | | | | | |
| Note: This Budget Summary displays to aid in creating and editing the Request and will not display once the Request is submitted to the SEA | Request and will not display once t | ne Request is submitted to the SEA. | | | | | | | |
| Paid to Date Amounts | 100 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 500 500 | 700 en no | 800 | Indirect Cost | |
| Current Budgeted Amounts by Budget Category | \$47,000.00 | \$46,985.00 | \$0.00 | 00:00 | \$27,076.36 | \$0.00 | \$10,060.00 | 80.00 | |
| Notes: The District Level Budget page is identified by '000" | dentied by "000" | | | | | | | | |
| | | Site: 4 | Site: 455 - Coolidge HS | > | 8 | | | | |
| Total Allocation Available for Budgeting \$131,121,36 | | | | | | | | | |
| To obtain additional detail lines, fill in all blank lines, and click Save Page. 10 more blank lines will then be added at the bottom | age, 10 more blank lines will then by | added at the bottom. | | | | | | | |
| Item(s) to be Purchased | | | Program Category | | Brief Description of Purpose of Purchase | pose of Purchase | | SIG-1003g Funds | Delete Row |
| Additional Professional development and trainings throughout the school year | nod year. | SD-Other Expenses | > sesses | PD and Trainings to includ intervention supports, soft | PD and Trainings to include services to help teachers with iteracy supports, culture and climate supports, behavior intervention supports, software training and more. Services will include registration, travel, conference fees, | acy supports, culture and cimate s include registration, travel, confe | supports, behavior A | 10050.00 | |
| | | <> | > | | | | < > | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 00'0 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0.00 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 00'0 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | < 3 | 0000 | |
| | | | > | | | | < > | 000 | |
| | | | > | | | | <> | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | Total Displayed: | \$10,060.00 |
| 10. | | | | | | | | | |

Budget Summary (Read Only)

Site: All Budgets Combined

| Code | Activity Description | 100 - Salaries and Benefits | 300 - Professional Services | 400 - Property Services | 500 - Equipment | 600 - Supplies and Materials | 700 - Fixed Property Costs | 800 - Other Objects | TOTAL |
|------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 0 | Instruction | | | | | 27,076.36 | | | 27,076.36 20.65 % |
| 0 | Support Services | 47,000.00 | 46,985,00 | - | | | | | 93,985,00 71,68 % |
| 0 | Other Expenses | | <u> </u> | 1 | | | | 10,060.00 | 10,060.00 7.67 % |
| ubtotal | Konson took and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a | 47,000,00 35.84 % | 46,985.00 35,83 % | | | 27,076.36 20.65 % | | 10,060.00 7,67 % | 131,121,36 100.00 % |
| Total Buda | | 33.64 76 | 33.03 70 | | | | | | 131,121.36 |

Go

| Program | Burd | det. | Stim | mary |
|---------|------|------|------|------|

Complete Year 2 and Year 3 budgets keeping the total arround budgeted constant and adjust the category amounts as necessary.

| BUDGET CATEGORIES | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 100 Salaries and Benefits | 47000.00 | 47000.00 | 49105.86 | 143105.86 |
| 300 Professional Services | 46985.00 | 46985.00 | 46980.98 | 140950.98 |
| 500 Equipment | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 600 Supplies and Materials | 27076.36 | 13840.00 | 13840.00 | 54756.36 |
| 700 Fixed Property Costs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 800 Other Objects | 10060.00 | 10060.00 | 10060.00 | 30180.00 |
| Total Direct Costs (Objects 100-800) | 131121.36 | 117885,00 | 119986,84 | 368993.20 |
| Indirect Costs | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total Costs (Direct and Indirect) | 131121,36 | 117885,00 | 119986.84 | 368993.20 |

Provide a description of the expenditures in the categories (Salaries & Benefits, Professional Services, Equipment, Supplies & Materials, and Other Objects) for three years of funding. Summarize in detail how each item will raise the achievement of students in their lowest performing schools and why it is necessary in implementing the selected School Improvement Grant model. Please ensure that the information provided aligns with the Application.

Pleasectlick here to complete.

Program Specific Assurances

By checking this box and saving the page, the applicant hereby certifies that he/she has read, understood and will comply with the assura

The Local Education Agency (LEA) hereby assures the State Education Agency (SEA) that:

- The LEA certifies that all of the information contained in this application is true and accurate to the best of its knowledge, Additional the LEA agrees to all assurances included in the application
- The LEA shall use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively interventions in each Priority and/or Focus school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final requirements.
- The LEA shall establish annual goals for student achievement on the States assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements in order to monitor each Priority and/or Focus school that it serves with school improvement funds.
- If it implements a restart model in a Priority and/or Focus school, the LEA will include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements; The LEA shall monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved SIG application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality;
 The LEA shall monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved SIG application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools on how they can sustain progress in the absence of SIG fundings and

- The LEA will report to OSSE any documents deemed relevant by the OSSE, including the school level data required under section III of the final requirements. *
 *Please see the following link that outlines the final requirements of the SIG program:
 https://www.forearlegister.poy/articles/2015/1009/2015-02570/inal-requirements-school-improvement-grants-title-hof-the-elementary-and-secondary-educ
 The LEA must administer each program covered by the application in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.

- The control of funds provided under each program, and title to property acquired with those funds, will be in a public agency and that a public agency must administer those funds and property.
- The LEA must use fiscal control and fund accounting procedures that must ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, federal funds paid to the LEA under each program. The LEA must make reports to the OSSE and to the U.S. Secretary of Education as may reasonably be necessary to enable the OSSE and the Secretary to perform their duties and that it will maintain such records, including the records required under section 1232F of the General Education Provisions Act, and provide access to those records, as OSSE or the Secretary deem necessary to perform their duties.
- 12. The LEA must provide reasonable opportunities for the participation by teachers, parents, and other interested agencies, organizations, and individuals in the planning for and operation of each program.
- 13. Any application, evaluation, periodic program plan or report relating to each program must be made readily available to parents and other members of the general public.
- The LEA has adopted effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating to teachers and administrators participating in each program significant information from educational research, demonstrations, and similar projects, and for adopting, where appropriate, promising educational practices developed through such projects.
- 15. None of the funds expended under any applicable program will be used to acquire equipment (including computer software) in any instance in which such acquisition results in a direct financial benefit to any organization representing the inferests of the purchasing entity or its employees or any affiliate of such an organization.
- 16. The LEA must include in its application a description of the steps the subgrantee proposes to take to ensure equitable access to, and participation in, its Federally-assisted program for students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries with special needs, as required by Section 427 of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA). The statute highlights six types of barriers that can impede equitable access or participation: gender, race, national origin, color, disability, and age.
- 17. The LEA must track and account for each source of School Improvement funds separately from all other funds.
- 18. The LEA must retain all records of the financial transactions and accounts relating to the proposed project for a period of five years after the termination of the grant agreement and shall make such records available for imspection and audit as necessary
- 19. The LEA acknowledges and agrees that the completion of this application, or the approval to fund an application, will not be deemed to be a binding obligation of the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) until such time as the Grant Award Notification (GAN) is delivered to the applicant.
- 20. The LEA must receive prior written approval of a revised LEA application from the OSSE before implementing any project changes with respect to the purposes for which the proposed funds are awarded.
- 21. The LEA must comply with applicable Office of Nanagement and Budget (QMB) Circulars, including, but not limited to ONB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments; OMB Circular A-102, Grants and Cooperative Agreements with State and Local Governments; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and OMB Circular A-130, Audits of States, Local Governments, and OMB Circular A-130, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nan-Profit Organizations.
- 22. The LEA must have financial management systems, procurement systems, and equipment and inventory management systems that enable the LEA to demonstrate compliance with federal grants management requirements, including the requirement that all expenditures made with federal funds are necessary, reasonable, allocable, and legal.
- 23. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making or renewal of Federal greats under this program.
- 24. The LEA will comply with civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, and age. (available at http://www.ed.gov/policy/gen/leg/recovery/notices/civil-rights.html).
- 25. The Local Education Agency has consulted with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEAs application and implementation of school improvement intervention models in its Priority and/or Focus schools.
- 23. In a LEA needly assures OSSE that the LEA will retain all records related to the needs assessment for this school and will provide copies of those records (i.e., background information that substantiates results of needs assessment) to OSSE upon request.
- 27. The LEA shall submit to OSSE within 60 days of receiving 2014-2015 PARCC assessment results both reading/language arts and mathematics goals for Year 2 (2016-2017) and Year 3 (2017-2018) of implementation.

Program Specific Assurance Narrative

Neating the Requirements of the General Provisions Act, Section 427
Provide a description of how the LEA will comply with the requirements of Sections 427 of GEPA.

(For additional guidance, see:http://www.ed.gov/funil/grant/apply/appforms/gepa427.doc.)

(115 of 5000 maximum characters used)
DCPS adheres to Section 427 of General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) by ensuring equity of instruction for all students. The use of these funds are to support tiered instruction under the RTI model. We anticipate that students who are allest or designed to the support tiered instruction are able to do unable to receive the tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction. In credible work and development to happening in curriculum and instruction in the support tiered instruction. In credible work and development to happening in curriculum and instruction are able to support tiered instruction. The support tiered instruction in the support tiered instruction are able to support tiered instruction. The support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction. The support tiered instruction are able to do under the support tiered instruction and curriculum, students within the same grade-level will all be able to speak the same language around the same lesson.

Program Specific Assurances

By checking this box and saving the page, the applicant hereby cartifies that he/she has read, understood and will comply with the assurances listed below

The Local Education Agency (LEA) hereby assures the State Education Agency (SEA) that:

- The LEA certifies that all of the information contained in this application is true and accurate to the best of its knowledge. Additionally the LEA agrees to and provides all assurances included in the application.
- The LEA will use its School Improvement Grant (SIG) under Section 1003(g) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended, to implement fully and effectively interventions in each Priority school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final requirements for the SIG program, adopted by the U.S. Department of Education.
- The LEA will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements in order to monitor each Priority school that it serves with SIG funds.*
- If it implements a restart model in a Priority school, the LEA will include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization, as appropriate, accountable for complying with the final requirements.
- The LEA will monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved SIG application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality.
- The LEA will monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved SIG application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools on how they can sustain progress in the absence of
- The LEA will report to OSSE any documents deemed relevant by the OSSE, including the school level data required under section III of the final requirements.*

 * Please see the following link that outlines the final requirements of the SIG program:

 https://wwwZ.ed.gov/programs/sif/2010-27313.pdf
- The LEA will administer each program covered by the application in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations and amendments thereto, including 20 USC 6303(g); the District of Columbia Flexibility Waiver; the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards at 2 CFR Part 200; the Education Department Administrative Regulations, at 34 CFR Parts 76, 77, 82, 84, and 99.
- The LEA will administer the grant in accordance with all approved program plans and applications
- The LEA assures that control of funds, and title to property acquired with those funds, will vest in the LEA and the LEA will administer those funds and property
- 11. The LEA assures that it will use fiscal control and fund accounting procedures that ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, federal funds paid to the LEA.
- 12. The LEA will make reports to the OSSE and to the U.S. Secretary of Education as may reasonably be necessary to enable the OSSE and the Secretary to perform their duties and that it will maintain such records, including the records required under section 1232f of the General Education Provisions Act, and provide access to those records, as OSSE or the Secretary deem necessary to perform their duties.
- 13. The LEA will provide reasonable opportunities for participation by teachers, parents, and other interested agencies, organizations, and individuals in the planning for and operation of each program
- Any application, evaluation, periodic program plan or report relating to each program must be made readily available to parents and other members of the general public.
- 15. The LEA has adopted effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating to teachers and administrators participating in each program significant information from educational research, demonstrations, and similar projects, and for adopting, where appropriate, promising educational practices developed through such projects.
- None of the funds expended under any applicable program will be used to acquire equipment (including computer software) in any instance in which such acquisition results in a direct financial benefit to any organization representing the interests of the purchasing entity or its employees or any affiliate of such an organization. 16.
- 17. The LEA will include in its application a description of the steps the LEA proposes to take to ensure equitable access to, and participation in, its federally-assisted program for students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries with special needs, as required by Section 427 of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA). The statute highlights six types of barriers that can impede equitable access or participation: gender, race, national origin, color, disability, and age.
- 18. The LEA will track and account for each source of School Improvement Grant funds separately from all other funding sources.

 19. The LEA will retain all records of the financial transactions and accounts relating to the proposed project for a period of five years after the termination of the grant agreement and shall make such records available for inspection and audit as necessary.
- 20. The LEA acknowledges and agrees that the completion of this application, or the approval to fund an application, will not be deemed to be a binding obligation of the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) until such time as the Grant Award Notification (GAN) is delivered to the applicant.
- 21. The LEA acknowledges and agrees that it must receive prior written approval of a revised LEA application from the OSSE before implementing any project changes with respect to the purposes for which the proposed funds are awarded.
- 22. The LEA has financial management systems, procurement systems, and equipment and inventory management systems that enable the LEA to demonstrate compliance with federal grants management requirement and with federal funds are necessary, reasonable, allocable, and legal. ments, including the requirement that all expenditures
- 23. The LEA assures that no Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making or renewal of Federal grants under this program.
- 24. The LEA will comply with civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, disability, and age, as required in 34 CFR 76.500.
- 25. The Local Educational Agency has consulted with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school in vement intervention models in its Priority Schools.
- 26. The LEA hereby assures OSSE that the LEA will retain all records related to the needs assessment for this school and will provide copies of those records (i.e., background information that substantiates results 27. The LEA will submit to OSSE within 60 days of receiving 2014-2015 PARCC assessment results both reading/janguage arts and mathematics goals for Year 2 (2015-2016) and Year 3 (2016-2017) of implement
- 28. The LEA will ensure that each Priority school that it commits to serve receives all of the State and local funds it would receive in the absence of the school improvement funds and that those resources are aligned with the interventions.

Assurances
Consistancy Check was run on:
LEA Data Entry
LEA Administrator submitted the application to OSSE on;
Grant Admin - Final Review completed on:
12/15/2015
12/13/2015