

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument**

Program Year 2010 - 2011

Name of Program:

Report Period	Report Period	Submission Due Date	Prepared By:	Reviewed By:	Contracted Enrollment By Quarter:	Actual Enrollment By Quarter:
07/01/10 – 09/30/10	Qtr. 1	N/A				
10/01/10 – 12/31/10	Qtr. 2	Monday, January 31, 2011				
01/01/11 – 03/31/11	Qtr. 3	Monday, May 9, 2011				
04/01/11 – 06/30/11	Qtr. 4	Monday, July 4, 2011				

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011 Summary of Ratings**

Tab Title	Page Number(s)
Instructions	3
Summary of Measures (Descriptions Only)	
Measures: A.1 - A.9	6
Measures: B.1 - B.5	6
Measures: C.1 - C.6	6
Measures: D.1 - D.5	7
Measures: E.1	7
Summary of Checklist Items	8
Summary of Ratings (Totals)	10
Summary of Directives & Items Due	11
GED Reporting	15
Folder Summary	16
Measures A1-E1 with Self-Assessment (SA)	17
AFE Performance Targets - NRS Table 4	27
AFE Performance Targets - NRS Table 5	31
Student Roster per quarter	72
Student Follow-up	73
Program Income	80
Preliminary Monitoring Folder Samples (1-6)	81
Final Preliminary Folder Sample (1-6)	87

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011 Overview of Monitoring Process**

Purpose of Monitoring:

- To evaluate the program's progress to-date toward contracted goals and outcomes as agreed upon in the program's Grantee Award Notification (GAN),
- To determine if the funded program will be able to achieve contracted goals and outcomes by program year-end,
- To ensure that the funded program has qualified staff, procedures, and systems in place to achieve contracted outcomes,
- To ensure that the program is following OSSE's Assessment, Goal Setting, and Follow-up policies and procedures,
- To ensure that the data management system is producing accurate and reliable
- To assess a funded program's instructional quality,
- To compare the program's grant expenditures to-date with approved budget,
- To identify program strengths and areas needing improvement, and
- To recommend technical assistance and professional development for the program that will help improve performance.

Length of Visit: On average the final monitoring visit will last about three hours.

Instrument: The Grantee Monitoring Instrument evaluates programs in the following areas:

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement

Category B: Instructional Models and Methods

Category C: Program Management and Leadership

Category D: Data Management

Category E: Bonus Points

Types of Monitoring:

Self-Assessment - Grantee will be required to assess its performance by completing the Self-Assessment (SA) Tabs in the Monitoring Instrument on a quarterly basis.

Desk Review - Grantee performance will be determined via Desk Review in LACES and via the submission of specific documentation/evidence to OSSE AFE electronically.

On-site Preliminary and Final Review/Visit - Grantees will participate in an onsite monitoring review/visit.

Points: The final monitoring instrument has 100 total points. Each category has measures that have been assigned points. In addition, programs may also earn 5 bonus points which are detailed in Category E. Bonus points will be awarded if the program's Cumulative EFL completion percentage is at least 5% higher at time of monitoring visit than the EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.

Grantees must follow OSSE's Assessment Policy which states that "Learners must be assessed in the areas that are the focus of instruction, using the appropriate CASAS test in reading, math, writing, speaking, or listening comprehension." Therefore, unless otherwise exempted, a funded program is expected to administer CASAS pre-tests and post-tests to students.

Based on checklist evidence and discussions with provider staff, the monitoring team will assign a rating to each measure based on defined criteria. Unless a funded program has negotiated with the OSSE to be exempt from a particular measure—and has this in writing—all measures will be assigned a rating by the monitoring team.

Checklist: Programs must have all information on the checklist available to monitors for review during monitoring reviews/visits. All documentation must be maintained in the Evidence Binder.

Folder Sampling: As part of the preliminary and final monitoring visits, monitors will select 6 student folders for review. All folders for students enrolled in the current program year (active and exited from the program) must be available for review at the location where the monitoring visit is to take place.

As part of the folder review, the monitoring team will review folder contents and verify key National Reporting System (NRS) data in LACES (Literacy Adult Community Education System) to determine data reliability and accuracy.

Financial Review: The monitoring team will review OSSE grant expenditures to date (as indicated on Federal and Local cost reimbursement requests) in comparison with the approved OSSE grant budget. Programs must also maintain documentation as to how it is meeting the Federal Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement. Additionally, program income, generated from fees and tuition, must be reported on the Tab - Program Income in the Monitoring Instrument. Documentation of how program income was expended must be maintained in the Evidence Binder as well.

Results: Based on the scored self-assessments, preliminary and final monitoring reviews/visits, checklist evidence, folder samplings, classroom observations, and the general discussion between the monitoring team and provider staff, the monitoring instrument will be summarized and rated. The monitoring team will work with program providers to identify strengths, areas of concern, technical assistance needs, grant expenditures to-date, and continuous improvement directives.

Follow-up Monitoring Visits: The monitoring team may conduct additional follow-up visits throughout the program year.

Provider Disagreements: Within 10 business days of receiving the final monitoring instrument, a program provider may submit a written statement to the OSSE Adult and Family Education Director regarding any issues or concerns with the scoring of the instrument. The statement must clearly identify the issue or concern and provide a rationale or justification as to why the rating or information should be changed. Within 10 business days, the OSSE Adult and Family Education Director will decide whether or not to change the rating or information. The OSSE Adult and Family Education Director's decision is final.

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011 Summary of Measures**

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement (Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)	Category B: Instructional Model and Methods (The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.)	Category C: Program Management & Leadership (The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.)
Measure A.1: Program is meeting Y-T-D enrollment goals per Grant Award Notification (GAN) and program has process to accurately capture instructional hours.	Measure B.1: Program is able to demonstrate that student profile by competency and class profile by competency are being completed and student goals are being established.	Measure C.1: Evidence that the organization has an independent audit that is no more than 16 months old and the audit does not list any material or significant weaknesses. If the audit is in process, then the organization must provide a recent, filed 990.
Measure A.2: Evidence that the organization follows the OSSE testing protocol.	Measure B.2: Lesson plans that incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency, and student goals as well as use appropriate curricula, multiple delivery methods and approaches to meet the diverse learning needs of students.	Measure C.2: At least semi-annually, program is formally and informally evaluated by and solicits input from stakeholders (e.g. learners, volunteers, funders, community representatives, peer review agency, etc) to ensure quality service delivery and continuous improvement.
Measure A.3: Enrolled students who have 70 or more instructional hours have validly matched CASAS pre-tests and post-tests in reading and math or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension).	Measure B.3: Classroom observations demonstrate satisfactory level of instructional quality that uses information contained in Measures B.1 and B.2.	Measure C.3: All OSSE funded positions are filled with qualified individuals and program has notified OSSE within 30 business days when staff changes or vacancies occur in OSSE funded positions.
Measure A.4: Enrolled students who are post-tested make educational gains as evidenced by percentage of enrolled students who complete educational functioning levels (EFL).	Measure B.4: Program has a system to adequately screen learners for possible learning disabilities <i>and/or</i> refers students for screening or appropriate LD services <i>and/or</i> the program seeks support to make appropriate accommodations for adult learners with learning disabilities.	Measure C.4: The organization and program follow accounting practices that conform to accepted standards. Financial system can produce required reports and financial system has sound fiscal management processes and structures.
Measure A.5: Enrolled students make educational gains as evidenced by the average point increases in CASAS reading and math scores or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension).	Measure B.5: Program uses a coordinated process for program and staff development that includes a professional development plan for each OSSE funded position, teacher improvement plan for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form and evidence of staff training regarding effective instruction, support of adults with learning disabilities, and program leadership & management.	Measure C.5: All required reports were accepted and approved by OSSE.
Measure A.6: For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 4, the program is meeting at least 50% of each applicable target as required.		Measure C.6: A review of cost reimbursement requests and invoices, if applicable, submitted to OSSE shows that documents are accurate and reviewed expense categories have supporting documentation with no material discrepancies.
Measure A.7: For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 5, the program is meeting at least 50% of each applicable target as required.		
Measure A.8: The ratio of the number of achieved goals/outcomes to the total number of participants indicates that the program is effectively tracking goal progress for enrolled students and that students are achieving goals in their roles as workers, parents and family members, citizens and community members, and lifelong learners.		
Measure A.9: Students are retained in program long enough for educational advancement and goal achievement.		

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011 Summary of Measures**

<p>Category D: Data Management (Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.)</p>	<p>Category E: Bonus Points</p>
<p>Measure D.1: Program has an established process for collecting and entering data accurately and efficiently into LACES.</p>	<p>Measure E.1: At the time of the monitoring visit, the provider's cumulative Educational Functioning Level (EFL) completion percentage is at least 5% above the cumulative EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.</p>
<p>Measure D.2: Required forms/documents reviewed in sampled folders are available and acceptable.</p>	
<p>Measure D.3: Sampled CASAS reading and math or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension) pre-tests have been administered correctly and scored accurately.</p>	
<p>Measure D.4: Data verified on LACES is accurate and reliable.</p>	
<p>Measure D.5: CASAS scores were entered according to LACES protocol to ensure that entry Educational Functioning Levels (EFL) were accurate for enrolled students.</p>	

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Summary of Checklist Items - To be included in Evidence Binder		
Tab #	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures
1	Copy of current year's Grant Award Notification (GAN). A copy of Class schedule, Program Profile and Program Contact Information Sheet.	A.1, B.3
2	1. Y-T-D NRS tables (at point of monitoring visit) and 2. NRS tables from the previous program year. (This information is not required if a program did not receive OSSE funding the previous program year).	A.1, A.3, A.4, A.6, A.7, A.8, E.1
3	Document that details the process and procedures used to track and tally attendance for enrolled students. Attendance sign-in sheets (for at least 50% of classes) and/or template used to track attendance should also be included in this tab.	A.1
4	Document that details recruitment and retention strategies that will be used to achieve enrollment contracted with OSSE and to retain students. Also include in this tab marketing materials (include web address) used for student recruitment. Document that discusses recruitment strategies should include enrollment dates, how recruited, and any other recruitment strategies used. Marketing materials must be up-to-date and provide accurate program information. Website content will also be reviewed.	A.1
5	Current OSSE Desk Review at time of monitoring visit.	A.3, A.5, A.6, A.8 and A.9
6	Student Assessment Report, Student Goals and Names Report, Student Goals Met Report and a Schedule for conducting student goal follow-up and the process(es) used	A.5, A.7 and A.8
7	Completed CASAS Class Profiles by Competency for at least 50% of classes being offered at time of monitoring visit.	B.1
8	Outline of specific curricula for each program component (ABE, GED, ESL, Workplace Literacy, Family Literacy, etc) and a listing of other materials (Steck Vaughn, etc) used to provide instruction. Program outline may be a syllabus. Curricula outline should also include how CASAS Student Profiles by Competency, CASAS Class Profiles by Competency, and student goals guide curricula development Information on contextual learning, self-directed learning, active and passive learning methods, and if possible computer assisted instruction should also be included as appropriate.	B.2
9	Lesson plans that show how student profile by competency, class profile by competency, and/or student goal information are used to guide instruction. Lesson plans should also include information on contextual learning, self-directed learning, active and passive learning methods, and if possible computer assisted instruction.	B.2
10	Written procedures on screening and referral processes for adult learners suspected of having a learning disabilities.	B.4
11	This tab will have two components: 1. Professional development plans for each OSSE funded staff person and teacher improvement plans for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form. 2. Table that lists any trainings attended or certifications received by staff related to instruction, learning disabilities, program management and leadership, etc. Include OSSE and non-OSSE trainings and certifications. Table must also identify which staff is CASAS certified. Copies of any certificates that staff or key volunteers have received should be accessible if monitors need to review or have questions.	B.3, B.5

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

<u>Summary of Checklist Items - To be included in Evidence Binder</u>		
Tab #	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures
12	Organizational audit that is not more than 16 months old at time of monitoring visit.	C.1
13	Forms used to evaluate program, summary of information obtained from survey or input, and how this information was used to help ensure quality service delivery and continuous program improvement. Input should be received from students and other stakeholders such as volunteers and paid teachers, administrative staff, funders, etc. To demonstrate how information was used for program improvement, provide information that details the issue/concern raised from feedback received and what program modifications were implemented.	C.2
14	This tab will have four pieces of information: 1. Job descriptions for positions key to the OSSE grant. Job descriptions are current and reflect the roles and responsibilities of staff and volunteers. Include volunteer "job" description or commitment form. 2. Table that lists the OSSE funded position(s) and the name of the person in each OSSE funded position. 3. Resumes for all OSSE funded staff and other key staff as appropriate. 4. Approved OSSE Program Change Form(s) submitted to OSSE for staff changes. 5. Key Personnel Form	C.3
15	Financial policy that details bookkeeping responsibilities, staff responsible for each, and checks and balances in place.	C.4, C.6
16	This tab has two components: 1. Copy of approved budget for OSSE grant funds for current year and financial statement that clearly shows Y-T-D expenses including documentation of Maintenance of Effort (MOE) and cost reimbursement for 2009- 2010 and 2010-2011 program year. 2. Copy of Program Change Form for any budget modification and a copy of the modified budget.	C.4, C.6
17	Current program year quarterly reports with all required attachments.	C.5
18	Written data management plan that details staff person(s) responsible for data entry, error identification, and error resolution. Evidence also shows staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been trained.	D.1
19	Document that shows specific examples of how data was used for program improvement. This should include issues raised by the data and strategies used for program improvement.	D.2
20	Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. <i>This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. (See Instructions on that detail how to generate and save this report) Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours.</i>	D.3, D.4, D.5
21	Blank student registration form.	Used as reference only
22	Blank goal setting form.	Used as reference only
23	Student handbook and/or outline of student orientation.	Used as reference only

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011 Summary of Ratings**

Category A Learner Recruit., Retent., Prog., & Involvement

Category B Instructional Model and Methods

Category C Program Management and Leadership

Category D Data Management

Total Before Bonus Points

Category E Bonus Points

Grand Total

Total Points Available	Total Points Applicable to Provider	Self Assessment 1st Quarter	Self Assessment 2nd Quarter	Self Assessment 3rd Quarter	Self Assessment 4th Quarter	Monitoring Total Points Awarded PY 2010-2011	Monitoring % of Points Received (Col B/Col C)
39	39	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
		0	0	0	0	0	0.0%

Measure	Total Points Applicable to Provider	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total Points Awarded 2010-2011
A.1	4	0	0	0	0	0
A.2	1	0	0	0	0	0
A.3	8	0	0	0	0	0
A.4	8	0	0	0	0	0
A.5	4	0	0	0	0	0
A.6	2	0	0	0	0	0
A.7	2	0	0	0	0	0
A.8	6	0	0	0	0	0
A.9	4	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Category A	39	0	0	0	0	0

Measure	Total Points Applicable to Provider	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total Points Awarded 2010-2011
B.1	4	0	0	0	0	0
B.2	4	0	0	0	0	0
B.3	5	0	0	0	0	0
B.4	3	0	0	0	0	0
B.5	4	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Category B	20	0	0	0	0	0

Measure	Total Points Applicable to Provider	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total Points Awarded 2010-2011
C.1	2	0	0	0	0	0
C.2	3	0	0	0	0	0
C.3	2	0	0	0	0	0
C.4	3	0	0	0	0	0
C.5	1	0	0	0	0	0
C.6	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Category C	13	0	0	0	0	0

Measure	Total Points Applicable to Provider	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total Points Awarded 2010-2011
D.1	3	0	0	0	0	0
D.2	6	0	0	0	0	0
D.3	4	0	0	0	0	0
D.4	9	0	0	0	0	0
D.5	6	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Category D	28	0	0	0	0	0

Bonus Points	Total Points Applicable to Provider	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total Points Awarded 2010-2011
E.1	5	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Category E	5	0	0	0	0	0

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Summary of Directives**

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement (Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)	Directives
Measure A.1: Program is meeting Y-T-D enrollment goals per Grant Award Notification (GAN) and program has process to accurately capture instructional hours.	
Measure A.2: Evidence that the organization follows the OSSE testing protocol.	
Measure A.3: Enrolled students who have 70 or more instructional hours have validly matched CASAS pre-tests and post-tests in reading and math or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension).	
Measure A.4: Enrolled students who are post-tested make educational gains as evidenced by percentage of enrolled students who complete educational functioning levels (EFL).	
Measure A.5: Enrolled students make educational gains as evidenced by the average point increases in CASAS reading and math scores or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension).	
Measure A.6: For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 4, the program is meeting at least 50% of each applicable target as required.	
Measure A.7: For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 5, the program is meeting at least 50% of each applicable target as required.	
Measure A.8: The ratio of the number of achieved goals/outcomes to the total number of participants indicates that the program is effectively tracking goal progress for enrolled students and that students are achieving goals in their roles as workers, parents and family members, citizens and community members, and lifelong learners.	
Measure A.9: Students are retained in program long enough for educational advancement and goal achievement.	

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Summary of Directives**

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods (The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.)	Directives
Measure B.1: Program is able to demonstrate that student profile by competency and class profile by competency are being completed and student goals are being established.	
Measure B.2: Lesson plans that incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency, and student goals as well as use appropriate curricula, multiple delivery methods and approaches to meet the diverse learning needs of students.	
Measure B.3: Classroom observations demonstrate satisfactory level of instructional quality that uses information contained in Measures B.1 and B.2.	
Measure B.4: Program has a system to adequately screen learners for possible learning disabilities <i>and/or</i> refers students for screening or appropriate LD services <i>and/or</i> the program seeks support to make appropriate accommodations for adult learners with learning disabilities.	
Measure B.5: Program uses a coordinated process for program and staff development that includes a professional development plan for each OSSE funded position, teacher improvement plan for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form and evidence of staff training regarding effective instruction, support of adults with learning disabilities, and program leadership & management.	

Category C: Program Management & Leadership (The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.)	Directives
Measure C.1: Evidence that the organization has an independent audit that is no more than 16 months old and the audit does not list any material or significant weaknesses. If the audit is in process, then the organization must provide a recent, filed 990.	
Measure C.2: At least semi-annually, program is formally and informally evaluated by and solicits input from stakeholders (e.g. learners, volunteers, funders, community representatives, peer review agency, etc) to ensure quality service delivery and continuous improvement.	
Measure C.3: All OSSE funded positions are filled with qualified individuals and program has notified OSSE within 30 business days when staff changes or vacancies occur in OSSE funded positions.	
Measure C.4: The organization and program follow accounting practices that conform to accepted standards. Financial system can produce required reports and financial system has sound fiscal management processes and structures.	
Measure C.5: All required reports were accepted and approved by OSSE.	
Measure C.6: A review of cost reimbursement requests and invoices, if applicable, submitted to OSSE shows that documents are accurate and reviewed expense categories have supporting documentation with no material discrepancies.	

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Summary of Directives**

Category D: Data Management (Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.)	Directives
Measure D.1: Program has an established process for collecting and entering data accurately and efficiently into LACES.	
Measure D.2: Required forms/documents reviewed in sampled folders are available and acceptable.	
Measure D.3: Sampled CASAS reading and math or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension) pre-tests have been administered correctly and scored accurately.	
Measure D.4: Data verified on LACES is accurate and reliable.	
Measure D.5: CASAS scores were entered according to LACES protocol to ensure that entry Educational Functioning Levels (EFL) were accurate for enrolled students.	

Category E: Bonus Points	Recommendations
Measure E.1: At the time of the monitoring visit, the provider's cumulative Educational Functioning Level (EFL) completion percentage is at least 5% above the cumulative EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.	

Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program	Item(s) Due from OSSE to Program
Measure A1:	Measure A1:
Measure A2:	Measure A2:
Measure A3:	Measure A3:
Measure A4:	Measure A4:
Measure A5:	Measure A5:
Measure A6:	Measure A6:
Measure A7:	Measure A7:
Measure A8:	Measure A8:
Measure A9:	Measure A9:

OSSE Adult and Family Education
 Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
 Summary of Directives

Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program	Item(s) Due from OSSE to Program
Measure B1:	Measure B1:
Measure B2:	Measure B2:
Measure B3:	Measure B3:
Measure B4:	Measure B4:
Measure B5:	Measure B5:
Measure C1:	Measure C1:
Measure C2:	Measure C2:
Measure C3:	Measure C3:
Measure C4:	Measure C4:
Measure C5:	Measure C5:
Measure C6:	Measure C6:
Measure D1:	Measure D1:
Measure D2:	Measure D2:
Measure D3:	Measure D3:
Measure D4:	Measure D4:
Measure D5:	Measure D5:
Measure E1:	Measure E1:

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student GED Reporting**

No.	First Name	Last Name	Test Type	Official GED Components											
				Language Arts Reading		Language Arts Writing		Math		Science		Social Studies		Total Score	
				Score	Date	Score	Date	Score	Date	Score	Date	Score	Date		
1			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
2			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
3			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
4			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
5			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
6			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
7			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
8			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
9			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
10			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
11			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												
12			Practice Test												
			Official GED Exam												
			Retake												
			Retake												

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011 Folder Summary**

		Measure B.1		Measure B.4	Measure D.2	Measure D.3	Measure D.4	Measure D.5	
		Student Profile by Competency in Folder	Goal Sheet in Folder	Learning Disabilities Screening		Reading, Math or ESL Pre-Tests Score Accurately			
Folder Available?		Mark with an X if	Mark with an X if	Mark with an X if	# Required Forms that are:	Mark with an X if			
	Yes	No	Available & Acceptable	Available & Acceptable	Available & Acceptable	Available & Acceptable	Each Folder's Pre-Tests were Scored Correctly	# of Data Items Verified as Accurate	CASAS scores were entered according to LACES protocol.
Folder #	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
1								0	
2								0	
3								0	
4								0	
5								0	
6								0	
Totals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calculations									
Measure B.1: Student Profile									
Measure B.1: Goal Sheet									
Measure B.4: Learning Disabilities Screening									
Measure D.2: % Forms Available and Acceptable		Note: 36 forms are required in total (6 forms for each folder)							
Measure D.3: % Pre-Tests Scored Accurately									
Measure D.4: % Data Accurately Entered onto LACES		Note: In total, 24 data items (4 for each folder) are verified on LACES							
Measure D.5: % CASAS Scores Entered per Protocol									

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #1, #2, #3, and #4	Measure A.1: Program is meeting Y-T-D enrollment goals per Grant Award Notification (GAN) and program has process to accurately capture instructional hours.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives				
	Program enrollment meets or exceeds Y-T-D target AND program has process to capture attendance and ensure that hours are entered into database system in a timely and accurate manner.	4						
	Program enrollment is between 90% and 99% of Y-T-D target AND program has process to capture attendance and ensure that hours are entered into database system in a timely and accurate manner.	3						
	Program enrollment is less than 90% of goal OR program does not have process to capture attendance and ensure that hours are entered into database system in a timely and accurate manner OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors.	0						
	Evidence: Fiscal Y-T-D NRS Table 1. Monitors will review the Grant Award Notification (GAN) and determine the Y-T-D enrollment goal in relation to the time of the monitoring visit. To be enrolled, a student must have a valid CASAS appraisal, pre-test, and 12 or more hours of instruction. NOTE: If enrollment target is not being met, the organization's recruitment strategies will be reviewed by the monitors.			Measurement: Y-T-D actual enrollment compared with Y-T-D projected enrollment. Monitors may have to pro-rate the projected enrollment from Grant Award Notification (GAN) according to the <u>time of their visit</u> . For example, if a program projects enrolling 100 students by March 31 st and the monitoring visit takes place on February 28 th , the monitors will pro-rate the enrollment to correspond to the timing of their visit. In this case the pro-rated enrollment would be about 67 students enrolled at the time of the monitoring visit.				
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tabs #1, #2, #3, and #4							
	Actual Y-T-D Enrollment at time of Monitoring Visit: (from NRS Table 1 or roster from another database) (Place number inside box)							
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
				Acceptable		Acceptable		
				Yes	No	Yes	No	
1. Copy of current year's Grant Award Notification (GAN). (Tab #1)								
2. Y-T-D NRS tables (at point of monitoring visit) and NRS tables from the previous program year. (Tab #2) (This information is not required if a program did not receive OSSE funding the previous program year.								
3. Document that details the process and procedures used to track and tally attendance for enrolled students. Attendance sign-in sheets (for at least 50% of classes) and/or template used to track attendance should also be included in this tab. (Tab #3)								
4. Document that details recruitment and retention strategies that will be used to achieve enrollment contracted with OSSE and to retain students. Also include in this tab marketing materials (include web address) used for student recruitment. Document that discusses recruitment strategies should include enrollment dates, how recruited, and any other recruitment strategies used. Marketing materials must be up-to-date and provide accurate program information. Website content will also be reviewed. (Tab #4)								
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Technical Assistance Needs:								
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:								
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:								

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #1, #2, #3, and #4	Measure A.1: Program is meeting Y-T-D enrollment goals per Grant Award Notification (GAN) and program has process to accurately capture instructional hours.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
		Rating	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	Program enrollment meets or exceeds Y-T-D target AND program has process to capture attendance and ensure that hours are entered into database system in a timely and accurate manner.	4								
	Program enrollment is between 90% and 99% of Y-T-D target AND program has process to capture attendance and ensure that hours are entered into database system in a timely and accurate manner.	3								
	Program enrollment is less than 90% of goal OR program does not have process to capture attendance and ensure that hours are entered into database system in a timely and accurate manner OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors.	0								
	Evidence: Fiscal Y-T-D NRS Table 1. Monitors will review the GAN and determine the Y-T-D enrollment goal in relation to the time of the monitoring visit. To be enrolled, a student must have a valid CASAS appraisal, pre-test, and 12 or more hours of instruction. NOTE: If enrollment target is not being met, the organization's recruitment strategies will be reviewed by the monitors.	Measurement: Y-T-D actual enrollment compared with Y-T-D projected enrollment. Monitors may have to pro-rate the projected enrollment from Grant Award Notification (GAN) according to the <u>time of their visit</u> . For example, if a program projects enrolling 100 students by March 31 st and the monitoring visit takes place on February 28 th , the monitors will pro-rate the enrollment to correspond to the timing of their visit. In this case the pro-rated enrollment would be about 67 students enrolled at the time of the monitoring visit.								
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tabs #1, #2, #3, and #4									
	Actual Y-T-D Enrollment at time of Monitoring Visit: (from NRS Table 1 or roster from another database) (Place number inside box)									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
1. Copy of current year's Grant Award Notification (GAN). (Tab #1)										
2. Y-T-D NRS tables (at point of monitoring visit) and NRS tables from the previous program year. (Tab #2) (This information is not required if a program did not receive OSSE funding the previous program year.										
3. Document that details the process and procedures used to track and tally attendance for enrolled students. Attendance sign-in sheets (for at least 50% of classes) and/or template used to track attendance should also be included in this tab. (Tab #3)										
4. Document that details recruitment and retention strategies that will be used to achieve enrollment contracted with OSSE and to retain students. Also include in this tab marketing materials (include web address) used for student recruitment. Document that discusses recruitment strategies should include enrollment dates, how recruited, and any other recruitment strategies used. Marketing materials must be up-to-date and provide accurate program information. Website content will also be reviewed. (Tab #4)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement

(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)

Tab #6 and #20	Measure A.2: Evidence that the organization follows the OSSE testing protocol.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	Organization complies with OSSE testing protocol.	1					
	Organization does not comply with OSSE testing protocol.	0					
	Evidence: CASAS Appraisals, Pre-tests and Post Tests. Monitors will review Student Assessment Report, database and folders to determine if CASAS tests were administered appropriately and if other aspects the OSSE testing protocol were followed.		Measurement: The percentage of CASAS tests administered appropriately and scored correctly in comparison to the total amount of tests administered.				
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #6 and #20						
	Student Assessment Report, other data in LACES and Folder samples. If an organization receives zero points on this measure, the organization will automatically receive zero points on measures A.3, A.4, A.5., A.6, and A.9						
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
			Yes	No	Yes	No	
	Student Assessment Report, other data in LACES and Folder samples (Tab #6 and Tab #20)						
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #6 and #20	Measure A.2: Evidence that the organization follows the OSSE testing protocol.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
		Rating	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	Organization complies with OSSE testing protocol.	1								
	Organization does not comply with OSSE testing protocol.	0								
	Evidence: CASAS Appraisals, Pre-tests and Post Tests. Monitors will review Student Assessment Report, database and folders to determine if CASAS tests were administered appropriately and if other aspects the OSSE testing protocol were followed.		Measurement: The percentage of CASAS tests administered appropriately and scored correctly in comparison to the total amount of tests administered.							
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #6 and #20									
	Student Assessment Report, other data in LACES and Folder samples. If an organization receives zero points on this measure, the organization will automatically receive zero points on measures A.3, A.4, A.5, A.6, and A.9									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Student Assessment Report, other data in LACES and Folder samples (Tab #6 and Tab #20)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #2, #5, #6, and #20	Measure A.3: Enrolled students who have 70 or more instructional hours have validly matched CASAS pre-tests and post-tests in reading and math or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension).	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	80% or more of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests.	8					
	Between 70% and 79% of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests.	6					
	Between 60% and 69% of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests.	4					
	Between 50% and 59% of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests.	2					
	Less than 50% of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests OR checklist evidence is not available or determined to be not acceptable by monitors OR only students who were post-tested were entered into the system which resulted in a managed pre-test/post-test percentage	0					
	Evidence: OSSE desk review produced in LACES generated for the monitoring visit OR NRS Table 4B and 70+ Hours report (Monitors will have to manually count number of enrolled students with 70 or more hours. Note: for providers that have 100% of enrolled students with pre-test/post-test match, monitors must carefully review data for accuracy to ensure that all enrolled students, not only enrolled students who were post-tested, were entered onto the system.)		Measurement: # of enrolled students who have valid CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test/post-test scores <u>divided by</u> the total number of students with 70 or more instructional hours.				
	Checklist Item Applicable to this Measure: Tab: #2, #5, #6, and #20: A LACES generated hours report will be required to rate this measure.						
	(A) # of enrolled students who have valid pre-test/post-test scores (NRS Table 4B, Column B Total) divided by (B) Total number of students with 70 or more instructional hours (Hours roster generated in LACES—checklist #20)						
	A. # of enrolled students who have valid pre-test/post-test scores						
B. the total number of students with 70 hours or more							
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations	
		Acceptable		Acceptable			
		Yes	No	Yes	No		
1. Y-T-D NRS tables (at point of monitoring visit) and NRS tables from the previous program year. <i>(This information is not required if a program did not receive OSSE funding the previous program year).</i> (Tab #2)							
2. OSSE Desk Review, Student Assessment Report or other evidence at time of monitoring visit. (Tab #5 and #6)							
3. Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. <i>This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. (See Instructions on that detail how to generate and save this report) Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours.</i> (Tab #20)							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #2,#5, #6 and #20	Measure A.3: Enrolled students who have 70 or more instructional hours have validly matched CASAS pre-tests and post-tests in reading and math or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension).	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter										
		Rating	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement					
	80% or more of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests.	8										
	Between 70% and 79% of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests.	6										
	Between 60% and 69% of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests.	4										
	Between 50% and 59% of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests.	2										
	Less than 50% of enrolled students with 70 hours or more have validly matched CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests OR checklist evidence is not available or determined to be not acceptable by monitors OR only students who were post-tested were entered in to the system which resulted in a managed pre-test/post-test percentage	0										
	Evidence: OSSE desk review produced in LACES generated for the monitoring visit OR NRS Table 4B and 70+ Hours report (Monitors will have to manually count number of enrolled students with 70 or more hours. Note: for providers that have 100% of enrolled students with pre-test/post-test match, monitors must carefully review data for accuracy to ensure that all enrolled students, not only enrolled students who were post-tested, were entered into the system.		Measurement: # of enrolled students who have valid CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test/post-test scores <u>divided by</u> the total number of students with 70 or more instructional hours.									
	Checklist Item Applicable to this Measure: Tab: #2, #5, #6 and #20: A LACES generated hours report will be required to rate this measure.											
	(A) # of enrolled students who have valid pre-test/post-test scores (NRS Table 4B, Column B Total) divided by (B) Total number of students with 70 or more instructional hours (Hours roster generated in LACES—checklist #20)											
A. # of enrolled students who have valid pre-test/post-test scores												
B. the total number of students with 70 or more instructional hours												
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4				
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable				
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
1. Y-T-D NRS tables (at point of monitoring visit) and NRS tables from the previous program year. (This information is not required if a program did not receive OSSE funding the previous program year). (Tab #2)												
2. OSSE Desk Review, Student Assessment Report or other evidence at time of monitoring visit. (Tab #5 and #6)												
3. Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. (See Instructions on that detail how to generate and save this report) Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours. (Tab #20)												
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs												
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs												
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs												
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs												

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)

Tab #2 and #6	Measure A.4: Enrolled students who are post-tested make educational gains as evidenced by percentage of enrolled students who complete educational functioning levels (EFL).	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives		
	70% or more of enrolled students complete at least one EFL.	8				
	Between 60% and 69% of enrolled students complete at least one EFL.	6				
	Between 50% and 59% of enrolled students complete at least one EFL.	4				
	Between 40% and 49% of enrolled students complete at least one EFL.	2				
	Less than 40% of enrolled students complete at least one EFL <u>OR</u> checklist evidence is not available <u>OR</u> data is determined to be not acceptable or inaccurate by monitors <u>OR</u> no points were awarded for measure A.2.	0				
	Evidence: NRS Table 4B cumulative percentage as listed in Column H.		Measurement: Percentage of enrolled students pre and post-tested that complete an EFL.			
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab: #2 and #6					
	NRS Table 4B cumulative percentage as listed in Column H.					
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring	
Acceptable			Acceptable			
Yes			No	Yes	No	
NRS Table 4B (Tab #2), Student Assessment Report (Tab #6) or other evidence at time of monitoring visit.						
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Technical Assistance Needs:						
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)		
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:						
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:						

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #2 and #6

Measure A.4: Enrolled students who are post-tested make educational gains as evidenced by percentage of enrolled students who complete educational functioning levels (EFL).	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
	Rating	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	70% or more of enrolled students complete at least one EFL.	8							
	Between 60% and 69% of enrolled students complete at least one EFL.	6							
	Between 50% and 59% of enrolled students complete at least one EFL.	4							
	Between 40% and 49% of enrolled students complete at least one EFL.	2							
Less than 40% of enrolled students complete at least one EFL <u>OR</u> checklist evidence is not available <u>OR</u> data is determined to be not acceptable or inaccurate by monitors <u>OR</u> no points were awarded for measure A.2.	0								
<u>Evidence:</u> NRS Table 4B cumulative percentage as listed in Column H.			<u>Measurement:</u> Percentage of enrolled students pre and post-tested that complete an EFL.						
<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure:</u> Tab: #2 and #6									
NRS Table 4B cumulative percentage as listed in Column H.									
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
NRS Table 4B (Tab #2), Student Assessment Report (Tab #6) or other evidence at time of monitoring visit.									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement

(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)

Tab #5 and #6	Measure A.5: Enrolled students make educational gains as evidenced by the average point increases in CASAS reading and math scores or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension).	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests of 5 points or greater.	4					
	Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test of 4 – 4.99 points.	3					
	Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test of 3 – 3.99 points.	2					
	Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test of 2 – 2.99 points.	1					
	Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test score of less than 2 points OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors OR no points were awarded for measure A.2.	0					
	Evidence: OSSE desk review and Student Assessment Report generated at time of monitoring visit.		Measurement: Total point increases for all reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test scores (difference between CASAS pre-test and most recent post-test) divided by the number of valid CASAS pre-test/post-test score pairs. Example: A program has three enrolled students who were each administered CASAS reading and math pre-tests and post-tests. Because each enrolled student has two pre-test/post-test pairs, there are a total of six valid test pairs. Their reading pre-test and post test scores had the following differences: +5,+10, and +2. Their respective math pre-test and post-test scores had the following differences: +5,-1, and +3. The sum of these six differences is 24. To calculate the average CASAS point increase, 24 is divided by 6 pre-test/post-test pairs (three students each having two test pairs) which results in an average point increase of 4.0.				
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #5 and #6						
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No		
OSSE Desk Review (Tab #5), Student Assessment Report (Tab #6) or other evidence at time of monitoring visit.							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #5 and #6

Measure A.5: Enrolled students make educational gains as evidenced by the average point increases in CASAS reading and math scores or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension).		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter							
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-tests and post-tests of 5 points or greater.	4								
Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test of 4 – 4.99 points.	3								
Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test of 3 – 3.99 points.	2								
Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test of 2 – 2.99 points.	1								
Enrolled students have an average point increase between their CASAS reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test score of less than 2 points OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors OR no points were awarded for measure A.2.	0								
Evidence: OSSE desk review and Student Assessment Report generated at time of monitoring visit.		Measurement: Total point increases for all reading and math or other ESL pre-test and post-test scores (difference between CASAS pre-test and most recent post-test) divided by the number of valid CASAS pre-test/post-test score pairs. Example: A program has three enrolled students who were each administered CASAS reading and math pre-tests and post-tests. Because each enrolled student has two pre-test/post-test pairs, there are a total of six valid test pairs. Their reading pre-test and post test scores had the following differences: +5,+10, and +2. Their respective math pre-test and post-test scores had the following differences: +5, -1, and +3. The sum of these six differences is 24. To calculate the average CASAS point increase, 24 is divided by 6 pre-test/post-test pairs (three students each having two test pairs) which results in an average point increase of 4.0.							
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #5 and #6									
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
OSSE Desk Review (Tab #5), Student Assessment Report (Tab #6) or other evidence at time of monitoring visit.									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011
Performance Targets**

Core Outcome: Educational Gains							
Educational Functioning Level	OSSE AFE Target (Completion %)	50 % of OSSE AFE Target	Local Program Performance Quarter 1 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 2 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 3 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 4 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Final Monitoring (Completion %)
ABE/ASE							
Beginning Literacy ABE	27%	14%					
Beginning Basic Education ABE	30%	15%					
Low Intermediate ABE	26%	13%					
High Intermediate ABE	15%	8%					
Low Adult Secondary	13%	7%					
High Adult Secondary ASE	N/A	N/A					

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011
Performance Targets**

Core Outcome: Educational Gains							
Educational Functioning Level	OSSE AFE Target (Completion %)	50 % of OSSE AFE Target	Local Program Performance Quarter 1 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 2 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 3 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 4 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Final Monitoring (Completion %)
ESL							
Beginning Literacy ESL	56%	28%					
Low Beginning ESL	69%	35%					
High Beginning ESL	45%	23%					
Low Intermediate ESL	48%	24%					
High Intermediate ESL	50%	25%					
Advanced ESL	25%	13%					

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)

Tab #2 and #5	Measure A.6: For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 4, the program is meeting at least 50% of each applicable target as required.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 4, the program is meeting at least 50% for each applicable target as required.	2					
	Program is not meeting at least 50% of each applicable state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target on NRS Table 4 as required.	0					
	Evidence: Year to Date NRS Table 4, OSSE Desk Review or other evidence at time of monitoring visit		Measurement: The percentage of enrolled students that complete an Educational Functioning Level (EFL) on NRS Table 4. (See TABs: AFE ABE -ASE Targets and AFE- ESL Targets - NRS Table 4)				
	<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure:</u> Tab #2 and #5						
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
			Yes	No	Yes	No	
	Year to Date NRS Table 4 (Tab #2), OSSE Desk Review (Tab #5) or other evidence at time of monitoring visit.						
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom	Description of Item(s)				
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)

Tab #2 and #5

Tab #2 and #5	Measure A.6: For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 4, the program is meeting at least 50% of each applicable target as required.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter							
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	Program is meeting at least 50% of the State's negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Targets applicable to the program on Table 4.	2								
	Program is not meeting the State's negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Targets applicable to the program on Table 4.	0								
	Evidence: Year to Date NRS Table 4, OSSE Desk Review or other evidence at time of monitoring visit		Measurement: The percentage of enrolled students that complete an Educational Functioning Level (EFL) on NRS Table 4. (See TABs: AFE ABE -ASE Targets and AFE- ESL Targets - NRS Table 4)							
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #2 and #5									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Year to Date NRS Table 4 (Tab #2), OSSE Desk Review (Tab #5) or other evidence at time of monitoring visit.										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument
Program Year 2010 - 2011
Performance Targets**

Core Outcomes: Enter Employment, Retain Employment, Obtain a GED or Secondary School Diploma, Enter Postsecondary Education or Training							
Core Outcomes	OSSE AFE Target (Completion %)	50 % of OSSE AFE Target	Local Program Performance Quarter 1 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 2 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 3 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Quarter 4 (Completion %)	Local Program Performance Final Monitoring (Completion %)
Enter Employment	93%	46.50%					
Retain Employment	89%	44.50%					
Obtain a GED or Secondary School Diploma	80%	40%					
Enter Postsecondary Education or Training	54%	27%					

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement

(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)

Tab #2 and #6

Tab #2 and #6	Measure A.7: For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 5, the program is meeting at least 50% for each applicable target as required.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 5, the program is meeting at least 50% for each applicable target as required.		2					
	Program is not meeting at least 50% of each applicable state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target on NRS Table 5 as required.		0					
	<u>Evidence:</u> Year to Date NRS Table 5 or other evidence at time of monitoring visit				<u>Measurement:</u> The percentage of students achieving outcomes on Table NRS 5. (See TAB: AFE Targets - NRS Table 5)			
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #2, #6							
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
				Acceptable		Acceptable		
				Yes	No	Yes	No	
	Year to Date NRS Table 5 (Tab #2), Follow-up Surveys (Tab #6) or other evidence at time of monitoring visit.							
	Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Technical Assistance Needs:								
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:								
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:								

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #2 and #6	Measure A.7: For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 5, the program is meeting at least 50% for each applicable target as required.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	For every state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target applicable to the program on NRS Table 5, the program is meeting at least 50% for each applicable target as required.	2								
	Program is not meeting at least 50% of each applicable state negotiated U.S. Department of Education Performance Target on NRS Table 5 as required.	0								
	<u>Evidence:</u> Year to Date NRS Table 5 or other evidence at time of monitoring visit				<u>Measurement:</u> The percentage of students achieving outcomes on Table NRS 5. (See TAB: AFE Targets - NRS Table 5)					
	<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab: #2, #6</u>									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
	Year to Date NRS Table 5 (Tab #2), Follow-up Surveys (Tab #6) or other evidence at time of monitoring visit.									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)

Tab #2, #5 and #6

Measure A.8: The ratio of the number of achieved goals/outcomes to the total number of participants indicates that the program is effectively tracking goal progress for enrolled students and that students are achieving goals in their roles as workers, parents and family members, citizens and community members, and lifelong learners.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
Goal achievement to enrolled student ratio is .75(75%) or greater.	6					
Goal achievement to enrolled student ratio is between .65 (65%) and .74 (74%).	4					
Goal achievement to enrolled student ratio is between .55 (55%) and .64 (64%).	2					
Goal achievement ratio is less than .55 (55%) OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors OR data verification indicates that goal data are unreliable.	0					
Evidence: Student Goals and Names Report, Student Goals Met (LACES report) or the OSSE Desk Review; Total enrolled students from NRS Table 1.	Measurement: # of achieved goal outcomes <u>divided by</u> # of enrolled students. Example: 100 enrolled students (NRS Table 1) establish goals. 100 enrolled students achieve 75 goals/outcomes (number of goals/outcomes achieved taken from the Student Goals and Names Report, Students Goals Met (LACES report) or the OSSE Desk Review. The ratio would be calculated as follows: 75 ÷ 100 = .75 or 75%					
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #2, #5, Tab# 6						
A. # of achieved goals outcomes						
B. # of enrolled students						
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
		Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	
Year to Date NRS Table 1 (Tab #2), OSSE Desk Review at time of monitoring visit (Tab#5) and Student Goals and Names Report, Student Goals Met Report and a Schedule for conducting student goal follow-up and the process(es) used (Tab #6)						
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Technical Assistance Needs:						
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)		
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:						
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:						

Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)

Tab #2, #5 and #6

Measure A.8: The ratio of the number of achieved goals/outcomes to the total number of participants indicates that the program is effectively tracking goal progress for enrolled students and that students are achieving goals in their roles as workers, parents and family members, citizens and community members, and lifelong learners.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter							
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
Goal achievement to enrolled student ratio is .75 (75%) or greater.	6								
Goal achievement to enrolled student ratio is between .65 (65%) and .74 (74%).	4								
Goal achievement to enrolled student ratio is between .55 (55%) and .64 (64%).	2								
Goal achievement ratio is less than .55 (55%) OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors OR data verification indicates that goal data are unreliable.	0								
Evidence: Student Goals and Names Report, Student Goals Met (LACES report) or the OSSE Desk Review, Total enrolled students from NRS Table 1.		Measurement: # of achieved goal outcomes <u>divided by</u> # of enrolled students. Example: 100 enrolled students (NRS Table 1) establish goals. 100 enrolled students achieve 75 goals/outcomes (number of goals/outcomes achieved taken from the Student Goals and Names Report, Students Goals Met (LACES report) or the OSSE Desk Review. The ratio would be calculated as follows: 75 ÷ 100 = .75 or 75%							
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #2, #5, #6									
A. # of achieved goals outcomes									
B. # of enrolled students									
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Year to Date NRS Table 1 (Tab #2), OSSE Desk Review at time of monitoring visit (Tab#5) and Student Goals and Names Report, Student Goals Met Report and a Schedule for conducting student goal follow-up and the process(es) used (Tab #6)									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #5	Measure A.9: Students are retained in program long enough for educational advancement and goal achievement.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	Median hours for enrolled students are 70 or more .	4					
	Median hours for enrolled students are between 60 and 69 hours	3					
	Median hours for enrolled students are between 50 and 59 hours	2					
	Median hours for enrolled students are less than 50 <u>OR</u> checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors.	1					
	Evidence: OSSE Desk Review OR monitors will generate report from LACES that shows student names with current fiscal year hours sorted in ascending order. Monitors will have to manually determine the median from this list.		Measurement: Median hours: Number of hours at which 50% of enrolled students have more hours and 50% of enrolled students have fewer hours.				
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #5						
	Enter Median Hours at time of visit						
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No		
OSSE Desk Review (Tab #5)							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

**Category A: Learner Recruitment, Retention, Progress and Involvement
(Program policies, procedures, and systems effectively and efficiently measure learners' educational gains and achievements.)**

Tab #5

Measure A.9: Students are retained in program long enough for educational advancement and goal achievement.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter							
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
Median hours for enrolled students are 70 or more .	4								
Median hours for enrolled students are between 60 and 69 hours	3								
Median hours for enrolled students are between 50 and 59 hours	2								
Median hours for enrolled students are less than 50 <u>OR</u> checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors.	1								
Evidence: OSSE Desk Review OR monitors will generate report from LACES that shows student names with current fiscal year hours sorted in ascending order. Monitors will have to manually determine the median from this list.		Measurement: Median hours: Number of hours at which 50% of enrolled students have more hours and 50% of enrolled students have fewer hours.							
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #5									
Enter Median Hours at time of visit									
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
OSSE Desk Review (Tab #5)									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods

The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #7	Measure B.1: Program is able to demonstrate that student profile by competency and class profile by competency are being completed and student goals are being established.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	At least 75 % of folders sampled have completed student profiles by competency AND at least 75% of sampled folders have completed student goals forms AND 100% of classes have a completed class profile by competency.	4					
	At least 75% of folders sampled have completed student profiles by competency AND at least 75% of sampled folders have completed student goals forms.	3					
	At least 75% of sampled folders have completed student goals forms.	2					
	Less than 60% of sampled folders contain completed student profiles or student goals forms OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to e not acceptable by monitors.	0					
	Evidence: Completed student profiles by competency and student goal forms in sampled folders and completed class profiles by competency for classes at time of monitoring visit.	Measurement: Percentage of completed student profiles by competency, student goal forms and class profiles by competency.					
	<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #7</u>						
	% of sampled folders with completed student profiles by competency:						
	% of sampled folders with student goal forms:						
	% of completed class profiles by competency:						
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations	
		Acceptable		Acceptable			
		Yes	No	Yes	No		
Completed CASAS Class Profiles by Competency for 100% of classes being offered at time of monitoring visit. (Tab #7)							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods
The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #7	Measure B.1: Program is able to demonstrate that student profile by competency and class profile by competency are being completed and student goals are being established.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	At least 75 % of folders sampled have completed student profiles by competency AND at least 75% of sampled folders have completed student goals forms AND 100% of classes have a completed class profile by competency.	4								
	At least 75% of folders sampled have completed student profiles by competency AND at least 75% of sampled folders have completed student goals forms.	3								
	At least 75% of sampled folders have completed student goals forms.	2								
	Less than 60% of sampled folders contain completed student profiles or student goals forms OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to e not acceptable by monitors.	0								
	Evidence: Completed student profiles by competency and student goal forms in sampled folders and completed class profiles by competency for classes at time of monitoring visit.		Measurement: Percentage of completed student profiles by competency, student goal forms and class profiles by competency.							
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #7									
	% of sampled folders with completed student profiles by competency:									
	% of sampled folders with student goal forms:									
% of completed class profiles by competency:										
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4		
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Completed CASAS Class Profiles by Competency for 100% of classes being offered at time of monitoring visit. (Tab #7)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods

The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #8 and #9	Measure B.2: Lesson plans that incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency, and student goals. Teachers incorporate appropriate curricula, multiple delivery methods and various approaches to meet the diverse learning needs of students as evidenced by classroom observations.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives				
	Evidence that program uses at least three methods or approaches to deliver instruction that meet the needs of adult learners AND lesson plans/curricula incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency and student goals.	4						
	Evidence that program uses at least two methods or approaches to deliver instruction that meet the needs adult learners AND lesson plans/curricula incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency and student goals.	3						
	Lesson plans/curricula incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency and student goals.	2						
	No evidence that multiple delivery methods or approaches are being used for instruction AND no evidence that lesson plans/curricula incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency and student goals OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors.	0						
	Evidence: Lesson plans/curricula incorporate student and class profiles by competency and instructional activities include the use of the following methods or approaches to deliver instruction: contextual learning (authentic, real-life situations and the social and cultural factors that affect learning); self-directed learning, active and passive learning methods (discussions, demonstrations, role plays, case studies, simulations, games), computer assisted instruction (i.e. KET, Skills Tutor, Phillip Roy), AND/OR other activities that address the diverse needs of learners. Monitors will determine whether other methods or approaches meet learning needs.				Measurement: Number of methods or approaches used to deliver instruction that meets the needs of adult learners and quality of lesson plans.			
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #8 and #9							
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations	
			Acceptable		Acceptable			
			Yes	No	Yes	No		
Outline of specific curricula for each program component (ABE, GED, ESL, Workplace Literacy, Family Literacy, etc) and a listing of other materials (Steck Vaughn, etc) used to provide instruction. Program outline may be a syllabus. Curricula outline should also include how CASAS Student Profiles by Competency, CASAS Class Profiles by Competency, and student goals guide curricula development Information on contextual learning, self-directed learning, active and passive learning methods, and if possible computer assisted instruction should also be included as appropriate. (Tab #8)								
Lesson plans that show how student profile by competency, class profile by competency, and/or student goal information are used to guide instruction. Lesson plans should also include information on contextual learning, self-directed learning, active and passive learning methods, and if possible computer assisted instruction. (Tab #9)								
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Technical Assistance Needs:								
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)				
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:								
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:								

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods
The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #8 and #9	Measure B.2: Lesson plans that incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency, and student goals. Teachers incorporate appropriate curricula, multiple delivery methods and various approaches to meet the diverse learning needs of students as evidenced by classroom observations.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	Evidence that program uses at least three methods or approaches to deliver instruction that meet the needs of adult learners AND lesson plans/curricula incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency and student goals.	4								
	Evidence that program uses at least two methods or approaches to deliver instruction that meet the needs adult learners AND lesson plans/curricula incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency and student goals.	3								
	Lesson plans/curricula incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency and student goals.	2								
	No evidence that multiple delivery methods or approaches are being used for instruction AND no evidence that lesson plans/curricula incorporate student profile by competency, class profile by competency and student goals OR checklist evidence is not available or is determined to be not acceptable by monitors.	0								
	Evidence: Lesson plans/curricula incorporate student and class profiles by competency and instructional activities include the use of the following methods or approaches to deliver instruction: contextual learning (authentic, real-life situations and the social and cultural factors that affect learning); self-directed learning, active and passive learning methods (discussions, demonstrations, role plays, case studies, simulations, games), computer assisted instruction (i.e. KET, Skills Tutor, Phillip Roy), AND/OR other activities that address the diverse needs of learners. Monitors will determine whether other methods or approaches meet learning needs.		Measurement: Number of methods or approaches used to deliver instruction that meets the needs of adult learners and quality of lesson plans.							
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #8 and #9									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Outline of specific curricula for each program component (ABE, GED, ESL, Workplace Literacy, Family Literacy, etc) and a listing of other materials (Steck Vaughn, etc) used to provide instruction. Program outline may be a syllabus. Curricula outline should also include how CASAS Student Profiles by Competency, CASAS Class Profiles by Competency, and student goals guide curricula development Information on contextual learning, self-directed learning, active and passive learning methods, and if possible computer assisted instruction should also be included as appropriate. (Tab #8)										
Lesson plans that show how student profile by competency, class profile by competency, and/or student goal information are used to guide instruction. Lesson plans should also include information on contextual learning, self-directed learning, active and passive learning methods, and if possible computer assisted instruction. (Tab #9)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods

The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #1 and #11	Measure B.3: Classroom observations demonstrate satisfactory level of instructional quality that uses information contained in Measures B.1 and B.2.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives		
	An average of at least 80 percent of points is received on the classroom observation form(s)		5				
	An average of between 79 and 60 percent of points is received on the classroom observation form(s)		3				
	An average of less than 60 percent of points is received on classroom observation form(s).		1				
	Evidence: Two scored classroom observation forms.			Measurement: Monitors will select two classes to observe and assess using the OSSE approved Classroom Observation Form. A Classroom Observation form will be completed and scored for each class observed. An average of the points awarded will be used to determine points for this measure. For example, if one instructor receives 90 out of 100 points and another receives 70 out of 100 points, the average points awarded is 80 which would result in 3 points being awarded for this measure.			
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tabs #1, #11						
	Grant Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring	
				Acceptable		Acceptable	
				Yes	No	Yes	No
	Class Schedule (Tab #1) and Teacher Improvement Plans, if applicable (Tab #11)						
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom	Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods
The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #1 and #11	Measure B.3: Classroom observations demonstrate satisfactory level of instructional quality that uses information contained in Measures B.1 and B.2.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter							
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	An average of at least 80 percent of points is received on the classroom observation form(s)	5								
	An average of between 79 and 60 percent of points is received on the classroom observation form(s)	3								
	An average of less than 60 percent of points is received on classroom observation form(s).	1								
	<u>Evidence:</u> Two scored classroom observation forms.			<u>Measurement:</u> Monitors will select two classes to observe and assess using the OSSE approved Classroom Observation Form. A Classroom Observation form will be completed and scored for each class observed. An average of the points awarded will be used to determine points for this measure. For example, if one instructor receives 90 out of 100 points and another receives 70 out of 100 points, the average points awarded is 80 which would result in 3 points being awarded for this measure.						
	<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure:</u> Tabs #1, #11									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Class Schedule (Tab #1) and Teacher Improvement Plans, if applicable (Tab #11)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods

The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #10	Measure B.4: Program has a system to screen adults for possible learning disabilities <i>and/or</i> refers students for screening or appropriate LD services <i>and/or</i> the program seeks support to make appropriate accommodations for adult learners with learning disabilities.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	Program has all three evidences in place AND they are deemed satisfactory by monitors.		3					
	Program has two of the three evidences in place AND they are deemed satisfactory by monitors.		2					
	Program has one of the three evidences in place AND it is deemed satisfactory by monitors.		1					
	Program does not have any LD processes in place OR processes in place are deemed unacceptable by monitors.		0					
	Evidence: Evidence can include the following: learning disability (LD) screening or system for screening adult learners (e.g., Adult Learning Disability Screening—Washington State, Empire State (used to screen English Language Learners), Learning Needs Inventory—Payne & Associates, or other OSSE approved screening instrument); LD referral system in place (including the referral form in the learner's folder) and if possible evidence of students being referred; and evidence that program has made appropriate accommodations for students with LD in its instructional delivery.			Measurement: Provider can demonstrate that one, two, or three of the above evidences are being used.				
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #10							
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
				Acceptable		Acceptable		
				Yes	No	Yes	No	
Written procedures on screening and referral process for adult learners suspected of having a learning disabilities. (Tab #10)								
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Technical Assistance Needs:								
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom	Description of Item(s)				
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:								
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:								

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods
The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #10

<p>Measure B.4: Program has a system to screen adults for possible learning disabilities <i>and/or</i> refers students for screening or appropriate LD services <i>and/or</i> the program seeks support to make appropriate accommodations for adult learners with learning disabilities.</p>	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	Program has all three evidences in place AND they are deemed satisfactory by monitors.	3							
	Program has two of the three evidences in place AND they are deemed satisfactory by monitors.	2							
	Program has one of the three evidences in place AND it is deemed satisfactory by monitors.	1							
Program does not have any LD processes in place OR processes in place are deemed unacceptable by monitors.	0								
<p>Evidence: Evidence can include the following: learning disability (LD) screening or system for screening adult learners (e.g., Adult Learning Disability Screening—Washington State, Empire State (used to screen English Language Learners), Learning Needs Inventory—Payne & Associates, or other OSSE approved screening instrument); LD referral system in place (including the referral form in the learner's folder) and if possible evidence of students being referred; and evidence that program has made appropriate accommodations for students with LD in its instructional delivery.</p>		<p>Measurement: Provider can demonstrate that one, two, or three of the above evidences are being used.</p>							
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #10									
<p>Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:</p>	<p>Aligned to Measures</p>	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
<p>Written procedures on screening and referral process for adult learners suspected of having a learning disabilities. (Tab #10)</p>									
<p>Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs</p>									
<p>Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs</p>									
<p>Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs</p>									
<p>Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs</p>									

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods

The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

	Measure B.5: Program uses a coordinated process for program and staff development that includes a professional development plan for each OSSE funded position, teacher improvement plan for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form and evidence of staff training regarding effective instruction, support of adults with learning disabilities, and program leadership & management.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives		
	Evidence that program has a professional development plan for each OSSE funded position, teacher improvement plan for teachers scoring below 60% on a classroom observation form AND evidence that 100 percent of OSSE funded staff and volunteers have participated in training and professional development activities for program quality improvement.	4				
	Evidence that 100 percent of OSSE funded staff and volunteers have participated in training and professional development activities for program quality improvement.	2				
	No evidence OR evidence provided is deemed not acceptable by monitors.	0				
	Evidence: Professional development plans for OSSE funded positions, including teacher improvement plans for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form and evidence of staff and volunteers engagement in training and professional development for program quality improvement.	Measurement: Documents, files or other data that show staff/volunteers participating in training and professional development plans are being implemented.				
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #11						
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
		Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	
This tab will have two components: 1. Professional development plans for each OSSE funded staff person and teacher improvement plans for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form. 2. Table that lists any trainings attended or certifications received by staff related to instruction, learning disabilities, program management and leadership, etc. Include OSSE and non-OSSE trainings and certifications. Table must also identify which staff is CASAS certified. Copies of any certificates that staff or key volunteers have received should be accessible if monitors need to review or have questions. (Tab #11)						
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Technical Assistance Needs:						
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom	Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:						
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:						

Tab #11

Category B: Instructional Model and Methods
The program aligns assessment, curriculum and instruction to address and accommodate different student learning needs.

Tab #11

Measure B.5: Program uses a coordinated process for program and staff development that includes a professional development plan for each OSSE funded position, teacher improvement plan for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form and evidence of staff training regarding effective instruction, support of adults with learning disabilities, and program leadership & management.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement				
Evidence that program has a professional development plan for each OSSE funded position, teacher improvement plan for teachers scoring below 60% on a classroom observation form AND evidence that 100 percent of OSSE funded staff and volunteers have participated in training and professional development activities for program quality improvement.		4								
Evidence that 100 percent of OSSE funded staff and volunteers have participated in training and professional development activities for program quality improvement.		2								
No evidence OR evidence provided is deemed not acceptable by monitors.		0								
Evidence: Professional development plans for OSSE funded positions, including teacher improvement plans for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form and evidence of staff and volunteers engagement in training and professional development for program quality improvement.		Measurement: Documents, files or other data that show staff/volunteers participating in training and professional development plans are being implemented.								
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #11										
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
This tab will have two components: 1. Professional development plans for each OSSE funded staff person and teacher improvement plans for instructors that score below 60% on a classroom observation form. 2. Table that lists any trainings attended or certifications received by staff related to instruction, learning disabilities, program management and leadership, etc. Include OSSE and non-OSSE trainings and certifications. Table must also identify which staff is CASAS certified. Copies of any certificates that staff or key volunteers have received should be accessible if monitors need to review or have questions. (Tab #11)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #12	Measure C.1: Evidence that the organization has an independent audit that is no more than 16 months old and the audit does not list any material or significant weaknesses. If the audit is in process, then the organization must provide a recently filed IRS Form 990 or an authorized 990 Extension Form from the IRS (Form 8868). http://www.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	The organization has an independent audit that is no more than 16 months old and does not list any material or significant weaknesses in the audit. If the organizations in the process of filing an audit, it must provide a completed current year IRS Form 990 or an authorized 990 Extension Form from the IRS (Form 8868).	2					
	No audit OR audit is more than 16 months old OR the audit lists material or significant weaknesses OR no current IRS Form 990 OR an authorized 990 Extension Form from the IRS (Form 8868).	0					
	Evidence: Audit that is no more than 16 months old at time of monitoring visit, current tax year IRS Form 990 or an authorized 990 Extension Form from the IRS (Form 8868).	Measurement: The Independent Auditor's Report does not list any material or significant weaknesses.					
	Checklist Item(s) Applicable to this Measure: Tab #12						
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
			Yes	No	Yes	No	
	The organization has an independent audit that is no more than 16 months old and does not list any material or significant weaknesses. If the organization in the process of filing an audit, it must provide a completed current year IRS Form 990 or an authorized 990 Extension form the IRS (Form 8868).						
	Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #12	Measure C.1: Evidence that the organization has an independent audit that is no more than 16 months old and the audit does not list any material or significant weaknesses. If the audit is in process, then the organization must provide a recently filed IRS Form 990 or an authorized 990 Extension Form from the IRS (Form 8868).	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
	http://www.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsinstructions.html		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	The organization has an independent audit that is no more than 16 months old and does not list any material or significant weaknesses in the audit. If the organizations in the process of filing an audit, it must provide a completed current year IRS Form 990 or an authorized 990 Extension Form from the IRS (Form 8868).	2								
	No audit <u>OR</u> audit is more than 16 months old <u>OR</u> the audit lists material or significant weaknesses <u>OR</u> no current IRS Form 990 <u>OR</u> an authorized 990 Extension Form from the IRS (Form 8868).	0								
	Evidence: Audit that is no more than 16 months old at time of monitoring visit, current tax year IRS Form 990 or an authorized 990 Extension Form from the IRS (Form 8868).		Measurement: The Independent Auditor's Report does not list any material or significant weaknesses.							
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #12									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
	The organization has an independent audit that is no more than 16 months old and does not list any material or significant weaknesses. If the organization in the process of filing an audit, it must provide a completed current year IRS Form 990 or an authorized 990 Extension form the IRS (Form 8868).									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #13	Measure C.2: At least semi-annually, program is formally and informally evaluated by, and solicits input from, stakeholders (e.g. learners, volunteers, funders, community representatives, and partners, etc.) to ensure quality service delivery and continuous program improvement.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	Evidence of semi-annual stakeholder evaluation forms as well as summary information from recent evaluations AND evidence of at least two program improvements initiated from this information.	3					
	Evidence of semi-annual stakeholder evaluation forms as well as summary information from recent evaluations AND evidence of at least one program improvement initiated from this information.	2					
	No evidence OR evidence provided is unacceptable.	1					
	Evidence: Forms used by various stakeholders to evaluate the program and frequency of evaluations. One of the stakeholder groups must be the adult learners served.		Measurement: Summary data of information gathered from various stakeholder groups and how this information was used for continuous program improvement.				
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #13						
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
			Yes	No	Yes	No	
	Forms used to evaluate program, summary of information obtained, and how this information was used to help ensure quality service delivery and continuous program improvement. (Tab #13)						
Input should be received from students and other stakeholders such as volunteers and paid teachers, administrative staff, funders, etc. (Tab #13)							
Description of how information was used for continuous program improvement that includes details of the issue(s)/concern(s) raised from feedback and the program modifications that were implemented as a result. (Tab #13)							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom	Description of Item(s)				
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #13	Measure C.2: At least semi-annually, program is formally and informally evaluated by, and solicits input from, stakeholders (e.g. learners, volunteers, funders, community representatives, and partners, etc.) to ensure quality service delivery and continuous improvement.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	Evidence of semi-annual stakeholder evaluation forms as well as summary information from recent evaluations AND evidence of at least two program improvements initiated from this information.	3								
	Evidence of semi-annual stakeholder evaluation forms as well as summary information from recent evaluations AND evidence of at least one program improvement initiated from this information.	2								
	No evidence OR evidence provided is unacceptable.	1								
	Evidence: Forms used by various stakeholders to evaluate the program and frequency of evaluations. One of the stakeholder groups must be the adult learners served.		Measurement: Summary data of information gathered from various stakeholder groups and how this information was used for continuous improvement.							
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #13									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Forms used to evaluate program, summary of information obtained, and how this information was used to help ensure quality service delivery and continuous program improvement. (Tab #13)										
Input should be received from students and other stakeholders such as volunteers and paid teachers, administrative staff, funders, etc. (Tab #13)										
Description of how information was used for continuous program improvement that includes details of the issue(s)/concern(s) raised from feedback and the program modifications that were implemented as a result. (Tab #13)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #14	Measure C.3: All OSSE funded positions are filled with qualified individuals and program has notified OSSE within 30 business days when staff changes or vacancies occur in OSSE funded positions.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	All OSSE funded positions are filled with qualified individuals AND OSSE was notified within 30 business days when staff changes or vacancies occur. (This includes key volunteers if they are essential to program delivery.)		2					
	One or more OSSE funded positions is vacant AND OSSE was not notified within 30 business days.		0					
	Evidence: Names of individuals in OSSE funded positions and their resumes, and if applicable, approved OSSE Program Change Form(s) submitted to OSSE for staff changes.			Measurement: OSSE reviews the forms and processes to ensure adherence to protocol.				
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #14							
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
				Acceptable		Acceptable		
				Yes	No	Yes	No	
	1. Job descriptions for positions key to the OSSE grant. <i>Job descriptions are current and reflect the roles and responsibilities of staff and volunteers. Include volunteer "job" description or commitment form. Key Personnel Form that lists OSSE funded positions and the names of each person in the OSSE funded position. (Tab #14)</i>							
	2. Table that lists the OSSE funded position(s) and the name of the person in each OSSE funded position. (Tab #14)							
3. Resumes for all OSSE funded staff and other key staff as appropriate. (Tab #14)								
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Technical Assistance Needs:								
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:								
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:								

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #14	Measure C.3: All OSSE funded positions are filled with qualified individuals and program has notified OSSE within 30 business days when staff changes or vacancies occur in OSSE funded positions.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement				
	All OSSE funded positions are filled with qualified individuals AND OSSE was notified within 30 business days when staff changes or vacancies occur. (This includes key volunteers if they are essential to program delivery.)	2									
	One or more OSSE funded positions is vacant AND OSSE was not notified within 30 business days.	0									
	Evidence: Names of individuals in OSSE funded positions and their resumes, and if applicable, approved OSSE Program Change Form(s) submitted to OSSE for staff changes.		Measurement: OSSE reviews the forms and processes to ensure adherence to protocol.								
	<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure:</u> Tab #14										
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
				Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
				Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
	1. Job descriptions for positions key to the OSSE grant. Job descriptions are current and reflect the roles and responsibilities of staff and volunteers. Include volunteer "job" description or commitment form. Key Personnel Form that lists OSSE funded positions and the names of each person in the OSSE funded position. (Tab #14)										
2. Table that lists the OSSE funded position(s) and the name of the person in each OSSE funded position. (Tab #14)											
3. Resumes for all OSSE funded staff and other key staff as appropriate. (Tab #14)											
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs											
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs											
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs											
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs											

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #15 and #16	Measure C.4: The organization and program have sound fiscal management processes and structures, produce required reports and/or other fiscal documentation, and follow accounting practices that conform to accepted standards.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	The organization generates accurate financial reports as required by OSSE AND produces a comparison of budget versus actual expenditures as listed on cost reimbursement forms that show that the program is expending funds according to approved budget AND provides documentation that Maintenance of Effort (MOE) is being met AND has financial policies and procedures in place that include internal accounting controls, and checks and balances.	3					
	The organization generates accurate financial reports as required by OSSE AND produces a comparison of budget versus actual expenditures as listed on cost reimbursement forms that show that the program is expending funds according to approved budget AND provides documentation that Maintenance of Effort (MOE) is being met.	2					
	The organization cannot produce financial statements OR inconsistencies are found OR a comparison of budget versus actual expenditures as listed on cost reimbursement forms show that the program is not expending funds according to approved budget AND is unable produce documentation that Maintenance of Effort (MOE) is being met.	1					
	Evidence: Review of financial statements, cost reimbursement requests and accounting/bookkeeping system. Evidence of system of checks and balances (appropriate to the size of the organization) that ensure financial integrity. Financial statement for OSSE funded program which details revenue, expenses, and documentation of Maintenance of Effort (MOE).			Measurement: Monitors will assess the accuracy of financial data, the reliability of financial reports, and the correlation between cost reimbursement requests and supporting documentation. Program's approved OSSE budget will be compared with Y-T-D grant expenditures and cost reimbursement requests. The organization's financial policy details key personnel and their responsibilities, and that there is a system of checks and balances.			
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #15 and #16						
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
			Yes	No	Yes	No	
	Financial policy that details bookkeeping responsibilities, staff responsible for each, and checks and balances in place. (Tab #15)						
This tab has two components: 1. Copy of approved budget for OSSE grant funds for current year and financial statement that clearly shows Y-T-D expenses including documentation of Maintenance of Effort (MOE) and copies of cost reimbursement requests for Program Year 2009- 2010 and 2010-2011. 2. Copy of Program Change Form for any budget modification and a copy of the modified budget. (Tab #16)							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)			
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #15 and #16

Measure C.4: The organization and program have sound fiscal management processes and structures, produce required reports and/or other fiscal documentation, and follow accounting practices that conform to accepted standards.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement				
The organization generates accurate financial reports as required by OSSE AND produces a comparison of budget versus actual expenditures as listed on cost reimbursement forms that show that the program is expending funds according to approved budget AND provides documentation that Maintenance of Effort (MOE) is being met AND has financial policies and procedures in place that include internal accounting controls, and checks and balances.		3								
The organization generates accurate financial reports as required by OSSE AND produces a comparison of budget versus actual expenditures as listed on cost reimbursement forms that show that the program is expending funds according to approved budget AND provides documentation that Maintenance of Effort (MOE) is being met.		2								
The organization cannot produce financial statements OR inconsistencies are found OR a comparison of budget versus actual expenditures as listed on cost reimbursement forms show that the program is not expending funds according to approved budget AND is unable produce documentation that Maintenance of Effort (MOE) is being met.		1								
Evidence: Review of financial statements, cost reimbursement requests and accounting/bookkeeping system. Evidence of system of checks and balances (appropriate to the size of the organization) that ensure financial integrity. Financial statement for OSSE funded program which details revenue, expenses, and documentation of Maintenance of Effort (MOE).		Measurement: Monitors will assess the accuracy of financial data, the reliability of financial reports, and the correlation between cost reimbursement requests and supporting documentation. Program's approved OSSE budget will be compared with Y-T-D grant expenditures and cost reimbursement requests. The organization's financial policy details key personnel and their responsibilities, and that there is a system of checks and balances.								
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #15 and #16										
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4		
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Financial policy that details bookkeeping responsibilities, staff responsible for each, and checks and balances in place. (Tab #15)										
This tab has two components: 1. Copy of approved budget for OSSE grant funds for current year and financial statement that clearly shows Y-T-D expenses including documentation of Maintenance of Effort (MOE) and copies of cost reimbursement requests for Program Year 2009- 2010 and 2010-2011. 2. Copy of Program Change Form for any budget modification and a copy of the modified budget. (Tab #16)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #17	Measure C.5: All required reports were acceptable and approved by OSSE.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	100% of required reports to date has been deemed accepted by OSSE.		1					
	One or more required reports to date have been deemed unacceptable by OSSE.		0					
	Evidence: To be deemed acceptable the report must be submitted on time, in the required format, and provide all required information.			Measurement: # of required reports accepted by OSSE <u>divided by</u> total number of reports due.				
	<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure:</u> Tab #17							
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
				Acceptable		Acceptable		
				Yes	No	Yes	No	
	Current program year quarterly report which includes Program Self Assessment, Plans for Continuous Improvement and other required documentation. Information on quarterly reports will be used for several measures and to check progress on monitoring directives. (Tab #17)							
	Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:								
Technical Assistance Needs:								
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom	Description of Item(s)				
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:								
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:								

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #17	Measure C.5: All required reports were acceptable and approved by OSSE.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	100% of required reports to date has been deemed accepted by OSSE.	1								
	One or more required reports to date have been deemed unacceptable by OSSE.	0								
	Evidence: Reporting compliance data to be supplied to monitors by OSSE staff. "Accepted by OSSE" means that the report must be on time, in the required format, and provide all required information.		Measurement: # of required reports accepted by OSSE <u>divided by</u> total number of reports due.							
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #17									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
	Current program year quarterly report which includes Program Self Assessment, Plans for Continuous Improvement and other required documentation. Information on quarterly reports will be used for several measures and to check progress on monitoring directives. (Tab #17)									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #15 and #16	Measure C.6: A review of cost reimbursement requests and invoices, if applicable, submitted to OSSE shows that documents are accurate and reviewed expense categories have supporting documentation with no material discrepancies.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	90% of expense categories reviewed had supporting documentation that equaled the amount of the request AND . Less than 25% of expense categories had material discrepancies between the total amount requested for a category and the supporting documentation.	2					
	Less than 90% of expense categories reviewed had supporting documentation that equaled the amount of the request OR material discrepancies were identified in 25% or more of expense categories.	1					
	Evidence: Review of a total of six cost reimbursement requests (from both PY 2009 -2010 and/or PY 2010-2011, if submitted) and the supporting documentation (receipts, invoices, cancelled checks, payroll records, etc.) for at least three different expenditures on each cost reimbursement request to ensure the accuracy and validity of the expenditures.	Measurement: In total, eighteen expenditure categories will be reviewed by monitors (an expense category must be greater than \$0 to be reviewed by monitors). A material discrepancy is defined as one or all of the following: 1. No supporting documentation is available for one or more expense categories reviewed. 2. The discrepancy between the amount listed for an expense category and the total of supporting documentation exceeds \$100.					
	Supporting Documentation: # of expense categories reviewed that had accurate supporting documentation divided by total number of expense categories reviewed:		Material Discrepancies: # of expense categories reviewed that had a material discrepancy divided by total number of expense categories reviewed:				
	Enter # of expense categories reviewed w/ accurate documentation:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Enter # of expense categories reviewed w/ a material discrepancy:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
	Enter total # of expense categories reviewed:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Enter total # of expense categories reviewed:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #15, #16						
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No		
Financial policy that details bookkeeping responsibilities, staff responsible for each, and checks and balances in place. (Tab #15)							
Copies of cost reimbursement requests for Program Year 2009-2010 and 2010 -2011. (Tab #16)							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom	Description of Item(s)				
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category C: Program Management Leadership

The organization has effective management/leadership that develops and maintains appropriate structures, procedures, trainings, and policies to ensure high quality service delivery.

Tab #15 and #16	Measure C.6: A review of cost reimbursement requests and invoices, if applicable, submitted to OSSE shows that documents are accurate and reviewed expense categories have supporting documentation with no material discrepancies.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter						
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement		
	90% of expense categories reviewed had supporting documentation that equaled the amount of the request AND Less than 25% of expense categories had material discrepancies between the total amount requested for a category and the supporting documentation.	2							
	Less than 90% of expense categories reviewed had supporting documentation that equaled the amount of the request OR material discrepancies were identified in 25% or more of expense categories.	1							
	Evidence: Review of a total of six cost reimbursement requests (from both PY 2009 -2010 and/or PY 2010-2011, if submitted) and the supporting documentation (receipts, invoices, cancelled checks, payroll records, etc.) for at least three different expenditures on each cost reimbursement request to ensure the accuracy and validity of the expenditures.		Measurement: In total, eighteen expenditure categories will be reviewed by monitors (an expense category must be greater than \$0 to be reviewed by monitors). A material discrepancy is defined as one or all of the following: 1. No supporting documentation is available for one or more expense categories reviewed. 2. The discrepancy between the amount listed for an expense category and the total of supporting documentation exceeds \$100.						
	Supporting Documentation: # of expense categories reviewed that had accurate supporting documentation divided by total number of expense categories reviewed:		Material Discrepancies: # of expense categories reviewed that had a material discrepancy divided by total number of expense categories reviewed:						
	Enter # of expense categories reviewed w/ accurate documentation:		Enter # of expense categories reviewed w/ a material discrepancy:						
	Enter total # of expense categories reviewed:		Enter total # of expense categories reviewed:						
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #15, #16								
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4
Acceptable			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Financial policy that details bookkeeping responsibilities, staff responsible for each, and checks and balances in place. (Tab #15)									
Copies of cost reimbursement requests for Program Year 2009-2010 and 2010 -2011. (Tab #16)									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									

Category D: Data Management
Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #18 and #19

Measure D.1: Program has an established process for collecting and entering data accurately and efficiently into LACES.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives		
Written process for data collection, data entry, and error identification/correction including generating Diagnostic Search Reports from LACES that ensures reliable, accurate, and up-to-date data is collected and reported AND evidence that staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been adequately trained AND program has a system of checks and balances to help ensure accurate data entry.		3				
Written process for data collection, data entry, and error identification/correction including generating Diagnostic Search Reports from LACES that ensures reliable, accurate, and up-to-date data is collected and reported AND evidence that staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been adequately trained.		2				
Written process for data collection, data entry, and error identification/correction including generating Diagnostic Search Reports from LACES that ensures reliable, accurate, and up-to-date data is collected and reported		1				
No evidence or evidence provided is considered unacceptable OR monitors determine that data is not reliable or accurate based on other checklist evidence.		0				
Evidence: Written data management plan that details staff person(s) responsible for data entry, error identification and resolution. Evidence also shows that staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been trained. Evidence that Diagnostic Search Reports from LACES are being generated quarterly and errors are corrected.			Measurement: Written data management plan, evidence that staff responsible for data entry and management have been trained and evidence that program has a system of checks and balances to help ensure accurate data entry.			
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #18 and #19						
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
		Acceptable		Acceptable		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	
Written data management plan that details staff person(s) responsible for data entry, error identification, and error resolution. Evidence also shows staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been trained. (Tab #18)						
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:						
Technical Assistance Needs:						
	Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)		
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:						
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:						

Category D: Data Management
Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #18 and #19

Measure D.1: Program has an established process for collecting and entering data accurately and efficiently into LACES.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement				
Written process for data collection, data entry, and error identification/correction including generating Diagnostic Search Reports from LACES that ensures reliable, accurate, and up-to-date data is collected and reported AND evidence that staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been adequately trained AND program has a system of checks and balances to help ensure accurate data entry.		3								
Written process for data collection, data entry, and error identification/correction including generating Diagnostic Search Reports from LACES that ensures reliable, accurate, and up-to-date data is collected and reported AND evidence that staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been adequately trained.		2								
Written process for data collection, data entry, and error identification/correction including generating Diagnostic Search Reports from LACES that ensures reliable, accurate, and up-to-date data is collected and reported		1								
No evidence or evidence provided is considered unacceptable OR monitors determine that data is not reliable or accurate based on other checklist evidence.		0								
Evidence: Written data management plan that details staff person(s) responsible for data entry, error identification and resolution. Evidence also shows that staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been trained. Evidence that Diagnostic Search Reports from LACES are being generated quarterly and errors are corrected.		Measurement: Written data management plan, evidence that staff responsible for data entry and management have been trained and evidence that program has a system of checks and balances to help ensure accurate data entry.								
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #18 and #19										
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Written data management plan that details staff person(s) responsible for data entry, error identification, and error resolution. Evidence also shows staff person(s) responsible for data entry and management has/have been trained. (Tab #18)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category D: Data Management

Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #19	Measure D.2: Required forms/documents reviewed in sampled folders are available and acceptable.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
	93 -100 percent of required forms/documents are available and acceptable in sampled folders.	6					
	85 - 92 percent of required forms/documents are available and acceptable in sampled folders.	4					
	76 - 84 percent of required forms/documents are available and acceptable in sampled folders.	2					
	Less than 76 percent of required forms/documents are available and acceptable in sampled folders or checklist information is not available or is unacceptable.	0					
	Evidence: Monitoring team will randomly select 6 folders of students with 70 or more hours. A search of NRS Fundables that includes students with 70 or more hours will be conducted in LACES. The data will be exported to HTML to create a Students' Hours Report. As part of this review, the monitoring team will determine if required information is in the sampled folder and if it is acceptable. Based on the acceptability of the information reviewed, a rating will be assigned to this measure.		Measurement: # of forms/documents acceptable <u>divided by</u> total number of forms/documents reviewed.				
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #19							
Enter # of forms/documents acceptable:		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>				
Enter total # of forms reviewed:		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>				
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations	
		Acceptable		Acceptable			
		Yes	No	Yes	No		
Document that shows specific examples of how data was used by: 1) Data Manager and intake staff, 2) teachers, 3) Case Manager, if applicable, and 4) Program Manager for continuous improvement. This information must show what issues were raised by the data and what strategies are being or were used for program improvement. (Tab #19)							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
		Date Received/Sent		By Whom		Description of Item(s)	
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category D: Data Management
Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #19

Measure D.2: Required forms/documents reviewed in sampled folders are available and acceptable.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter							
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
93 -100 percent of required forms/documents are available and acceptable in sampled folders.	6								
85 – 92 percent of required forms/documents are available and acceptable in sampled folders.	4								
76 – 84 percent of required forms/documents are available and acceptable in sampled folders.	2								
Less than 76 percent of required forms/documents are available and acceptable in sampled folders or checklist information is not available or is unacceptable.	0								
<p>Evidence: Monitoring team will randomly select 6 folders of students with 70 or more hours. A search of NRS Fundables that includes students with 70 or more hours will be conducted in LACES. The data will be exported to HTML to create a Students' Hours Report. As part of this review, the monitoring team will determine if required information is in the sampled folder and if it is acceptable. Based on the acceptability of the information reviewed, a rating will be assigned to this measure.</p>		<p>Measurement: # of forms/documents acceptable divided by total number of forms/documents reviewed.</p>							
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #19									
Enter # of forms/documents acceptable:									
Enter total # of forms reviewed:									
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Document that shows specific examples of how data was used by: 1) Data Manager and intake staff, 2) teachers, 3) Case Manager, if applicable, and 4) Program Manager for continuous improvement. This information must show what issues were raised by the data and what strategies are being or were used for program improvement. (Tab #19)									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									

Category D: Data Management
Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #20

Measure D.3: Sampled CASAS reading and math or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension) pre-tests have been administered correctly and scored accurately.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
All of the following must be true: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100 percent of pre-tests have been scored accurately. Program is using approved assessment tool (CASAS). Staff is adequately trained to administer CASAS. OSSE assessment protocol is being followed. CASAS appraisal is administered to determine which CASAS pre-test to use. 		4					
All of the following must be true: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Between 83 and 99 percent of pre-tests have been scored accurately. Program is using approved assessment tool (CASAS). Staff is adequately trained to administer CASAS. OSSE assessment protocol is being followed. CASAS appraisal is administered to determine which CASAS pre-test to use. 		3					
Provider will receive 0 points if any of the following is true: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less than 83 percent of pre-tests have been scored accurately. Program is using non-approved assessment tool (CASAS). Staff administering tests are not adequately trained to administer CASAS. OSSE assessment protocol is not being followed. CASAS appraisal is not administered to determine which CASAS pre-test to use. 		0					
Evidence: Monitors will sample files to determine if appropriate CASAS reading, math or other ESL pre-tests were administered (based on appraisal) and if those pre-tests have been accurately scored (i.e., score is within the range for the CASAS test given, etc.). In addition, monitors must also determine that OSSE assessment protocol is being followed.				Measurement: # of enrolled students' sampled folders with accurate CASAS reading, math or other ESL pre-test scores divided by total number of pre-test scores sampled.			
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: #20 and folder sampling summary spreadsheet will be used to evaluate this measure.							
Enter # of enrolled students' sampled folders with accurate pre-test scores:							
Enter total # of pre-test scores sampled:							
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
			Yes	No	Yes	No	
Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. <i>This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours. (Tab #20)</i>							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)		
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category D: Data Management
Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #20

Measure D.3: Sampled CASAS reading and math or other ESL instructional area (writing, speaking, listening comprehension) pre-tests have been administered correctly and scored accurately.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter							
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
All of the following must be true: • 100 percent of pre-tests have been scored accurately. • Program is using approved assessment tool (CASAS). • Staff is adequately trained to administer CASAS. • OSSE assessment protocol is being followed. • CASAS appraisal is administered to determine which CASAS pre-test to use.		4							
All of the following must be true: • Between 83 and 99 percent of pre-tests have been scored accurately. • Program is using approved assessment tool (CASAS). • Staff is adequately trained to administer CASAS. • OSSE assessment protocol is being followed.		3							
Provider will receive 0 points if any of the following is true: • Less than 83 percent of pre-tests have been scored accurately. • Program is using non-approved assessment tool (CASAS). • Staff administering tests are not adequately trained to administer CASAS. • OSSE assessment protocol is not being followed. • CASAS appraisal is not administered to determine which CASAS pre-test to use.		0							
Evidence: Monitors will sample files to determine if appropriate CASAS reading, math or other ESL pre-tests were administered (based on appraisal) and if those pre-tests have been accurately scored (i.e., score is within the range for the CASAS test given, etc.). In addition, monitors must also determine that OSSE assessment protocol is being followed.		Measurement: # of enrolled students' sampled folders with accurate CASAS reading, math or other ESL pre-test scores divided by total number of pre-test scores sampled.							
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: #20 and folder sampling summary spreadsheet will be used to evaluate this measure.									
Enter # of enrolled students' sampled folders with accurate pre-test scores:									
Enter total # of pre-test scores sampled:									
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. <i>This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours. (Tab #20)</i>									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									

Category D: Data Management
Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #20

Measure D.4: Data verified in LACES is accurate and reliable.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
96 -100% of data reviewed is accurate.		9					
88 – 95% of data reviewed is accurate.		6					
80 – 87% of data reviewed is accurate.		3					
Less than 80% of data reviewed is accurate OR evidence is not available or unacceptable.		0					
Evidence: Monitoring team will randomly select up to 6 student folders to review. For each folder, five or six documents will be reviewed and cross checked between the folder information and data entered into LACES (Literacy Adult Community Education System) to determine accuracy.			Measurement: # of data deemed valid and accurate <u>divided by</u> total number of data reviewed. <i>Note: If a folder is not available, that folder gets no credit for any of data verification. If a document or form is missing from a folder and information is needed from the document or form for data verification, any data verification related to the missing document(s) will be rated "not acceptable". At the end of the monitoring visit, 6 folders will be randomly sampled. The same folders used to evaluate Measure D.3 will be used to evaluate Measure D.4.</i>				
<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure:</u> #20 and folder sampling summary will be used to evaluate this measure.							
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. <i>This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours. (Tab #20)</i>			Yes	No	Yes	No	
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)		
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category D: Data Management
Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #20

Measure D.4: Data verified in LACES is accurate and reliable.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter							
		Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
96 -100% of data reviewed is accurate.	9								
88 – 95% of data reviewed is accurate.	6								
80 – 87% of data reviewed is accurate.	3								
Less than 80% of data reviewed is accurate OR evidence is not available or unacceptable.	0								
Evidence: Monitoring team will randomly select up to 6 student folders to review. For each folder, five or six documents will be reviewed and cross checked between the folder information and data entered into LACES (Literacy Adult Community Education System) to determine accuracy.		Measurement: # of data deemed valid and accurate <u>divided by</u> total number of data reviewed. <i>Note: If a folder is not available, that folder gets no credit for any of data verification. If a document or form is missing from a folder and information is needed from the document or form for data verification, any data verification related to the missing document(s) will be rated "not acceptable". At the end of the monitoring visit, 6 folders will be randomly sampled. The same folders used to evaluate Measure D.3 will be used to evaluate Measure D.4.</i>							
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: #20 and folder sampling summary will be used to evaluate this measure.									
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. <i>This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours. (Tab #20)</i>									
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs									

Category D: Data Management

Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #6 and #20

Measure D.5: CASAS scores were entered according to LACES protocol to ensure that entry Educational Functioning Levels (EFL) were accurate for enrolled students.		Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating		Directives			
100% of entry level EFLs were verified as accurate.		6					
80 – 99% of entry level EFLs were verified as accurate.		3					
Less than 80% of entry levels EFLs were verified as accurate <u>OR</u> evidence is not available or unacceptable.		0					
Evidence: Monitoring team will randomly select up to 6 student folders to review. Monitors will review CASAS scaled scores in each folder and determine if scores were entered accurately and in proper order into LACES to ensure that the correct entry level EFL was assigned to each student.			Measurement: Scale scores entered according to LACES protocol to ensure correct entry level assignment.				
Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #6 and #20 - Student Assessment Report in LACES and folder sampling summary will be used to evaluate this measure.							
Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:		Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations
			Acceptable		Acceptable		
			Yes	No	Yes	No	
Student Assessment Report in LACES (Tab #6)							
Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours. (Tab #20)							
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:							
Technical Assistance Needs:							
		Date Received/Sent	By Whom		Description of Item(s)		
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:							
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:							

Category D: Data Management
Program has data management system that provides accurate and reliable data and outcome information.

Tab #6, #20	Measure D.5: CASAS scores were entered according to LACES protocol to ensure that entry Educational Functioning Levels (EFL) were accurate for enrolled students.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	100% of entry level EFLs was verified as accurate.	6								
	80 – 99% of entry level EFLs were verified as accurate.	3								
	Less than 80% of entry levels EFLs were verified as accurate <u>OR</u> evidence is not available or unacceptable.	0								
	Evidence: Monitoring team will randomly select up to 6 student folders to review. Monitors will review CASAS scaled scores in each folder and determine if scores were entered accurately and in proper order into LACES to ensure that the correct entry level EFL was assigned to each student.		Measurement: Scale scores entered according to LACES protocol to ensure correct entry level assignment.							
	Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #6 and #20 - Student Assessment Report in LACES and folder sampling summary will be used to evaluate this measure.									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable	
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Student Assessment Report in LACES (Tab #6)										
Roster of enrolled students that shows student name with instructional hours. <i>This roster will be used to select student folders for review during monitoring visits. Note: when LACES is able to generate CASAS scores (appraisal, pre-test, post-test) in a more usable format, this information will be required along with instructional hours. (Tab #20)</i>										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

Category E: Bonus Points

Tab #2	Measure E.1: At the time of the monitoring visit, the provider's cumulative Educational Functioning Level (EFL) completion percentage is at least 5% above the cumulative EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating				Directives			
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage is at least 8% higher at time of monitoring visit than the EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.	5							
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage is 5% - 7% higher at time of monitoring visit than the EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.	3							
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage is less than 5% higher at time of monitoring visit than the EFL completion percentage for the previous program year.	0							
	Evidence: NRS Table 4B from previous program year: NRS Table 4B at time of monitoring visit.				Measurement: The difference between the EFL completion percentage at the time of the monitoring visit and the EFL completion percentage from the previous program year will be calculated.				
	<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #2</u>								
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage at time of monitoring visit (NRS Table 4B Column H)								
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage at end of previous program year (NRS Table 4B Column H)								
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Preliminary Monitoring		Final Monitoring		Recommendations		
			Acceptable		Acceptable				
		Yes	No	Yes	No				
NRS Table 4B from previous program year: NRS Table 4B at time of monitoring visit. (Tab #2)									
Preliminary Monitoring Visit Comments:									
Final Monitoring Visit Comments:									
Technical Assistance Needs:									
	Date Received/Sent		By Whom		Description of Item(s)				
Item(s) Due to OSSE from Program:									
Item(s) Due to Program from OSSE:									

Category E: Bonus Points

Tab #2	Measure E.1: At the time of the monitoring visit, the provider's cumulative Educational Functioning Level (EFL) completion percentage is at least 5% above the cumulative EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.	Rating Scale for this measure - Enter applicable rating per quarter								
			Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Plans for Continuous Improvement			
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage is at least 8% higher at time of monitoring visit than the EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.	5								
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage is 5% - 7% higher at time of monitoring visit than the EFL completion percentage at the end of the previous program year.	3								
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage is less than 5% higher at time of monitoring visit than the EFL completion percentage for the previous program year.	0								
	Evidence: NRS Table 4B from previous program year; NRS Table 4B at time of monitoring visit.	Measurement: The difference between the EFL completion percentage at the time of the monitoring visit and the EFL completion percentage from the previous program year will be calculated.								
	<u>Checklist Items Applicable to this Measure: Tab #2</u>									
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage at time of monitoring visit (NRS Table 4B Column H)									
	Cumulative EFL completion percentage at end of previous program year (NRS Table 4B Column H)									
	Grantee Information or Document(s) Needed:	Aligned to Measures	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quarter 3		Quarter 4	
Acceptable			Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable			
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
NRS Table 4B from previous program year; NRS Table 4B at time of monitoring visit. (Tab #2)										
Quarter 1: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 2: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 3: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										
Quarter 4: Comments and Technical Assistance Needs										

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student Roster Qtr 1**

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student Follow-Up Qtr 1**

QTR 1	Last Name	First Name	Exit Date	Status of NRS Core Goal(s) ("M" = met; "U" = unmet)				Follow-up Status			
				Get a Job	Retain a Job	Get a GED or High School Diploma	Enter Postsecondary Education or Training	Was Follow-up conducted? (Yes or No)	Name of Staff who conducted Follow-up	Status: (interviewed completed, scheduled recall, left a message)	Was Follow-up data entered in LACES?
1											

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student Roster Qtr 2**

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student Follow-Up Qtr 2**

QTR 2	Last Name	First Name	Exit Date	Status of NRS Core Goal(s) ("M" = met; "U" = unmet)				Follow-up Status			
				Get a Job	Retain a Job	Get a GED or High School Diploma	Enter Postsecondary Education or Training	Was Follow-up conducted? (Yes or No)	Name of Staff who conducted Follow-up	Status: (interviewed completed, scheduled recall, left a message)	Was Follow-up data entered in LACES?
1											

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student Roster Qtr 3**

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student Follow-Up Qtr 3**

QTR 3	Last Name	First Name	Exit Date	Status of NRS Core Goal(s) ("M" = met; "U" = unmet)				Follow-up Status			
				Get a Job	Retain a Job	Get a GED or High School Diploma	Enter Postsecondary Education or Training	Was Follow-up conducted? (Yes or No)	Name of Staff who conducted Follow-up	Status: (interviewed completed, scheduled recall, left a message)	Was Follow-up data entered in LACES?
1											

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student Roster Qtr 4**

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student Follow-Up Qtr 4**

QTR 4	Last Name	First Name	Exit Date	Status of NRS Core Goal(s) ("M" = met; "U" = unmet)				Follow-up Status				
				Get a Job	Retain a Job	Get a GED or High School Diploma	Enter Postsecondary Education or Training	Was Follow-up conducted? (Yes or No)	Name of Staff who conducted Follow-up	Status: (interviewed completed, scheduled recall, left a message)	Was Follow-up data entered in LACES?	
1												

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Program Income**

Quarter 1

July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

Amount:

Description of how program income was expended:

Quarter 2

October 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

Amount:

Description of how program income was expended:

Quarter 3

January 1, 2011 - March 30, 2011

Amount:

Description of how program income was expended:

Quarter 4

April 1, 2011 - June 30, 2011

Amount:

Description of how program income was expended:

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Preliminary Folder Sample #1					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>		Yes	No	# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information		Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>	
		Yes	No	Yes	No
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # of Forms Available and Acceptable:					
Key Data Verification <i>Note: If folder is not available then "No" must be checked for all Acceptable boxes. If a form or document is missing in folder and data cannot be verified from that form, for acceptable, "No" must be checked.</i>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable					

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Preliminary Folder Sample #2					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>		Yes	No	# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information		Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>	
		Yes	No	Yes	No
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # of Forms Available and Acceptable:					
Key Data Verification <small>Note: If folder is not available then "No" must be checked for all Acceptable boxes. If a form or document is missing in folder and data cannot be verified from that form, for acceptable, "No" must be checked.</small>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable					

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Preliminary Folder Sample #3					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>		Yes	No	# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information		Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>	
		Yes	No	Yes	No
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # of Forms Available and Acceptable:					
Key Data Verification <small>Note: If folder is not available then "No" must be checked for all Acceptable boxes. If a form or document is missing in folder and data cannot be verified from that form, for acceptable, "No" must be checked.</small>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable					

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Preliminary Folder Sample #4					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>		Yes	No	# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information		Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>	
		Yes	No	Yes	No
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # of Forms Available and Acceptable:					
Key Data Verification <small>Note: If folder is not available then "No" must be checked for all Acceptable boxes. If a form or document is missing in folder and data cannot be verified from that form, for acceptable, "No" must be checked.</small>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable					

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Preliminary Folder Sample #5					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>		Yes	No	# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information		Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>	
		Yes	No	Yes	No
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # of Forms Available and Acceptable:					
Key Data Verification <small>Note: If folder is not available then "No" must be checked for all Acceptable boxes. If a form or document is missing in folder and data cannot be verified from that form, for acceptable, "No" must be checked.</small>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable					

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Preliminary Folder Sample #6					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>		Yes	No	# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information		Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>	
		Yes	No	Yes	No
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # of Forms Available and Acceptable:					
Key Data Verification <small>Note: If folder is not available then "No" must be checked for all Acceptable boxes. If a form or document is missing in folder and data cannot be verified from that form, for acceptable, "No" must be checked.</small>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable					

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Final Folder Form # 1					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>	Yes	No		# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information	Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # Forms Available and Acceptable					
Key Data Verification <i>Note: If folder is not available then place an "X" in the "No" column for all appropriate boxes. If a form or document is missing in a folder and data cannot be verified from that form, place an "X" in the "No" column for the appropriate boxes.</i>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable			0		

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Final Folder Form # 2					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>	Yes	No		# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information	Information Available? Place an "X" in the appropriate column		Information Acceptable? Place an "X" in the appropriate column		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # Forms Available and Acceptable					
Key Data Verification <i>Note: If folder is not available then place an "X" in the "No" column for all appropriate boxes. If a form or document is missing in a folder and data cannot be verified from that form, place an "X" in the "No" column for the appropriate boxes.</i>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable			0		

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Final Folder Form # 3					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>	Yes	No		# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information	Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # Forms Available and Acceptable					
Key Data Verification <small>Note: If folder is not available then place an "X" in the "No" column for all appropriate boxes. If a form or document is missing in a folder and data cannot be verified from that form, place an "X" in the "No" column for the appropriate boxes.</small>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable			0		

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Final Folder Form # 4					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>	Yes	No		# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information	Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # Forms Available and Acceptable					
Key Data Verification <i>Note: If folder is not available then place an "X" in the "No" column for all appropriate boxes. If a form or document is missing in a folder and data cannot be verified from that form, place an "X" in the "No" column for the appropriate boxes.</i>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable			0		

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Final Folder Form # 5					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>	Yes	No		# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information	Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # Forms Available and Acceptable					
Key Data Verification <i>Note: If folder is not available then place an "X" in the "No" column for all appropriate boxes. If a form or document is missing in a folder and data cannot be verified from that form, place an "X" in the "No" column for the appropriate boxes.</i>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable			0		

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011**

Final Folder Form # 6					
Student Name:				Program:	
Folder Available? <small>If folder is not available, then place a "X" in the "No" column for all applicable boxes.</small>	Yes	No		# of instructional hrs since last assessment in current FY for this student:	
Form/Information	Information Available? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		Information Acceptable? <small>Place an "X" in the appropriate column</small>		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
In-take Form					
LD Screening Form					
CASAS Appraisal Form <small>Note: Acceptable means that correct test was administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-Test Forms <small>Note: acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately.</small>					
Goal Setting Form <small>Note: acceptable means that goals are appropriate to EFL</small>					
CASAS Student Profile by Competency <small>Note: acceptable means that profile is completed.</small>					
Scored CASAS Reading and Math or ESL Post-Tests <small>(For final monitoring visit, only students with at least 60 instructional hours will be selected to verify post test) Acceptable means that correct tests were administered AND scored accurately. If no test was administered, then Not Acceptable must be checked.</small>					
Total # Forms Available and Acceptable					
Key Data Verification <small>Note: If folder is not available then place an "X" in the "No" column for all appropriate boxes. If a form or document is missing in a folder and data cannot be verified from that form, place an "X" in the "No" column for the appropriate boxes.</small>			Information Acceptable and Updated?		Comments
			Info on Computer Matches Info in Folder?		
			YES	NO	
Goals on Form Match /EFL Goal Entered/Goals Achievable					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Pre-test scores					
CASAS Reading and Math or other ESL Post-test scores					
Correct Subject Area in LACES <small>(Program follows OSSE data entry policies (7 and 5 day rules) so that lowest subject EFL is tracked in LACES)</small>					
Post Testing occurs after 70 hours of instruction					
Class hours have been entered for learner and are up to date.					
Demographic data, including contact and Ward information has been entered for the learner and matches what is in the folder.					
# of Data Items Acceptable			0		

**OSSE Adult and Family Education
Grantee Monitoring Instrument Program Year 2010 - 2011
Student GED Reporting**

No.	First Name	Last Name	Test Type	Official GED Components										
				Language Arts Reading		Language Arts Writing		Math		Science		Social Studies		Total Score
				Score	Date	Score	Date	Score	Date	Score	Date	Score	Date	
1			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
2			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
3			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
4			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
5			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
6			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
7			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
8			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
9			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											
10			Practice Test											
			Official GED Exam											
			Retake											
			Retake											

Approved FY 2011 Budget and MOE

	Item (Please Shade This Column Black)	Position/Title (Please Shade This Column Black)	Salary (Please Shade This Column Black)	Percent of Time (Please Shade This Column Black)	Program Costs	Funding Source	
						Federal	Local
A.	Personnel						
	Staff 1						
	Staff 2						
	Staff 3						
	Staff 4						
	Staff 5						
	Staff 6						
	Staff 7						
	Staff 8						
	Staff 9						
	Staff 10						
	Personnel total						
B.	Fringe Rate (@ %)						
C.	Travel						
D.	Equipment						
E.	Supplies						
F.	Consultants/Contractors/Partners						
G.	Other Direct Costs						
H.	Total Direct Costs (sum of A through G)						
I.	Indirect Costs- FEDERAL (may not exceed 5% of total budget)					\$0	
J.	Indirect Costs- LOCAL (may not exceed 15% of total budget)						
K.	Total Program Budget				\$0	\$0	\$0
L.	Federal Request					\$0.00	\$0.00
M.	Local Request						
N.	Contribution to Maintenance of Effort						
O.	Maintenance of Effort (MOE) must be at least 25% of total funds requested.						

Verification - Cost Reimbursement

Description of Evidence	Available	Comments
Invoice and Check	x	