

## **ESSA Update**

**SBOE Working Session** 

Feb. 1, 2017



- Open letter to the community
- STAR system review
- Scenarios
- School improvement and support
- Upcoming community meetings



## $\star \star \star \star \star$

## **STAR Annual Reporting**

Schools receive star rating from one star (lowest) to five stars (highest) based on multiple measures

Provides a snapshot on all DC schools to families, the community, and schools based on common information

HOME > DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
District of Colur	mbia				
DATA SETTINOS O	libid			EXPORT > PR	
DATA SETTINGS O				EXPORT / PE	NI
		Introduction			
From this site, you will be able to vi Assessment of Beadiness for College the DC Next Generation Science Str differ	iew school, local education and Camers (PARCC) or	in agency, and DC level info id Multi-State Alternate Asse	rmation on assessment sament (MSAA). In the	t performance on the Partnershi tuture, it will also include result	for from
the DC Next Generation Science Sto differ	andards Assessments. Mar	ty of the graphs and reports in the data settings and dra	on this page allow us a down menus on this	ers to select options to look at d	alla in
	View more inform	ation and resources on DC's	assessments +		
You are viewing assessment results fro	en full ocademic veor stud	lents who took the traditional	alatewide assessmen	h. PARCC and DC Science. The	PARC
	performance level is set to	i Levels 4+. You can change	this in the data setting	n, PARCC and DC Science. The p.	
		- 8;			
Percent of students who	Pert met or exceeded excect	ormance Summa ations for grade-level learning	a stondords in DC in 1	the 2015-16 school year.	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITE	RACY	MATHEMATICS		SCIENCE	
27%		25%			
	Arrormo	nt Performance (	Quer Time		
	7 (330331101	in terrormanee v	orer mile		
		RICT OF COLUMBIA AT EAC			
	is chart displays the percentage alway want and within each war	a of students in each performance le fool her, each color reconnects the	vel in 2015 and 2016. East	h vertical bar represents all students from apocific performance level. Results show	
if if it 3 Approached Expectations als	have the OS line represent studer	we scaring at levels 4 and 5 which i	rdicate being an mark for	he and grade level and to leave high	
LEVEL 1 Did Not Yor Have Expectations	nosi conege one coreer ready.				
ENGUSH LANGU ARTS/LITERAG	JAGE CY	MATHEMATICS		SCENCE	
100%					
67%					
42%					
224					
10 20%					
42%					
60%					
17.W					
80%					
	2016	2015 2016			
100%	2016	2015 2016			
2015					
100%	Performance o	f Specific Group	s of Students	staal var Rad ace should	toolo
2015 2015 Percent of aludents who wat or exce	Performance o	f Specific Group ade-level learning standards de Level & Assessment' mean	in DC in the 2015-16 s.	school year. Read more about v	rhat
2015 2015 Percent of aludents who wat or exce	Performance o	f Specific Group	in DC in the 2015-16 s.	school year. Read more about v	rhat
2015 2015 Percent of aludents who wat or exce	Performance o teded expectations for gr (Grou	f Specific Group de-level leoning standards de level & Assessment mean tace/ethnicity oen	in DC in the 2015-16 s.	achaol year. Read more about a	
2015 2015 Percent of aludents who reat or exce	Performance o	f Specific Group de-level leoning standards de level & Assessment mean tace/ethnicity oen	In DC in the 2015-16 DER SPECIAL PO MATH 0	achool year. Read more about a	
2013 2013 Parcent of atudents who wast or exce GRADE LEVEL A ASSESSMENT O	Performance o teded expectations for gro Gross IL & ASSESSMENT ENGLISH LANGUA ARTS/LITIERACI	Specific Group      de-level learning alandards      de level & Assessment mean      Acce/emenicity     ocen      coen	In DC in the 2015-16 DER SPECIAL PO MATH 0	school yeer. Read more about a	
2013 2013 Percent of acidents who was an exce OLANG LIVE ORANG LIVELA ALMERIANT O All Crudes	Performance o bedde expectations for gr Great Constructions ENGLINE LANGUAG ANTE/LITERACI 27%	Specific Group     Sector and and a sector and a sec	in DC in the 2015-16 n. DER SPECIAL PI MATH O	school year. Read more about a ppulations science N/A	
2015 Percent of acderit who rest or exce CRACE LEVEL GRADEL LEVEL & ALSESSMENT O All Grades Grades 3-8	Performance o seded expectations for gr 'Gree International Control of the sedent entrol of the sedent of the	f Specific Group     de-Ireel learning standards     de Ireel & Attestander mean     tector in the standards	In DC in the 2015-16 r. DER SPECIAL PI MATH O	achoal year. Read more about to           DPULATIONS           N/A           N/A           N/A	rhat c
1002 2015 Percent of students who wet or exce Descent of students who wet or exce Descent toris a satisfication of the second All Grades Grades 9-12 Grade 3	Performance o leaded expectations for generative Creat	S     Specific Group     det-Inel learning available     de Lord & Aussesser available     Security of the security of the     Security of the security of the security of the     Security of the se	In DC in the 2015-16 r. DER SPECIAL PI MATH O	achoal year. Read more about year.           DPULATIONS           SCENCE           N/A           N/A           N/A           N/A	
2013 2013 Parcent of students who we transmission Catalogues All Grades Grades 9-12 Grades 3 Grades 4	Performance o leaded expectations for generative Creat	Construction         Construction           f Specific Group         State St	In DC In the 2015-16	акhosi yeer. Read nons about v эрицатнона N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
2013 2014 Percent of abudents who was to reace Catabolic Liver	Performance o Cer Cer A Assessment 27% 28% 29% 29%	Construction         Construction           f Specific Group         State           determine transferration         State           ibreak State         State           ibreak State         State           275         State           175         275           175         275           175         275           175         275           175         275           175         275	ie DC ie the 2015-16 r. DER SPECIAL PI MATH O	Science           N/A	
عدة عند المحافظة ا المحافظة المحافظة المح	Performance o Compared assessment for an Compared assessment for an Performance of the assessment for assessment for a Performance of the assessment for assessment for assessment for a Performance of the assessment for asses	Image: Second	ie DC ie the 2015-16 r. DER SPECIAL PI MATH O	ысканучых. Какой ноле некон ч эксциятовая 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А	
2013 2014 Percent of adudents who we at a care of a care	A Attistude 275 0 285 0 295	Comparison of the second	ie DC ie the 2015-16 r. DER SPECIAL PI MATH O	женен ункт. Какей ноле некон ч эксциятелия 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А	
عند العند ال	Netformance of Constructions for provide assessment on the provide assessment on the provide assessment of the provide ass	Specific Groups     S	in OC in the 2015-16 c	NULLATIONS         Science           NULLATIONS         Science           NU/A         NU/A	
2013 2014 Percent of adudents who we at a care of a care	A Attistude 275 0 285 0 295	Specific Groups     S	ie DC ie the 2015-16 r. DER SPECIAL PI MATH O	женен ункт. Какей ноле некон ч эксциятелия 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А 14/А	
عند العند ال	Netformance of Constructions for provide assessment on the provide assessment on the provide assessment of the provide ass	Specific Groups     S	in OC in the 2015-16 c	NULLATIONS         Science           NULLATIONS         Science           NU/A         NU/A	
2013  Percent of addens who web resource  Catal Cordes  All Cordes  All Cordes  Cordes  3  Cordes  4  Cordes	Net descention for pro- construction of a pro- construction of a pro- metric descention of a pro- descention of a		In DC In the 2015-16	Head ages         Head ages about           SHALATIONS         Secret           HC/A         Secret	



- Goal of today: Explain how particular types of schools fare in the proposed STAR system
  - Low achievement, high growth
  - Low achievement, high environment
  - High achievement, low environment
- Important to keep in mind:
  - Scenarios based on information available now
  - Limited to one cycle of growth
  - Not final draft

How does the STAR system ensure that **schools that are growing students are recognized** for making progress even if their students are not yet college- and career-ready?

Emphasize Growth by weighting it as much as Achievement

How many schools fit this profile?

 Of the schools included in our analysis, there are only 12 (6%) that currently have low achievement results and high growth

How do these schools fare in the draft accountability system?

- Nearly all of the schools have a rating of Three Stars
- None of the schools have a rating of One Star



Metric	School A: Low Achievement, Low Growth	School B: Low Achievement, High Growth
PARCC ELA – 4+	<10%	<10%
PARCC Math – 4+	<20%	<20%
PARCC ELA – MGP	High 20s (under state average)	Mid 40s (under state average)
PARCC Math – MGP	Mid 40s (under state average)	Mid 70s (above state average)
Overall Rating	One Star	Three Stars

- Both Schools A and B perform similarly in terms of Achievement
- School B noticeably outperforms School A in terms of Growth
- The STAR system ensures that School B is recognized for its high growth scores by awarding it an overall rating of Three Stars



How does the accountability system ensure that **schools with strong environments are recognized** and rewarded while prioritizing Academic Achievement and Growth?

- Assign 15% of the school's overall score to school environment metrics
- For high schools (which don't have growth metrics in Year 1), assign 25% of points to school environment metrics

How many schools fit this profile?

 Of the schools included in our analysis, there are only 27 (13%) that currently have low achievement results and have strong environment ratings

How do these schools fare in the accountability system?

- 60% of schools earn an overall rating of Three Stars
- 35% of schools earn an overall rating of Two Stars



Metric	School A: Low Achievement, Low Growth, Weak Environment	School B: Low Achievement, Low Growth, Strong Environment	
PARCC ELA – 4+	<20%	<20%	
PARCC Math – 4+	<30%	<30%	
PARCC ELA – MGP	<50	<50	
PARCC Math – MGP	<50	<50	
ISA	93%	96%	
90%+ Attendance	Low 70s	High 90s	
Re-enrollment	73%	87%	
Overall Rating	One Star	Two Stars	

Both Schools A and B perform similarly in terms of Achievement and Growth

•

٠

٠

- School B consistently
  outperforms School A on
  School Environment
  metrics, including ISA,
  90%+ Attendance, and Reenrollment
- The STAR system ensures
  that School B is
  recognized for its strong
  environment ratings by
  awarding it an overall
  rating of Two Stars

How many schools fit this profile?

 Of the schools included in our analysis, there are no schools that are high-achieving and have weak environment ratings

Of high-achieving schools, the school with the weakest environment ratings still scored moderately well – 3 stars



Schools identified for comprehensive and targeted support every three years

Brings focus and funding to schools in need of additional support to improve

Schools submit plans and apply for funding for programs to support their students learning



