Increasing Academic Quality										
Applicant Name:	D;							Date of Review:		
Reviewer name:								Reviewer Signature:		
Scoring										
Evolution Oritoria				1						
Evaluation Criteria	Strong	Meets Criteri Limited	a Deficient					Comments		
		dicate with '						Please enter notes in the "Comments" cells to explain why you gave the rating that you did.		
Tab 1 - Contact Information Applicant provided all contact information and input an amount requested.										
Contact Information	Yes	lovided all co	No	auoi	r and inpu	an amoun	requested	Please input the amount being requested by the LEA		
	105	-	110					Ficade input the amount being requested by the LEA		
Tab 2 - Comprehensive Data Summary										
Academic Data	demic Data The applicant responded to all questions in this section and provided detailed narratives.									
	Strong	Limited	Deficient			Score		Comments		
					FALSE	FALSE	FALSE			
Tab 3 - Guiding Strategies										
Guiding Strategies	The LEA selected at least one guiding strategy (more than one is okay) and inserted the percentage of funding to be used toward each strategy. PLEASE CONFIRM THAT PERCENTAGES TOTAL 100%.									
	Yes		No	Γ		Score		Comments		
	165	-	NO		FALSE	Score	FALSE	comments		
	The LEA provided a comprehensive narrative for the selected guiding strategy or strategies. This narrative and expenditure summary shows a clear alignment to the selected strategy.									
	Yes	Limited	No			Score		Comments		
					FALSE	FALSE	FALSE			
	The LEA summarized the planned use of funds in the narrative. Based on the narrative, the reviewer has an idea of how the funds will be spent.									
	Yee	Limiteral	No	Γ		0				
	Yes	Limited	No		FALSE	Score FALSE	FALSE	Comments		
						Tab 4 - N	leeds Asse	ssment & Narrative		
Comprehensive Needs Assessment	The applicant used data to link the need at the LEA (described in tab 2) with the project summarized on tab 3. The assessment identifies weaknesses at the LEA and demonstrates a firm grasp of the needs in specific areas.									
Assessment	<u> </u>		Deficient	Γ	·	0		Comments		
	Strong	Limited	Deficient		FALSE	Score FALSE	FALSE	Comments		
				L	TALUL	TALOL	TALOL			
Comprehensive Needs Assessment	Based on the narrative provided by the LEA, the reviewer senses a strong need for funding for this applicant.									
	Strong	Limited	Deficient	Γ		Score		Comments		
					FALSE	FALSE	FALSE			
Comprehensive Needs Assessment	The applicant provided research that justifies the need for funding for the project.									
	Strong	Limited	Deficient			Score		Comments		
					FALSE	FALSE	FALSE			
Summary Project Description The applicant provided a detailed project description that shows a clear link between the need at the LEA (tab 2), the guiding strategy selected, and this project.										
	Strong	Limited	Deficient	Γ		Score		Comments		
					FALSE	FALSE	FALSE			
	L	1	I			I	1			
Summary Project Description	Summary Project Description The project description shows that the LEA has reviewed their student data and will be using SOAR funds in a way that will address these needs.									
	Strong	Limited	Deficient	Γ		Score		Comments		
	Juong	Landed	Sendent		FALSE	FALSE	FALSE			
1	<u> </u>			I L						

	Increasing Academic Quality	
Project Description	Based on this description, the reviewer is confident that this project can feasibly impact achie	vement at the LEA and increase achievement.
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
Theory of Action	The applicant has provided an extensive IF - THEN statement and has described how and wi	ty the proposed project will work using research and evidence of success.
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
Theory of Action	The research and evidence of success used by the applicant is credible and can be verified v	vhile demonstrating strategic thinking.
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
Theory of Action	The theory of action is feasible and has a strong likelihood of success.	
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
Alignment to the ESEA Waiver	The applicant has made a strong connection to the proposed project and one or more of the	principles of the District of Columbia ESEA Flexibility Waiver.
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
	Tabs 5 & 6 - Detailed Expenditures and Budget	Summary
Budget/Expenditure Summary	The applicant has listed items that appear to be allowable and reasonable and do not violate	any spending restrictions
BudgevExperiance ourning	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
	The applicant's budget and spending plan (tabs 5 & 6) align with initiatives outlined in the nar	rative (project description).
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
	The applicant has listed items that can reasonably be purchased/obligated within the 2 year g	rant period.
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
	The applicant is proposing costs that clearly align with the selected guiding strategies.	
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
	Tab 7 - Logic Model	
Logic Model	The applicant has provided a Logic Model for at least 2 project goals, 1 goal per logic model.	
Logic model	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
	All elements of the logic model (Inputs, Outcomes and Outputs) are well defined	
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
	Outcomes are feasible and can be accomplished in the timeline set forth by the applicant.	
	Strong Limited Deficient Score	Comments
	FALSE FALSE FALSE	
	Total Score 0	
	Maximum Possible Score 100	
	Percentage 0%	