

### OSSE IDEA Part B Student Compliance Monitoring Tool for the 2020-21 School Year IDEA Part B Student Level Monitoring Tool

### **State Education Agency Authority**

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) section 616 requires each state education agency (SEA) to implement a General Supervision System that monitors the implementation of the IDEA Part B and its accompanying regulations. Therefore, the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) is responsible for the implementation of the General Supervision System for the District of Columbia, which includes but is not limited to state complaint processes and due process adjudication in addition to local education agency (LEA) monitoring.

#### **Correction of Noncompliance**

In exercising its monitoring responsibilities, OSSE must ensure that noncompliance with the requirements of IDEA, Part B be corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year after the identification of the noncompliance.

OSSE uses a two-pronged approach when verifying the correction of noncompliance:

- Prong 1: The LEA corrects individual student-level noncompliance.
- Prong 2: The LEA demonstrates that it is now correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement.

While the federal Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) allows a one-year maximum timeline for the correction of noncompliance, OSSE must also perform, within the one-year timeline, the Prong 2 verification of systemic compliance for each item in which noncompliance was identified. Therefore, OSSE requires LEAs to submit initial corrections of noncompliance (Prong 1) within 90 days of notification of a finding of noncompliance.

#### **Student Noncompliance Monitoring Tool**

OSSE employs a variety of monitoring activities throughout the year to ensure compliance with federal and local regulations and improve educational results and functional outcomes for students with disabilities. Monitoring activities may include but are not limited to the following: database reviews, on-site compliance monitoring, record reviews, on-site focused monitoring, dispute resolution activities, Phase 1 and Phase II grant applications and audit findings reviews. This tool is used as part of onsite and desktop file reviews for both LEAs and nonpublic schools that educate District students.



(Note: Monitoring items 1 through 11 are student demographic questions (i.e., student name, Universal Student Identifier (USI), etc.)

### Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation

- 12. Parents Provided Procedural Safeguards §300.504(a)(1)
- 13. Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation §300.300(a)
- 14. Variety of Assessment Tools and Strategies Used §300.304(b)(1)
- 15. Parent Consent for Reevaluation §300.300(c)(1)
- 16. Variety of Sources Used to Determine Continued Eligibility §300.306(c)
- 17. Current Evaluation Process §300.323(c)(2) Correctional Facility Monitoring Use Only

### IEP (Individualized Education Program)

- 18. Parent/ Student Notified of Meeting §300.322(a)(1)
- 19. "Parent" Meets Definition in IDEA Regulations §300.30
- 20. All Members of the IEP Team Attended the Meeting §§300.321(a), 300.321(e)
- 21. PLAAFP Contains Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance §300.320(a)(1)
- 22. PLAAFP States Effect of Disability in General Curriculum/Appropriate Activities §300.324(a)
- 23. PLAAFP States Effect of Disability in General Curriculum/ Appropriate Activities §300.324(a)(1)(i)
- 24. IEP Contains Measurable Annual Goals §300.320(a)(2)(i)
- 25. IEP Statement of Measurable Annual Related Services Goal(s) §300.320(a)(2)(i)
- 26. Progress Reporting/Implementation of IEP §§300.320(a)(2)(i), 34 CFR 300.323(c)(2)
- 27. Student Progress Reports 5 DCMR §A-2808.9 Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only
- 28. IEP Team Considered Strategies to Address Behavior §300.324(a)(2)(i)
- 29. IEP Compliance 5 DCMR §A-2808.4; §300.325(c) Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only
- 30. ESY Determined on Individual Basis §300.106(a)(2)
- **31.** Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority §300.320(c)
- 32. Alternate Assessment Statement of Participation §300.320(a)(6)(ii)(A)
- 33. IEP Contains Benchmarks or Short-term Objectives §300.320(a)(2)(ii)
- 34. IEP Developed Within 30 Days of Initial Eligibility Determination §300.323(c)(1)
- 35. Implementation of Related Services §300.323(c)(2)
- 36. Implementation of Related Services/Implementation of IEP §300.323(c)(2) IDEA Program and Correctional Facility Monitoring Use Only

### 37. Implementation of Related Services 5 DCMR §2805.1 - Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only

- 38. Annual IEP Review §300.324(b)(1)(i)
- 39. IEP Least Restrictive Environment in Regular Education §300.320(a)(5) IDEA Program and Correctional Facility Monitoring Use Only

### Least Restrictive Environment

- **40.** Consideration of Harmful Effects **§300.116(d)**
- 41. Student Placement Based on IEP §300.116(b)(2)
- 42. Supplemental Aids and Services §300.114(a)(2)(ii) IDEA Program and Correctional Facility Monitoring Use Only

### Discipline

- 43. Manifestation Determination §300.530(e)
- 44. Parent Notified of Change of Placement §§300.504,300.530(h)
- 45. Parent Provided Procedural Safeguards with Student Change of Placement §§300.504, 300.530(h)
- 46. Chemical Restraints Confirm to Medical Plan 5 DCMR §A-2816.8 Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only

### Truancy

- 47. Nonpublic School Notifies LEA Within 2 School Days of 5 Unexcused Absences 5 DCMR §A-2821 -Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only
- 48. Nonpublic School Notifies LEA Within 2 School Days of 10 Unexcused Absences 5 DCMR §A-2821.9 -

Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Monito	oring items 1 through 11	are student demograph	ic questions (i.e., student name, Universal Studen	t Identifier (USI), etc.)		
Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation	12 Parents Provided Procedural Safeguards	§300.504(a)(1)	<ul> <li>Were parents provided procedural safeguards upon initial referral, or parent request for evaluation?</li> <li>Yes = There is documentation in the student's file in the state's special education system of record that demonstrates that the parent received a copy of procedural safeguards at initial referral or upon parent request for evaluation.</li> <li>No = There is NO documentation in the student's file in the state's special education system of record that demonstrates that the parent request for evaluation.</li> </ul>	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, a signed "Procedural Safeguards Form" Or In the "Communication Log" in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, documented attempts.	Part B Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy Pages 11-12	<ul> <li>Prong 1 - Provide evidence that parents received a copy of the procedural safeguards. If evidence has not been provided, provide a copy of procedural safeguards to parents and upload a signed "Procedural Safeguards Form" to the student's file in the state's special education system of record.</li> <li>Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.</li> </ul>

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation	Item and Number 13 Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation	Legal Reference §300.300(a)	Item/Response CriteriaWas the parental consent obtained prior to the initial evaluation?Yes = Signed consent form is in the student's file in the state's special education system of record AND was obtained prior to beginning the initial evaluation.ORThe LEA sought but was not able to secure signed consent from the parent after making reasonable efforts to obtain consent. Reasonable efforts consist of documentation of a minimum of 3 attempted contacts using multiple modalities and documented in the 	Data SourcesIn "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record the "Consent for Initial Evaluation/Reevaluation" formORIn the "Communication Log" in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, evidence that reasonable efforts were made on at least three occasions and in multiple modalities	ResourcesPart B Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy Pages 4, 15-16Specialized Education Policy in Practice Webinar Series: Part B Initial Evaluation/Reevaluation PolicyIndividualized Education Program Process Policy Page 2	Corrective Action Prong 1 - Not correctable at the student level Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation	14 Variety of Assessment Tools and Strategies Used (Initial Evaluation)	§300.304(b)(1)	<ul> <li>Were a variety of assessment tools and strategies used to gather relevant functional, developmental and academic information about the child, including information provided by the parent?</li> <li>Yes = Documentation in the student's file in the state's special education system of record includes evidence of parent input and at least one other data source to determine eligibility such as: <ul> <li>Aptitude and achievement tests</li> <li>Teacher recommendations/observations</li> <li>Child's physical condition</li> <li>Child's background</li> <li>Adaptive behavior</li> <li>Informal assessments</li> <li>Progress reports</li> </ul> </li> <li>No = Documentation in the student's file in the state's special education system of record does NOT include evidence of parent input and at least one other data source to determine eligibility.</li> </ul>	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the Analysis of Existing Data (AED) form, Evaluation Summary, Eligibility Determination, and/or Meeting Notes (Looking for multiple sources of data)	Part B Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy Pages 5-8	<ul> <li>Prong 1 - Provide evidence that parent input and at least one other data source were used to determine eligibility such as:</li> <li>Aptitude and achievement tests</li> <li>Teacher recommendations/ observations</li> <li>Child's physical condition</li> <li>Child's background</li> <li>Adaptive behavior</li> <li>Informal assessments</li> <li>Progress reports</li> </ul> If no evidence can be provided to demonstrate that parent input and at least one other data source were used to determine eligibility, reconvene the IEP team to ensure that a variety of assessment tools were used to gather relevant functional, developmental and academic information provided by the parent and, if necessary, determine whether the student's eligibility needs to be re-determined. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
	Skip Pattern		Did the student's most recent reevaluation take place at the current LEA?			
	Identified LEA for					
	Reevaluation		<b>Yes =</b> There is documentation in the student's			
			file in the state's special education system of			
c			record that demonstrates that the student's			
tio			most recent reevaluation occurred while the			
evaluation			student was enrolled at the current LEA.			
Reev			<b>No =</b> There is documentation in the student's			
<u>~</u>			file in the state's special education system of			
			record that the student's most recent			
			reevaluation occurred while the student was			
			enrolled at a different LEA than the student's			
			current LEA.			

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation	15 Parent Consent for Reevaluation	§300.300(c)(1)	<ul> <li>Was parental consent obtained to conduct a reevaluation?</li> <li>Yes = Signed consent form is in the student's file in the state's special education system of record AND was obtained prior to beginning the reevaluation.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>The LEA sought but was not able to secure signed consent from the parent after making reasonable efforts to obtain consent.</li> <li>Reasonable Efforts consist of documentation of a minimum of 3 attempted contacts using multiple modalities.</li> <li>No = Signed consent form is not in the student's file in the state's special education system of record.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>Signed consent form was obtained after the reevaluation AND there was no evidence that reasonable efforts were made to obtain consent.</li> </ul>	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record the "Consent for Initial Evaluation/Reevaluation" form OR In the "Communication Log" in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, evidence that reasonable efforts were made on at least three occasions and in multiple modalities	Part B Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy Pages 4, 15-18         Specialized Education Policy in Practice Webinar Series: Part B Initial Evaluation/Reevaluation Policy         Individualized Education Program Process Policy Page 2	Prong 1 - Not correctable at the student level Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation	16 Variety of Sources Used to Determine Continued Eligibility	§300.306(c)	<ul> <li>Were a variety of sources used to determine continued eligibility?</li> <li>Yes = Documentation in the student's file in the state's special education system of record demonstrates that the following data sources were considered for the purpose of determining eligibility:</li> <li>Aptitude and achievement tests</li> <li>Parent input</li> <li>Teacher recommendations/observations</li> <li>Child's physical condition</li> <li>Child's social or cultural background</li> <li>Adaptive behavior</li> <li>No = Documentation in the student's file in the state's special education system of record does NOT demonstrate that all the following data sources were considered for the purpose of determining eligibility.</li> </ul>	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the AED form, Evaluation Summary, Eligibility Determination, and/or Meeting Notes	Part B Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy Pages 5-8	<ul> <li>Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the following data sources were considered for the purpose of determining eligibility:</li> <li>Aptitude and achievement tests</li> <li>Parent input</li> <li>Teacher recommendations</li> <li>Child's physical condition</li> <li>Child's social or cultural background</li> <li>Adaptive behavior</li> <li>If no evidence can be provided, then reconvene the IEP team to ensure that a variety of sources were used to determine continued eligibility and, if necessary, determine whether the student's eligibility needs to be redetermined.</li> <li>Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.</li> </ul>

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
	17 Current Evaluation Process (Correctional Facility Monitoring Use Only)	§300.323(c)(2)	<ul> <li>Has the student gone through the initial evaluation or reevaluation process within the past three years?</li> <li>Yes = The student's evaluation process is less than 3 years old.</li> <li>No = The student's evaluation process has lapsed (3 or more years have passed since the last evaluation).</li> </ul>	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the Eligibility Determination	Part B Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy Pages 12	<ul> <li>Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the student has gone through the initial evaluation or reevaluation process within the past three years. If no evidence can be provided, conduct an evaluation for the student.</li> <li>Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.</li> </ul>
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	18 Parent/Student Notified of Meeting	§§300.322(a)(1); 322(d)	<ul> <li>Was the parent/student* notified of IEP meeting early enough to ensure they had an opportunity to attend?</li> <li>Yes = Parent/student* invitation to most recent IEP meeting was dated prior to IEP meeting OR documentation that parent/student waived notice requirement.</li> <li>No = Parent/student* invitation to most recent IEP meeting was dated on or after IEP meeting date or that an invitation was NOT provided at all.</li> <li>*Student is 18 or older and rights have transferred.</li> </ul>	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the parent (or adult student with educational decision-making rights) letter of invitation, dated at least one day prior to the IEP meeting	Part B Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy Pages 18-19Individualized Education Program Process Policy Page 3-5	Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the parent/student was provided sufficient notice to attend the IEP meeting. If no evidence can be provided, reconvene IEP team and notify parent early enough to ensure an opportunity to attend. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	19 "Parent" Meets Definition in IDEA Regulations	§300.30	Did the "parent" who signed IEP (as evidence of the parent's attendance) meet the definition of "parent" in 34 CFR §300.30? <sup>1</sup> Yes = The person who signed in the "parent" signature block meets the definition of "parent" as defined by 34 CFR §300.30.         No = The person who signed in the "parent" signature block does not meet the definition of "parent" as defined by 34 CFR §300.30.         No = The person who signed in the "parent" signature block does not meet the definition of "parent" as defined by 34 CFR §300.30.         N/A = The parent did not sign the IEP OR the student is 18 or older.	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the participant roster of the IEP		Prong 1 - Identify the individuals meeting the definition of "parent" in 34 CFR §300.30 and reconvene the IEP meeting. If no parent can be located, promptly contact the OSSE for appointment of a surrogate parent and reconvene IEP meeting with invitation to surrogate parent.Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>§300.30 (a) Parent means—

<sup>(1)</sup> A biological or adoptive parent of a child;

<sup>(2)</sup> A foster parent, unless state law, regulations, or contractual obligations with a state or local entity prohibit a foster parent from acting as a parent;

<sup>(3)</sup> A guardian generally authorized to act as the child's parent, or authorized to make educational decisions for the child (but not the state if the child is a ward of the state);

<sup>(4)</sup> An individual acting in the place of a biological or adoptive parent (including a grandparent, stepparent, or other relative) with whom the child lives, or an individual who is legally responsible for the child's welfare; or

<sup>(5)</sup> A surrogate parent who has been appointed in accordance with §300.519 or section 639(a)(5) of the Act.

<sup>(</sup>b) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the biological or adoptive parent, when attempting to act as the parent under this part and when more than one party is qualified under paragraph (a) of this section to act as a parent, must be presumed to be the parent for purposes of this section unless the biological or adoptive parent does not have legal authority to make educational decisions for the child.

<sup>(2)</sup> If a judicial decree or order identifies a specific person or persons under paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section to act as the "parent" of a child or to make educational decisions on behalf of a child, then such person or persons shall be determined to be the "parent" for purposes of this section.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Program)	Item and Number 20 All Members of the IEP Team Attended the Meeting	Legal Reference §§300.321(a), 300.321(e)	Item/Response Criteria         The following IEP team members attended         the meeting:         Regular education teacher         Special education teacher         LEA designee <sup>2</sup> Evaluation interpreter         Yes = The aforementioned IEP team members:         Were all in attendance; OR         If an IEP team member's content area was	Data Sources In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the participant roster of the IEP AND (If necessary) In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the	ResourcesPart B Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation Policy Page 19Individualized Education Program Process Policy Page 3-5	Corrective ActionProng 1 - Not correctable at the student levelProng 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.
IEP (Individualized Education P			modified or discussed at the IEP meeting, the team member was excused with 1) an agreement in writing AND 2) with written input prior to the IEP meeting; OR If an IEP team member's content area was NOT modified or discussed at the IEP meeting, the team member was excused with an agreement in writing prior to the IEP meeting; OR Members that did not attend were not a required participant of the student's IEP Team.	the student's file in the state's special education system of record written parental consent to the excusal and input, when appropriate		
			<b>No</b> = The aforementioned IEP team members were required but NOT in attendance AND a written agreement AND written input, when necessary, was NOT evident.			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> **§300.321(a)(4)** LEA designee is defined as a representative of the public agency who (i) is qualified to provide, or supervise the provision of, specially designed instruction to meet the unique needs of children with disabilities; (ii) is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of the public agency.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
lized Education Program)	Item and Number 21 PLAAFP Contains Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance	Legal Reference §300.320(a)(1)	Item/Response CriteriaThe IEP contains a statement of the child's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance.Yes = The IEP contains the student's most recent academic achievement and functional performance (updated from the previous IEP).No = The IEP does not contain the student's most recent academic achievement and	Data Sources In the PLAAFP section of the IEP, for all areas of concern	Resources	Corrective Action Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the IEP team considered the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance when developing/revising the student's IEP. If no evidence can be provided, convene an IEP meeting or amend the student's IEP so that all areas of concern are updated.
IEP (Individua			functional performance.			Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	22 Development,	§300.324(a)	In developing each child's IEP, the IEP Team	In the PLAAFP section of	Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the IEP
	Review, and		must consider—(i) The strengths of the child;	the IEP, for all areas of	team considered each of the necessary
	Revision of IEP		(ii) The concerns of the parents for enhancing	concern	factors when developing the student's
			the education of their child; (iii) The results of		PLAAFP in the IEP. If no evidence can
			the initial or most recent evaluation of the	If there are no academic	be provided, convene an IEP meeting or
			child; and (iv) The academic, developmental,	areas of concern, the	amend the student's IEP so that the IEP
			and functional needs of the child.	item is noncompliant,	team considers — (i) The strengths of
				unless the related service	the child; (ii) The concerns of the
			Depending on the student's needs the	is considered specialized	parents for enhancing the education of
			following factors must also be considered:	instruction (e.g., speech-	their child; (iii) The results of the initial
			Behavior: in the case of a child whose	only IEP).	or most recent evaluation of the child;
Ē			behavior impedes the child's learning or that		and (iv) The academic, developmental,
gra			of others, consider the use of positive		and functional needs of the child. 34
or O			behavioral interventions and supports, and		CFR 300.324(a)
L L			other strategies, to address that behavior;		
atic					Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the
nc			2. Limited English proficiency: In the case of a		LEA is correctly implementing the
Ed			child with limited English proficiency, provide		specific regulatory requirement
zed			the evidence that the team considered the		(achieved 100% compliance) based on a
ali			language needs of the child as those needs		review of updated data.
ividu			relate to the child's IEP.		
IEP (Individualized Education Program)			3. Blind or visually impaired: In the case of a		
E			child who is blind or visually impaired, provide		
			the evidence that the team provided for		
			instruction in Braille and the use of Braille		
			unless the IEP team determined, after an		
			evaluation of the child's reading and writing		
			skills, needs, and appropriate reading and		
			writing media (including an evaluation of the		
			child's future needs for instruction in Braille or		
			the use of Braille), that instruction in Braille or		
			the use of Braille was not appropriate for the		
			child.		

Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
		4. Provide the evidence that the IEP Team considered the communication needs of the child.			
		<ul> <li>5. In the case of a child who is deaf or hard of hearing, provide the evidence that the team considered the child's language and communication needs, opportunities for direct communications with peers and professional personnel in the child's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the child's language and communication mode.</li> <li>6. Provide the evidence that the IEP Team considered whether the child needs assistive technology devices and services.</li> <li>Yes = The IEP contains the aforementioned factors, and the special considerations, if applicable.</li> </ul>			
		<b>No =</b> The IEP does NOT contain the aforementioned factors, and the special considerations, if applicable.			

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
	23 PLAAFP States	§300.320(a)(1)(i)	Does the PLAAFP include a statement	In the PLAAFP section of	Individualized Education	Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the
	Effect of Disability in		describing how the disability affects	the IEP, for all areas of	Program Process Policy	PLAAFP includes a statement describing
Ē	General Curriculum/		involvement in general curriculum (6 – 21	concern	Page 7-8	how the disability affects involvement
6L8	Appropriate		years) or how the disability affects student's			in general curriculum or appropriate
Program)	Activities		involvement in appropriate activities or	If there are no academic		activities. If no evidence can be
			general curriculum, as appropriate, (3-5	areas of concern, the		provided, convene an IEP meeting or
Education			years)?	item is noncompliant,		amend the student's IEP so that it
quc				unless the related service		includes a PLAAFP that demonstrates
Ĕ			<b>Yes =</b> The IEP includes a PLAAFP that states	is considered specialized		how disability affects involvement and
zec			how disability affects involvement or impact in	instruction (e.g., speech-		progress in general curriculum or
IEP (Individualized			the general education curriculum or	only IEP).		appropriate activities.
vidt			involvement in age appropriate activities.			
vip						Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the
l)			<b>No =</b> The IEP does NOT include a PLAAFP that			LEA is correctly implementing the
E			states how disability affects involvement or			specific regulatory requirement
			impact in the general education curriculum or			(achieved 100% compliance) based on a
			involvement in age appropriate activities.			review of updated data.
	24 IEP Contains	§300.320(a)(2)(i)	Does the IEP contain a statement of	In the PLAAFP section of		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the IEP
u	Measurable Annual		measurable annual goals (aside from related	the IEP, the goals relating		contains a statement of measurable
cati	Goals		services goals) designed to meet the	to each area of concern		annual goals. If no evidence can be
np			student's needs that result from his/her			provided, convene an IEP meeting or
а ЧЕ			disability?			amend the student's IEP so that it
dualized   Program)						includes measurable goals.
ual rog			<b>Yes =</b> The IEP contains annual goals that are			
(Individualized Education Program)			measurable.			Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the
ipu						LEA is correctly implementing the
P (I			<b>No =</b> The IEP does NOT contain annual goal(s)			specific regulatory requirement
IEP			that are measurable.			(achieved 100% compliance) based on a
						review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	25 IEP Statement of Measurable Annual Related Services Goal(s)	§300.320(a)(2)(i)(B)	<ul> <li>Does the IEP contain a statement of measurable annual related services goals (e.g., SLT, PT, OT, counseling, APE, etc.) designed to meet the student's needs that result from his/her disability?</li> <li>Yes = IEP contains annual related service goals that are measurable (e.g., SLT, PT, OT, counseling, APE, etc.).</li> <li>No = IEP does NOT contain annual related services goal(s) to meet the student needs identified in the PLAAFP OR goal(s) that are measurable.</li> <li>N/A = Student's needs do not require related services (e.g., SLT, PT, OT, counseling, APE, etc.).</li> </ul>	In the PLAAFP section of the IEP, the goals relating to each related service area		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the IEP contains a statement of measurable annual related service goals. If no evidence can be provided, convene an IEP meeting or amend the student's IEP so it includes measurable annual related services goals. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	26 Progress Reporting/ Implementation of IEP	§§300.320(a)(3)(ii), 300.323(c)(2)	Does the student's file contain reports on the progress the child is making toward meeting the annual goals? Yes = The student's file contains completed quarterly progress reports. No = The student's file does NOT contain completed quarterly progress reports documenting the student's progress.	In the "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, "Progress Reports"		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that quarterly written reports on the student's progress toward annual IEP goals were completed and uploaded into the student's file in the state's special education system of record. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
27 Student Progress Reports	5 DCMR §A- 2808.9	Does the student's file in the state's special education system of record contain evidence	In the "Documents" tab in the student's file in the		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that quarterly written reports on the
(Nonpublic Monitoring Use		of quarterly written reports on the student's progress toward annual IEP goals?	state's special education system of record, "Progress Reports"		student's progress toward annual IEP goals were completed and uploaded into the student's file in the state's
Only)		<b>Yes =</b> The student's file contains evidence that progress reports were completed on at least quarterly basis.			special education system of record. If no evidence can be provided, the LEA must provide technical assistance to the nonpublic school regarding their
		<b>No =</b> The student's file does NOT contain evidence that progress reports were completed on at least a quarterly basis.			policies and practices to ensure that progress reports are placed in the student's file per the regulatory requirement.
		<b>N/A =</b> This regulatory requirement is not applicable to non-public schools not holding a Certificate of Approval from OSSE			Prong 2 - N/A

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
	28 IEP Team Considered	§300.324(a)(2)(i)	Did the IEP team consider the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports and	In the "Documents" tab in the student's file in the		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the IEP team considered the use of positive
	Strategies to		other strategies to address behavior?	state's special education		behavior supports and behavioral
<del>ि</del>	Address Behavior			system of record, the		interventions and other strategies to
Program)			Yes = The student's file contains	PBIS section under the		address behavior including developing
90			documentation that the IEP team considered	consideration of special		an FBA and BIP if necessary.
			the use of positive behavioral interventions	factors section of the		
Education			and supports and other strategies to address	student's IEP		If no evidence can be provided,
Icat			behavior including the development of an FBA			reconvene the IEP team or amend the
Edu			and BIP if needed.			IEP to document consideration of the
						use of positive behavior supports and
(Individualized			No = The student's file does NOT contain			behavioral interventions and other
qua			documentation that the IEP team considered			strategies to address behavior including
ivi			the use of positive behavioral interventions			developing an FBA and BIP if necessary.
pu			and supports and other strategies to address			
IEP (			behavior.			Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the
₩						LEA is correctly implementing the
			N/A = The student's file contains no evidence			specific regulatory requirement
			that the student has behaviors that impede			(achieved 100% compliance) based on a
			the student's learning or that of others.			review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	29 IEP Compliance (Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only)	5 DCMR §A- 2808.4; §300.325(c)	<ul> <li>Is there evidence in the student's file of compliant emergency behavioral intervention practices and/or compliant incident report documentation, reporting, notification, or IEP follow-up practices?</li> <li>Yes = There is evidence in the student's file of compliant emergency behavioral intervention practices and/or compliant incident report documentation, reporting, notification, or IEP follow-up practices.</li> <li>No = There is evidence in the student's file of noncompliant emergency behavioral intervention practices and/or compliant incident report documentation, reporting, notification, or IEP follow-up practices.</li> <li>No = There is evidence in the student's file of noncompliant emergency behavioral intervention practices and/or compliant incident report documentation, reporting, notification, or IEP follow-up practices.</li> <li>N/A = The student's file contains no evidence that the student has behaviors that impede the student's learning or that of others.</li> </ul>	In the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the student's incident reports, FBA, BIP, and meeting notes		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the student's file contains emergency behavioral intervention practices and/or compliant incident reporting documentation, reporting, notification or IEP follow-up practices. If no evidence can be provided, LEA must provide technical assistance to the nonpublic school regarding the revision of the nonpublic school's policies and practices to ensure compliant emergency behavioral intervention practices and/or compliant incident report documentation, reporting, notification, or IEP follow-up practices in accordance with the specific areas of noncompliance outlined in the monitoring report.Prong 2 - N/A

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	30 ESY Determined on Individual Basis	§300.106(a)(2)	Does the student's file contain evidence that ESY was determined on an individual basis? Yes = There is evidence in the student's file that demonstrates that ESY was determined on an individual basis. No = There is NO evidence in the student's file that demonstrates that ESY was determined on an individual basis.	In the "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the "ESY Criteria Worksheet"	Extended School Year (ESY) Services PolicyExtended School Year (ESY) Services Frequently Asked QuestionsSpecialized Education Policy in Practice Webinar Series: Extended School Year (ESY) Services PolicyExtended School Year (ESY) Services Policy Webinar SlidesMarch 2017 Nonpublic THE STATE'S SPECIAL EDUCATION SYSTEM OF RECORD POC Webinar on ESY	Prong 1 - Provide evidence that ESY was determined on an individual basis. If no evidence can be provided, the IEP Team must convene or amend the IEP to complete the ESY criteria worksheet and determine the appropriate amount of compensatory education if the student requires compensatory education. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	31 Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority	§300.320(c)	<ul> <li>Does the IEP include a statement that the student has been informed of his/her rights, which will transfer to the student on reaching the age of majority?</li> <li>Yes = The student's file includes the required statement.</li> <li>No = The student's file does NOT include the required statement.</li> <li>N/A = Parent/other guardian has retained the student's educational rights.</li> </ul>	In "Documents" tab, a statement regarding the transfer of rights located in the IEP OR the Transfer of Rights Notice		Prong 1 - Reconvene the IEP team or amend the IEP to include a statement that the student has been informed of his/her rights that will transfer to the student on reaching the age of majority. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	Skip Pattern Student Participation in Alternate Assessment		Does the IEP for this student indicate that the student will participate in an alternate assessment?Yes = The student will participate in an alternate assessment of student achievement.No = The student will participate in the state assessment of student achievement.			

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	32 Alternate Assessment Statement of Participation	§300.320(a)(6)(ii)(A)	Does the student's file contain evidence describing why the student cannot participate in the regular assessment?Yes = The student's file contains evidence describing why the student cannot participate in the regular assessment.No = The student's file does NOT contain evidence describing why the student cannot participate in the regular assessment.	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, the Statewide Alternate Assessment Participation Criteria Worksheet	Guidance for IEP Teams on Participation Decisions Pages 2-7Participation Criteria Form	Prong 1 - Complete the Statewide Alternate Assessment Participation Criteria Worksheet and upload into SEDS.Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	33 IEP Contains Benchmarks or Short-term Objectives	§300.320(a)(2)(ii)	<ul> <li>N/A = The student is not in a tested grade.</li> <li>For children who take the alternative assessment aligned to alternative academic standards, does the student's IEP contain a description of benchmarks or short-term objectives?</li> <li>Yes = The student's IEP contains a description of benchmarks or short-term objectives.</li> <li>No = The student's IEP does NOT contain a description of benchmarks or short-term objectives.</li> </ul>	In the IEP a description of benchmarks or short- term objectives	Guidance for IEP Teams on Participation Decisions Pages 2-7 Participation Criteria Form	<ul> <li>Prong 1 - Convene an IEP meeting or amend the student's IEP so that all goals contain a description of benchmarks or short-term objectives.</li> <li>Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.</li> </ul>

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
ed Education m)	34 IEP Developed Within 30 Days of Initial Eligibility Determination	§300.323(c)(1)	Was an IEP developed within 30 days of a determination that the student needs special education and related services? Yes = The student's initial IEP was developed	The IEP meeting date and the eligibility determination date		Prong 1 - Not correctable at the student level. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the
IEP (Individualized I Program)			within 30 days of the initial eligibility determination. <b>No =</b> The student's initial IEP was NOT developed within 30 days of the initial eligibility determination.			specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	35 Implementation of Related Services after the IEP was Developed	§300.323(c)(2)	<ul> <li>Were related services made available to the student in accordance with his/her IEP within 30 days of development of the IEP?<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Yes = If the IEP requires related services, related service trackers indicate that related services began on the date indicated in the IEP.</li> <li>No = If the IEP requires related services, related service trackers indicate that related services did NOT begin on the date indicated in the IEP.</li> <li>N/A = The student's initial eligibility was determined less than 30 days prior to file review OR the IEP did not require related services</li> </ul>	Service Trackers (or comparable and acceptable alternative documentation of related services) in the "Documents" tab of the student's file in the state's special education system of record	Related Services Policy - January 5, 2010 Pages 9-11Specialized Education Policy in Practice Webinar Series: Related Services PolicyRelated Services Policy Webinar SlidesNonpublic School Webinar: Related services documentationNonpublic School Webinar: Related services documentationNonpublic School Webinar: Slides 58-82	<ul> <li>Prong 1 - Provide evidence that related services were made available to the student in accordance with his/her IEP. If no evidence can be provided, develop a plan that addresses missed related services or specialized instruction hours.</li> <li>Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pursuant to the IDEA and *DL v. District of Columbia requirements*, related to the smooth an effective transition for children transitioning from IDEA Part C to IDEA Part B services, LEAs are required to implement the child's IEP by the child's third birthday. (34 CFR §300.124; D.L. v. District of Columbia (Case No. 1:05-cv-01437), 860 F.3d 713 (D.C. Cir. 2017)) This includes the provision of all special education and related services in the IEP. Specialized instruction must be provided by the child's third birthday, or if the child turns three on a non-instructional day, it must be provided on the first school day after the child's third birthday. All related services must be provided at least once within 14 days of the child's third birthday. If the child turns 3 during the summer and the child does not qualify for related services as part of Extended School Year (ESY), all related services must be provided at least once within 14 days of the first day of school after the summer (stage 5 enrollment).

Ite	tem and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
tion Program)	6 Implementation of Related Services During a Specified Period of Time IDEA Program and Correctional Facility Monitoring Use Only)	300.323(c)(2)	For the period under review, were the related services delivered in accordance with the student's IEP? Yes = The file indicates that related services were delivered in accordance with the student's IEP. No = The file indicates that related services were not delivered in accordance with the student's IEP.	Service Trackers (or comparable and acceptable alternative documentation of related services) in the "Documents" tab of the student's file in the state's special education system of record	Related Services Policy - January 5, 2010 Pages 9-11Specialized Education Policy in Practice Webinar Series: Related Services PolicyRelated Services Policy Webinar SlidesNonpublic School Webinar: Related services documentationNonpublic School Webinar: Related services documentationNonpublic School Webinar: Slides 58-82	Prong 1 - Provide evidence that related services were delivered in accordance with the student's IEP. If no evidence can be provided, develop a plan that addresses missed related services. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	37 Implementation of Related Services (Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only)	5 DCMR §A- 2805.1	<ul> <li>Were related services delivered to the student in accordance with his/her IEP in the period specified in the review?</li> <li>Yes = There is evidence in the student's file that related services were delivered to the student in accordance with his/her IEP in the period specified in the review.</li> <li>No = The student's file contains NO evidence that related services were delivered to the student in accordance with his/her IEP in the period specified in the review.</li> <li>No = The student's file contains NO evidence that related services were delivered to the student in accordance with his/her IEP in the period specified in the review.</li> <li>N/A = The student does not require related services (e.g., SLT, PT, OT, counseling, APE, etc.).</li> </ul>	Service Trackers (or comparable and acceptable alternative documentation of related services) in the "Documents" tab of the student's file in the state's special education system of record	Related Services Policy - January 5, 2010 Pages 9-11Specialized Education Policy in Practice Webinar Series: Related Services PolicyRelated Services PolicyRelated Services Policy Webinar SlidesNonpublic School Webinar: Related services documentationNonpublic School Webinar: Related services documentation presentation Slides 58-82	Prong 1 - The LEA must ensure that all outstanding related service documentation is uploaded to the student's file and work with the nonpublic school to develop a plan to address any missed related services. The LEA must provide technical assistance to the nonpublic school regarding their policies and practices to ensure that related services documentation is delivered in accordance with the student's IEP and uploaded into the student's file. The LEA must also develop a process by which OSSE is notified when they become aware that the nonpublic school is not abiding by the regulatory requirement.
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	38 Annual IEP Review	§300.324(b)(1)(i)	<ul> <li>Has the most recent IEP been reviewed periodically, but not less than annually, to determine whether the annual goals for the student are being achieved?</li> <li>Yes = The student's current IEP is one year old or less.</li> <li>No = The student's current IEP is MORE than one year old.</li> </ul>	The IEP meeting date and the date of the student file review	Individualized Education Program Process Policy Page 7	Prong 1 - Convene the IEP Team to review and renew the student's IEP. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
IEP (Individualized Education Program)	39 IEP Least Restrictive Environment in Regular Education (IDEA Program and Correctional Facility Monitoring Use Only)	§300.320(a)(5)	<ul> <li>Does the IEP contain an explanation of the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate with nondisabled students in regular education?</li> <li>Yes = The IEP contains an explanation, of the extent to which the student will be participating outside of regular education.</li> <li>No = The IEP does NOT contain an explanation, of the extent to which the extent to which the student will be participating outside of regular education.</li> <li>No = The IEP does NOT contain an explanation, of the extent to which the student will be participating outside of regular education.</li> <li>N/A = The student will not be participating outside of regular education.</li> </ul>	Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) Section of the IEP		Prong 1 - Convene an IEP meeting or amend the student's IEP so it includes a statement of why the student will not participate with nondisabled students in regular education. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
LRE (Least Restrictive Environment)	40 Consideration of Harmful Effects	§300.116(d)	<ul> <li>In selecting the LRE, was there consideration of any harmful effects on the student or on the quality of services needed?</li> <li>Yes = The student's file contains documentation that the IEP team considered harmful effects on the student or on the quality of services.</li> <li>No = The student's file does NOT contain documentation in the justification section that harmful effects were considered by the IEP team.</li> <li>N/A = In the past year, the student has not been removed from the regular education environment, OR the student's placement was determined through an HOD.</li> </ul>	Statement regarding harmful effects in the "Least Restrictive Environment" section of "IEP Process" tab of the student's file in the state's special education system of record, specifically part "III" of the "Justification for Removal"		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that harmful effects on the student or on the quality of services needed was considered. If no evidence can be provided, reconvene IEP team or amend IEP to include documentation in the justification section of the IEP that harmful effects were considered by the IEP team. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.
LRE	Skip Pattern Lease Restrictive Environment		<ul> <li>Does the student attend a nonpublic school or spend any part of the school day outside of the general education setting?</li> <li>Yes = The student attends a NP school or spends some portion of the school day outside of the general education setting</li> <li>No = The student is full time in general education.</li> </ul>			

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
LRE (Least Restrictive Environment)	41 Student Placement Based on IEP	§300.116(b)(2)	Is the student's placement based on his/her IEP?         Yes = There is a clear alignment between the student's IEP (goals, PLAAFP and instruction hours) and the student's placement.         No = The student's IEP does NOT justify the student's placement.         N/A = In the past year, the student's placement was determined through an HOD or the student was placed by DYRS, DMH, CFSA, or CSS.	The "Special Education and Related Services" section of the IEP to determine if the school in which the student has been placed can deliver specialized instruction and related services in accordance with the IEP	Policies and Procedures for         Placement Review Guidance         Least Restrict Environment         Policy	<ul> <li>Prong 1 - Reconvene the IEP Team to determine the student's placement.</li> <li>Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.</li> </ul>
LRE (Least Restrictive Environment)	42 Supplemental Aids and Services (IDEA Program and Correctional Facility Monitoring Use Only)	300.114(a)(2)(ii)	<ul> <li>Were supplemental aids and services used before removing the student from the regular education environment?</li> <li>Yes = The IEP documents that education in the regular education environment cannot be achieved satisfactorily with the use of supplementary aids and services.</li> <li>No = The IEP does NOT clearly document that education in the regular education environment cannot be achieved satisfactorily with the use of supplementary aids and services.</li> <li>No = The IEP does NOT clearly document that education in the regular education environment cannot be achieved satisfactorily with the use of supplementary aids and services.</li> <li>N/A = This is not the first IEP under which the student was removed from regular education and placed in the current level of educational placement.</li> </ul>	In the "Lease Restrictive Environment" section of the student's IEP		<ul> <li>Prong 1 - Reconvene IEP team or amend IEP to include documentation that education in the regular education environment cannot be achieved satisfactorily with the use of supplementary aids and services.</li> <li>Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.</li> </ul>

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Discipline	Skip Pattern Student Removed More Than 10 Days		Was the student removed from his/her educational setting for more than 10 days?         Yes = The student was removed from the educational setting for more than 10 days.         No = The student was removed from the education setting for 10 days or less.         N/A = The student has not been removed from the educational setting in the last 12 months.			
Discipline	43 Manifestation Determination	§300.530(e)	<ul> <li>Did the parent, LEA, and relevant members of the IEP team meet within 10 school days of the decision to remove the student to determine if the behavior was a manifestation of the student's disability?</li> <li>Yes = Manifestation determination information was completed timely and in file.</li> <li>No = Manifestation determination information was NOT completed timely OR not found in the student's file.</li> </ul>	In the "Documents" tab of the student's file in the state's special education system of record, Manifestation Determination form or notes from the manifestation determination meeting that answer the questions outlined in the step 1 and 2 in the Manifestation Determination form		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that the parent, LEA, and relevant members of the IEP team met within 10 school days of the decision to remove the student to determine if the behavior was a manifestation of the student's disability. If no evidence can be provided, the parent, LEA, and relevant members of the IEP team must convene or reconvene to complete the Manifestation Determination form. Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
	44 Parent Notified of Change of Placement	§300.530(h)	Was the parent notified, following a suspension or long-term expulsion, on the date that a decision was made to make a	In the "Documents" tab in the student's file in the		Prong 1 - Not correctible at the student level.
	Placement		removal that constitutes a change of placement?	state's special education system of record, the PWN including change of placement information		Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement
Discipline			<b>Yes =</b> There is evidence in the student's file that the parent was notified on the date a decision was made to make a removal that constitutes a change of placement.			(achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data.
			<b>No =</b> There is NO evidence in the student's file that the parent was notified on the date a decision was made to make a removal that constitutes a change of placement.			
	45 Parent Provided Procedural	§300.504,	Following a suspension or long-term expulsion, was the parent provided with a	In "Documents" tab in the student's file in the		Prong 1 - Provide evidence that parents received a copy of the procedural
	Safeguards with		copy of the procedural safeguards on the	state's special education		safeguards. If evidence has not been
	Student Change of		date that a decision was made to make a	system of record, a		provided, provide a copy of procedural
	Placement		removal that constitutes a change in placement?	signed "Procedural Safeguards Form"		safeguards to parents and upload a signed "Procedural Safeguards Form" to the student's file in the state's special
ы			Yes = There is evidence in the student's file	Or		education system of record.
Discipline			that on the date a decision was made to make a removal that constitutes a change of placement, the parent was provided with a copy of the procedural safeguards.	In the "Communication Log" in the student's file in the state's special education system of		Prong 2 - OSSE must confirm that the LEA is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirement (achieved 100% compliance) based on a
			<b>No</b> = There is NO evidence in the student's file	record, documented		review of updated data.
			that on the date a decision was made to make a removal that constitutes a change of	attempts		
			placement, the parent was provided with a			
			copy of the procedural safeguards.			

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
	46 Chemical	5 DCMR §A-	Were chemical restraints employed only to	In the "Documents" tab		Prong 1 – Provide documentation
	Restraints Conform	2816.8	student if ordered by a physician, determined	in the student's file in the		demonstrating that the chemical
	to Medical Plan		to be medically necessary, and administered	state's special education		restraint was ordered by a physician,
			in conformance with the student's medical	system of record, the		determined medically necessary, and
	(Nonpublic		treatment plan?	written incident report		administered in conformance with the
	Monitoring Use			documenting the use of a		student's medical treatment plan.
	Only)		<b>Yes =</b> There is evidence in the student's file	chemical restraint.		
			that when needed, chemical restraints were			Prong 2 – N/A
			employed AND these restraints were ordered			
			by a physician, determined to be medically			
ine			necessary, and administered in conformance			
ipli			with the student's medical treatment plan.			
Discipline						
			<b>No</b> = Chemical restraints were employed but			
			there was NO evidence in the student's file			
			these restraints were ordered by a physician,			
			determined to be medically necessary, or			
			administered in conformance with the			
			student's medical treatment plan.			
			N/A - There is no ovidence that charging			
			<b>N/A</b> = There is no evidence that chemical			
			restraints have been employed with the			
			student during the period under review or this			
			regulatory requirement is not applicable.			

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
	Skip Pattern	5 DCMR §A- 2821	This student has evidence in his/her file of			
	LEA	2821	unexcused absences requiring LEA notification.			
	Notification of					
	Truancy		Student has accrued 5 or more unexcused			
			absences within a marking period or similar			
c	(Nonpublic		timeframe.			
uancy	Monitoring Use					
Ē	Only)		Student has accrued 10 or more unexcused			
			absences within the school year.			
			The student fits into both estagories			
			The student fits into both categories.			
			Student does not fit into either category			
			above.			

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Truancy	47 Nonpublic School Notifies LEA Within 2 School Days of 5 Unexcused Absences (Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only)	5 DCMR §A-2821.8	<ul> <li>Did the nonpublic school notify the sending LEA in writing within 2 instructional school days after the accrual of 5 unexcused absences in a marking period by the student?</li> <li>Yes = The student has accrued at least 5 unexcused absences within a marking period during the period under review AND there is evidence that the nonpublic school notified the sending LEA in writing within 2 instructional school days.</li> <li>No = The student has accrued at least 5 unexcused absences within a marking period during the period under review AND there is no evidence that the nonpublic school notified the sending LEA in writing within 2 instructional school days.</li> <li>No = The student has accrued at least 5 unexcused absences within a marking period during the period under review AND there is no evidence that the nonpublic school notified the sending LEA in writing within 2 instructional school days.</li> <li>N/A = This regulatory requirement is not applicable.</li> </ul>	For NPs visited between August and December, monitors will review the attendance records for the second semester of the previous year. For NPs visited between January and June, monitors will review attendance records for the first semester of the current year.		Prong 1 - LEA will provide technical assistance to the nonpublic school regarding their policies and practices to ensure notification of the student's unexcused absences per the regulatory requirement (i.e., 5 DCMR §A-2821.8). Prong 2 – N/A

	Item and Number	Legal Reference	Item/Response Criteria	Data Sources	Resources	Corrective Action
Truancy	48 Nonpublic School Notifies LEA Within 2 School Days of 10 Unexcused Absences (Nonpublic Monitoring Use Only)	5 DCMR §A- 2821.9	Did the nonpublic school notify the sending LEA in writing within 2 instructional school days after the accrual of 10 unexcused absences for the school year under review? Yes = The student has accrued at least 10 unexcused absences for the school year under review AND there is evidence that the nonpublic school notified the sending LEA in writing within 2 instructional school days. No = The student has accrued at least 10 unexcused absences within a school year AND there is NO evidence that the nonpublic school notified the sending LEA in writing within 2 instructional school days. N/A = This regulatory requirement is not applicable.	In the "Documents" tab in the student's file in the state's special education system of record, a document demonstrating that the nonpublic school notified the sending LEA within 2 instructional school days after the accrual of 10 unexcused absences for the school year under review.	Nonpublic School Webinar: Attendance and truancy notification Nonpublic School Webinar: Attendance and truancy notification presentation Slides 33-47	Prong 1 - LEA will provide technical assistance to the nonpublic school regarding their policies and practices to ensure notification of the student's unexcused absences per the regulatory requirement (i.e., 5 DCMR §A-2821.9 (a) & (b)). Prong 2 – N/A