

UPSFF Working Group Meeting October 25, 2018, 3:30-5:00pm

DRAFT Minutes

- Introductions
- Overview of timeline for remaining meetings
- Presentation by Katie Hagan from Edunomics Lab on the national landscape in state education funding
 - There are multiple state funding methods, including student-based, resource-based, and program-based. Student-based is the most common. DC also uses student-based allocations.
 - States with student-based funding use different weights there is no "correct" weight to use.
 - Weights should be determined by comparing to student outcomes graduation, assessments, etc.
 - Detailed look at different methods of determining SPED, EL, and At-Risk weights
- Discussion about national landscape and how this ties into recommendations for the UPSFF Working Group report
 - o How can we look deeper into the context surrounding student outcomes?
 - o Overlapping student characteristics students that are At-Risk, EL, and SPED
 - Concentrations of student characteristics schools that have high percentage of students that are At-Risk, or EL, or SPED, or a combination of these characteristics
 - o Discussion about how to define concentration
 - Discussion about EL levels
- Discussion of Bold Performance Schools with Josh Boots from EmpowerK12
 - o Bold Performance Schools are those that are "beating the odds" based on the school's population of at-risk students.
 - o In some cases, at-risk students are exceeding proficiency rates of non at-risk students in other schools.
 - What are come characteristics of Bold Performance Schools?
 - Culture of high expectations, teacher quality, more staff in classrooms, whole child supports, out-of-school time programs, school leader quality
- Public comment period
 - Take into consideration that at-risk measures might be missing for students (Example: immigration status)
 - Need to be careful about making decisions based on outcomes, because of problems with assessments