

OSSE Reading/Literacy Specialist Standards

<u>Introduction</u>: This document enables educator preparation providers (EPPs) in the District of Columbia to provide information to the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) regarding how the EPP aligns with the OSSE Reading/Literacy Specialist standards adapted from the <u>International Literacy Association</u> (2018).

As the state education agency for the District, OSSE has an interest in ensuring that every student has an effective teacher and that every school has effective leaders. OSSE values the dedication of District EPPs to ensure schools are staffed with effective leaders and teacher leaders and strives to ensure programmatic excellence and candidate success. Establishing that each approved DC EPP is held to a uniform set of standards will help OSSE ensure that these aims are realized.

<u>EPP Submission</u>: The EPP should submit this rubric with its evidence for the state reading/literacy specialist subject area program review. In completing this form, the EPP should describe how its reading/literacy specialist program provides candidates coursework (assignments and assessments) and/or clinical and field experiences that demonstrate competency in each of the OSSE reading/literacy specialist program standards below.

EPP Information:

EPP name (in the box below)	EPP contact name, title and contact information (email and phone number in the box below)
Submission date (in the box below)	

EPP Notification: OSSE will notify the EPP of its state approval status within 60 calendar days of the subject area program review.

<u>OSSE Standards Alignment:</u> The EPP must complete the table below aligning evidence to be submitted to each OSSE reading/literacy specialist standard.

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document.	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard
	Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard	-		-Partially meets the standard
	component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Does not meet the standard
	dge - Candidates demonstrate knowledge of major theoretical, conceptumble which they interrelate, and the role of the reading/literacy specialist in so	· ·	ence-base	d foundations of
Component 1.1 – Candidates demonstrate knowledge of the major theoretical, conceptual, historical, and evidence-based components of reading (e.g.,	EPP			
concepts of print, phonological awareness, phonics, word recognition, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension) development throughout the grades and its relationship with other aspects of literacy.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 1.2 - Candidates demonstrate knowledge of the major theoretical, conceptual, historical, and evidence-based aspects of writing development,	EPP			
writing processes (e.g., revising, audience), and foundational skills (e.g., spelling, sentence construction, word processing) and their relationships with other aspects of literacy.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	Rating -Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard
Component 1.3 – Candidates demonstrate knowledge of theoretical, conceptual, historical, and evidence-based components of language (e.g., language acquisition,	EPP			
structure of language, conventions of standard English, vocabulary acquisition and use, speaking, listening, viewing, visually representing) and its relationships with other aspects of literacy.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 1.4 - Candidates demonstrate knowledge of the historical and evidence-based	EPP			
foundations related to the role of the reading/literacy specialist.	Reviewer Notes	'		Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standa	rd 1			Overall Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	Rating
	standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration			-Meets or exceeds the standard
	document.			Doubielly meets
	Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all			-Partially meets the standard
	notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard			
	component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last			-Does not meet
	column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			the standard
	ction - Candidates use foundational knowledge to design literacy curriculdesign, implement, and evaluate small-group and individual evidence-bave literacy practices.			
Component 2.1 - Candidates use	EPP			
foundational knowledge to design,				
select, critique, adapt, and evaluate evidence-based literacy curricula				
that meet the needs of all learners.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 2.2 - Candidates	EPP			
design, select, adapt, teach, and				
evaluate evidence-based				
instructional approaches, using both informational and narrative texts, to				
meet the literacy needs of whole	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
class and groups of students in the				
academic disciplines and other				
subject areas, and when learning to read, write, listen, speak, view, or				
visually represent.				
Component 2.3 - Candidates	EPP			
select, adapt, teach, and evaluate				
evidence-based, supplemental, and				
intervention approaches and				

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	Rating -Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard
programs; such instruction is explicit, intense, and provides adequate scaffolding to meet the literacy needs of individual and small groups of students, especially those who experience difficulty with reading and writing.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 2.4 - Candidates collaborate with and coach schoolbased educators in developing,	EPP			
implementing, and evaluating literacy instructional practices and curriculum.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standa	rd 2			Overall Reviewer Rating

Standard 3: Assessment and Evaluation - Candidates understand, select, and use valid, reliable, fair, and appropriate assessment tools to screen, diagnose, and measure student literacy achievement; inform instruction and evaluate interventions; assist teachers in their understanding and use of assessment results; advocate for appropriate literacy practices to relevant stakeholders.

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets
	notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			the standard -Does not meet the standard
Component 3.1 - Candidates understand the purposes, attributes, formats, strengths/limitations (including validity, reliability,	EPP			
inherent language, dialect, cultural bias), and influences of various types of tools in a comprehensive literacy and language assessment system and apply that knowledge to using assessment tools.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 3.2 - Candidates collaborate with colleagues to administer, interpret, and use data	EPP			
for decision making about student assessment, instruction, intervention, and evaluation for individual and groups of students.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 3.3 - Candidates participate in and lead professional learning experiences to assist teachers in selecting, administering,	EPP			
analyzing, interpreting assessments, and using results for instructional decision making in classrooms and schools.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer	
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document.	Name(s)/ Number(s)		Hours	Rating -Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets
	Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard	
Component 3.4 - Candidates, using both written and oral communication, explain assessment results and advocate for appropriate	EPP				
literacy and language practices to a variety of stakeholders, including students, administrators, teachers, other educators, and parents/ guardians.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating	
Overall reviewer notes for Standar	rd 3			Overall Reviewer Rating	
•	Candidates demonstrate knowledge of research, relevant theories, pedading of themselves and others as cultural beings; create classrooms and sommunity levels.		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Component 4.1 - Candidates demonstrate knowledge of foundational theories about diverse	EPP				
learners, equity, and culturally responsive instruction.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating	
Component 4.2 - Candidates demonstrate understanding of themselves and others as cultural	EPP				

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard -Does not meet
	column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			the standard
beings through their pedagogy and interactions with individuals both within and outside of the school community.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 4.3 - Candidates create and advocate for inclusive and affirming classroom and school environments by designing and	EPP			
implementing instruction that is culturally responsive and acknowledges and values the diversity in their school and in society.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 4.4 - Candidates advocate for equity at school, district, and community levels.	EPP			
	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standa	ard 4			Overall Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	Rating
	standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration			-Meets or exceeds the standard
	document.			-Partially meets
	Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all			the standard
	notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last			-Does not meet
	column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			the standard
	acy Environment - Candidates meet the developmental needs of all learn			•
variety of print and digital material positive climate that supports a lite	s to engage and motivate all learners; integrate digital technologies in aperacy-rich learning environment.	propriate, safe, and ef	ffective wa	ays; foster a
Component 5.1 - Candidates, in	EPP			
consultation with families and colleagues, meet the developmental				
needs of all learners (e.g., English				
learners, those with difficulties learning to read, the gifted), taking into consideration physical, social, emotional, cultural, and intellectual factors.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 5.2 - Candidates	EPP			
collaborate with school personnel and provide opportunities for student choice and engagement				
with a variety of print and digital materials to engage and motivate all learners.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 5.3 - Candidates integrate digital technologies into	EPP			
their literacy instruction in appropriate, safe, and effective ways and assist colleagues in these efforts.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	Rating -Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard
	notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Does not meet the standard
Component 5.4 - Candidates facilitate efforts to foster a positive climate that supports the physical	EPP			
and social literacy-rich learning environment, including knowledge of routines, grouping structures, and social interactions.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standa	rd 5			Overall Reviewer Rating
_	and Leadership - Candidates demonstrate the ability to be reflective lite ely with colleagues; demonstrate their leadership and facilitation skills; a			
Component 6.1 - Candidates demonstrate the ability to reflect on their professional practices, belong	EPP			
to professional organizations, and are critical consumers of research, policy, and practice.	Reviewer Notes	'		Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	Rating -Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard
	standard below.			the standard
Component 6.2 - Candidates use their knowledge of adult learning to engage in collaborative decision	EPP			
making with colleagues to design, align, and assess instructional practices and interventions within and across classrooms.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 6.3 - Candidates develop, refine, and demonstrate leadership and facilitation skills when working with individuals and	EPP			
groups.	Reviewer Notes		<u>'</u>	Reviewer Rating
Component 6.4 - Candidates consult with and advocate on behalf of teachers, students, families, and	EPP			
communities for effective literacy practices and policies.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standa	rd 6			Overall Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than	Name(s)/	Hours	Rating
	200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the	Number(s)		
	standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course			-Meets or exceeds
	syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration			the standard
	document.			Doubielly meants
	Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all			-Partially meets the standard
	notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard			the standard
	component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last			-Does not meet
	column for each standard component and an overall rating for each			the standard
	standard below.			
Standard 7: Practicum and Clinica	I Experiences - Candidates complete supervised, integrated, extended pr	actica/clinical experien	ces that ir	i Include intervention
	with their peers and experienced colleagues; practica include ongoing experienced	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
includes observation and ongoing	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	cc	a secting(5,, 3aper 1131011
Component 7.1 - Candidates work	EPP			
with individual and small groups of				
students at various grade levels to				
assess students' literacy strengths				
and needs, develop literacy				
intervention plans, implement				
instructional plans, create	Reviewer Notes		l	Reviewer Rating
supportive literacy learning	neviewer rotes			neviewer nating
environments, and assess impact on				
student learning. Settings may				
include a candidate's own				
classroom, literacy clinic, other				
school, or community settings.				
Component 7.2 - Candidates	EPP			
collaborate with and coach peers				
and experienced colleagues to				
develop, reflect on, and study their	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
own and others' teaching practices.	NEVIEWEI NOTES			heviewei hutilig

Component 7.3 - Candidates have	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course Name(s)/ Number(s)	Credit Hours	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			Rating -Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard
Component 7.3 - Candidates have ongoing opportunities for authentic, school-based practicum experiences.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 7.4 - Candidates receive supervision, including observation (in-person, computer assisted, or video analysis) and ongoing feedback during their practicum/clinical experiences by supervisors who understand literacy processes, have literacy content knowledge, understand literacy assessment and evidence-based instructional strategies and, preferably, have experience as reading/literacy specialists.	EPP			
	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standa	rd 7			Overall Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	Rating -Meets or exceeds the standard
	document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard
Overall OSSE Reading/Lite	eracy Specialist Standards Reviewer Notes			Overall OSSE Reading/Literacy Specialist Standards Reviewer Rating