

Background:

This document provides the rubric that OSSE will use to provide feedback on <u>Local Education Agency (LEA) Equity Plans</u> and <u>LEA Equity Plan Updates</u>, and to determine approval status of submissions into the ESEA Consolidated Grant application system. It is aligned with OSSE's <u>Local Education Agency Equity Plan Template and Related Guidance</u>, which provides the template for completing the LEA Equity Plans that are required of LEAs with identified teacher equity gaps, under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). The goal of the LEA-level equity plans, together with the state-level <u>District of Columbia Teacher Equity Plan</u>, is to improve learning for students with the greatest need.

Related Resources:

OSSE's Equitable Access to Excellent Educators webpage, available at https://osse.dc.gov/page/equitable-access-excellent-educators, provides additional background and resources. These include, for example:

- <u>The District of Columbia LEA Equity Plan Toolkit</u> a series of resources for understanding, interpreting, planning and collaborating around teacher equity. Please refer to these optional resources for guidance about how to create a robust section for each step of the process, with the goal of making the LEA Equity Plan an effective strategic document.
- OSSE's Sample LEA Equity Plan an example LEA Equity Plan, built for a fictional DC LEA
- LEA Equity Gap Calculation Guidance guidance that provides the methodology for identifying equity gaps

Technical Assistance:

OSSE can provide technical assistance to LEAs across all stages of plan development and submission. With questions about technical assistance opportunities, LEA Equity Plans, or the Teaching and Learning division's review process, please contact Rose Goff at Rose.Goff@dc.gov



Section 1: Equity Gaps		
Equity Plan Updates		
INCOMPLETE □	ADEQUATE/APPROVABLE □	ROBUST □
The narrative is missing at least one	The narrative includes all required elements.	The narrative is approvable and
required element.		includes the elements noted
		below.
Required Initial Submission	Required Initial Submission	All Submissions
☐ Does not identify the teacher equity gaps	☐ Identifies the school-level teacher equity gaps	☐ Additional data, outside what was
that are most meaningful for your LEA	that are most meaningful for your LEA	given in the OSSE letter, is used to
☐ Does not identify school-level goals for	☐ Identifies school level for goals closing each of	contextualize and identify meaningful
closing each of these gaps	these gaps	gaps
☐ Goal(s) are missing a progress threshold or	☐ Each goal includes a progress threshold and a	☐ Long-term goals include a
a target date	target date	breakdown of milestones over short-
Required Update Submission	Required Update Submission	term timeframes
☐ The narrative neither explicitly confirms	☐ The narrative either explicitly confirms that	☐ School-level goals are stated as
that submitted goals are correct, nor includes	submitted goals are correct, or includes a revision	"SMART" goals, that is, they are
a revision reflecting any changes	reflecting any changes	specific, measurable, actionable,
\square The narrative does not an update on the	☐ For each goal, the narrative provides an update	realistic, and time bound
LEA's status towards reaching each goal	on the LEA's status towards reaching the goal	☐ Goals are explicitly aligned with the
☐ The narrative does not include the LEA's	☐ Each update includes the LEA's progress	LEA's long-term strategic plan,
progress towards reaching the goals (i.e., the	towards reaching the goals (i.e., the updated	priorities, achievement goals, and/or
updated percentages of ineffective, out-of-	percentages of ineffective, out-of-field, and/or	theory of action
field, and/or experienced teachers, or	experienced teachers, or updated percentages of	☐ Potential within-school gaps are
updated percentages of another metric) in the	another metric) in the context of the goal	examined and/or identified
context of the goal threshold and target year	threshold and target year	



	☐ Goals are clearly and explicitly
	aligned with short and long term
	metrics.
	☐ The narrative includes a description
	of how the LEA prioritized gaps
Comments	of flow the LLA phoritized gaps
<u>Comments</u> :	



Section 2: Root Cause Analysis		
Equity Plan Updates		
INCOMPLETE □	ADEQUATE/APPROVABLE □	ROBUST □
The narrative is missing at least one	The narrative includes all required	The narrative is approvable and
required element.	elements.	includes the elements noted below.
Required Initial Submission	Required Initial Submission	All Submissions
☐ The narrative does not describe the	☐ The narrative describes the stakeholders	☐ The narrative indicates that
stakeholders that were consulted in order to	that were consulted in order to complete the	stakeholders with diverse roles and
complete the root cause analysis, including	root cause analysis, including the number of	perspectives meaningfully participated in
the number of individuals and their roles.	individuals and their roles.	the root cause analysis process
☐ The narrative does not describe the	☐ The narrative describes the process that	☐ The narrative clearly and explicitly
process that the LEA used to conduct the root	the LEA used to conduct the root cause	describes each step of the process used to
cause analysis.	analysis.	conduct the root cause analysis
☐ Root causes are not identified and/or they	☐ Root causes are identified, and they are	☐ The narrative documents the Five
are not aligned to the gaps described in	aligned to the gaps described in Section 1.	"Whys" uncovered through the root cause
Section 1.		analysis process (for more information,
	Optional Update Submissions	refer to the Section 2 Tool in OSSE's Equity
	☐ The narrative includes a revision with	Toolkit ¹)
	updated root causes and/or updates	☐ Root causes focus on system challenges,
	describing the extent to which root causes	not symptoms
	may have changed	☐ Root causes focus on challenges that are
		within the LEA's locus of control, rather
		than challenges that are out of an LEA's
		control
		☐ Review of additional sources of data
		beyond the letter sent by OSSE (e.g., survey



	data) is incorporated into the LEA's root
	cause analysis process
	☐ The narrative describes the meetings
	that took place, including dates, durations,
	and attendees.
Comments:	

¹ Please find OSSE's Equity Toolkit available online on the Equitable Access to Excellent Educators webpage here: https://osse.dc.gov/page/equitable-access-excellent-educators. Please scroll to the header titled "Equity Toolkit."



Section 3: Strategies		
	Equity Plan Updates	
INCOMPLETE □	ADEQUATE/APPROVABLE □	ROBUST □
The narrative is missing at least one	The narrative includes all required	The narrative is approvable and
required element.	elements.	includes the elements noted below.
Required Initial Submission	Required Initial Submission	All Submissions
☐ The plan does not describe the strategy	☐ The plan describes the strategy or	☐ The narrative includes a theory of action
or strategies to address the root causes of	strategies to address the root causes of	indicating how the strategies will lead to
documented gap(s)	documented gap(s)	the overall intended outcomes. For
☐ The narrative is missing one or some of	☐ For each strategy, the narrative	optional guidance, refer to the Section 3
the following:	documents:	tool in OSSE's Equity Toolkit ² (page 4)
a) Future action steps	a) Future action steps	☐ The narrative explicitly connects each
b) A timeline with related milestones	b) A timeline with related milestones	strategy with the associated root cause and
c) The source of funding that the LEA will	c) The source of funding that the LEA will	desired milestone outcomes
use. (Examples: Title funds, local funds,	use. (Examples: Title funds, local funds,	☐ The narrative explicitly explains how
grant funds, other sources of funding, no	grant funds, other sources of funding, no	strategies chosen are expected to be
funds needed.)	funds needed.)	feasible, high-leverage, and supported in
		the LEA
Required Update Submissions	Required Update Submissions	☐ The narrative describes research or
☐ The description of the strategy or	☐ The description of the strategy or	evidence behind strategies
strategies is not updated to be current and	strategies is updated to be current and future	☐ The narrative draws on lessons learned
future oriented (i.e. includes strategy and/or	oriented (i.e. includes strategy and/or action	in other LEAs, locally or nationally, that
action step revisions where needed)	step revisions where needed)	have implemented the strategy(s) with high
☐ The narrative does not describe how	☐ The narrative includes a brief description	effectiveness
implementation of the strategies is going,	of how implementation is going, specifying	
specifying any implementation successes (e.g.	challenges and/or successes.	



action steps completed) or implementation challenges.	
<u>Comments</u> :	

² Please find OSSE's Equity Toolkit available online on the Equitable Access to Excellent Educators webpage here: https://osse.dc.gov/page/equitable-access-excellent-educators. Please scroll to the header titled "Equity Toolkit."



Section 4: Ongoing Monitoring and Support		
	Equity Plan Updates	
INCOMPLETE □	ADEQUATE/APPROVABLE	ROBUST □
The narrative is missing at least one	The narrative includes all required	The narrative is approvable and
required element.	elements.	includes the elements noted below.
Required Initial Submission	Required Initial Submission	All Submissions
☐ The narrative does not identify the staff	☐ The narrative identifies the staff members	☐ The narrative includes performance
members responsible for assessing progress	responsible for assessing progress and	metrics that capture the following aspects
and supporting implementation, including	supporting implementation, including their	of effectiveness:
their roles and/or names.	roles and/or names.	☐ Fidelity of strategy
☐ The narrative does not identify short-	☐ The narrative identifies the short-term and	implementation (i.e., whether the
term and long-term performance metrics OR	long-term performance metrics your LEA	strategy happened as envisioned)
the included performance metrics are	and/or schools will use to assess progress	☐ Staff or community perceptions
incomplete. Performance metrics:	towards achieving your teacher equity goals.	(e.g., survey)
 a. Include a target year or month 	Performance metrics:	☐ Outcomes (e.g., growth in aspects
b. Include a target threshold (e.g., a	a. Include a target year or month	of teachers' evaluations, differential
percentage or number)	b. Include a target threshold (e.g., a	retention)
c. Capture the extent to which the strategy is	percentage or number)	☐ Every strategy in the plan includes
working as intended	c. Capture the extent to which the strategy is	performance metrics capturing all of the
Please note: A "short-term" metric refers to	working as intended	above aspects of effectiveness.
the same school year in which a plan is	Please note: A "short-term" metric refers to the	☐ Every strategy in the plan includes both
submitted, while a "long-term" metric refers	same school year in which a plan is submitted,	short- and long- term performance metrics
to a future school year.	while a "long-term" metric refers to a future	☐ The narrative describes how the LEA
	school year.	will continue to engage stakeholder to
		incorporate feedback and ensure that
		strategies are implemented as envisioned.



	Optional Update Submissions ☐ Each metric in the plan includes an update with up-to-date progress towards the threshold (i.e., a number or percentage, in the context of a future goal). ☐ The narrative explicitly notes that the description of staff responsible is complete and current, and documents changes where needed	☐ The narrative describes how frequently the LEA will check on progress, including the staff that will be included, and data that will be reviewed. ☐ The narrative describes a process for proactively handling potential course corrections ☐ The narrative describes any steps for seeking technical assistance ☐ The narrative includes any new performance metrics the LEA wishes to track. For example, an LEA that has successfully met the target of a previously submitted performance metric may wish to provide a new metric.
<u>Comments</u> :		