

OSSE English Learner Standards

<u>Introduction</u>: This document enables educator preparation providers (EPPs) in the District of Columbia to provide information to the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) regarding how the EPP aligns with the OSSE English Learner standards adapted from the <u>Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL)</u>.

As the state education agency for the District, OSSE has an interest in ensuring that every student has an effective teacher and that every school has effective leaders. OSSE values the dedication of District EPPs to ensure schools are staffed with effective leaders and teacher leaders and strives to ensure programmatic excellence and candidate success. Establishing that each approved DC EPP is held to a uniform set of standards will help OSSE ensure that these aims are realized.

<u>EPP Submission</u>: The EPP should submit this rubric with its evidence for the state English learner subject area program review. In completing this form, the EPP should describe how its English learner program provides candidates coursework (assignments and assessments) and/or clinical and field experiences that demonstrate competency in each of the OSSE English learner program standards below.

EPP Information:

EPP name (in the box below)	EPP contact name, title, and contact information (email and phone number in the box below)
Submission date (in the box below)	

EPP Notification: OSSE will notify the EPP of its state approval status within 60 calendar days of the subject area program review.

<u>OSSE Standards Alignment:</u> The EPP must complete the table below aligning evidence to be submitted to each OSSE English learner standard.

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document.	Name(s)/ Number(s)		-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard
	Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all			Standard
	notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Does not meet the standard
_	uage - Candidates demonstrate knowledge of English language structu			
	inguage processes to help English Language Learners (ELLs) acquire ac	ademic language and li	teracies sp	ecific to various
content areas.				
Component 1.A Candidates	EPP			
demonstrate knowledge of English				
language structures in different				
discourse contexts to promote	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
acquisition of reading, writing,	Neviewer Notes			neviewer nating
speaking, and listening skills across content areas. Candidates serve as				
language models for ELLs.				
Component 1.B Candidates	EPP			
demonstrate knowledge of second	LFF			
language acquisition theory and				
developmental process of language to				
set expectations for and facilitate	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
language learning.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Ruthing
Component 1.C Candidates	EPP			
demonstrate knowledge of language				
processes (e.g., interlanguage and				
language progressions) to facilitate				
ianguage progressions, to identitate				

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard	Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard	
	,			-Does not meet the standard
and monitor ELLs' language learning in English.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 1.D. - Candidates apply knowledge of English academic language functions, learning domains,	EPP			
content- specific language and discourse structures, and vocabulary to promote ELLs' academic achievement across content areas.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standard	1 1			Overall Reviewer Rating
social, and sociopolitical contexts or academic and personal characteristi	Il Context - Candidates demonstrate and apply knowledge of the impain the education and language acquisition of ELLs as supported by researcs of each ELL, as well as family circumstances and literacy practices, to Candidates recognize how educator identity, role, culture, and biases	arch and theories. Cand to develop individualize	lidates inve	estigate the e instructional and
Component 2.A. - Candidates demonstrate knowledge of how dynamic academic, personal, familial,	EPP			

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard
cultural, and social contexts, including sociopolitical factors, impact the education of ELLs.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 2.B Candidates demonstrate knowledge of research and theories of cultural and linguistic diversity and equity that promote	EPP			
academic and social language learning for ELLs.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 2.C. - Candidates devise and implement methods to understand each ELL's academic characteristics, including background	EPP			
knowledge, educational history, and current performance data, to develop effective, individualized instructional and assessment practices for their ELLs.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 2.D. - Candidates devise and implement methods to learn about personal characteristics	EPP			
of the individual ELL (e.g., interests, motivations, strengths, needs) and their family (e.g., language use,	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all	Name(s)/ Hours Number(s)	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard	
	notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Does not meet the standard
literacy practices, circumstances) to develop effective instructional practices.				
Component 2.E. - Candidates identify and describe the impact of his/her identity, role, cultural	EPP			
understandings, and personal biases and conscious knowledge of U.S. culture on his/her interpretation of the educational strengths and needs of individual ELLs and ELLs in general.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standard	d 2			Overall Reviewer Rating
using evidence-based, ELL-centered instruction. Candidates demonstrat acquisition of English language and	nting Instruction - Candidates plan supportive environments for ELLs, , interactive approaches. Candidates make instructional decisions by re understanding of the role of collaboration with colleagues and committeracies in the content areas. Candidates use and adapt relevant resort, and communicate about instruction for ELLs	eflecting on individual Enumication with families	ELL outcom s to suppor	es and adjusting t their ELLs'
Component 3.A. - Candidates plan for culturally and linguistically relevant, supportive environments that promote ELLs' learning.	EPP			

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard
	notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Does not meet the standard
Candidates design scaffolded instruction of language and literacies to support standards and curricular objectives for ELLs' in the content areas.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 3.B Candidates instruct ELLs using evidence-based, student-centered, developmentally	EPP			
appropriate interactive approaches.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 3.C Candidates adjust instructional decisions after critical reflection on individual ELLs' learning	EPP			
outcomes in both language and content.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 3.D Candidates plan strategies to collaborate with other	EPP			
educators, school personnel, and families in order to support their ELLs' learning of language and literacies in the content areas.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 3.E. - Candidates use and adapt relevant materials and	EPP			

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document.	Number(s) standards and components below. Please attach evidence e syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this enstration document.	Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard
	Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Does not meet the standard
resources, including digital resources, to plan lessons for ELLs, support communication with other educators, school personnel, and ELLs and to foster student learning of language and literacies in the content areas.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standard	d 3			Overall Reviewer Rating
Standard 4: Assessment and Evalua	tion - Candidates apply assessment principles to analyze and interpre	t multiple and varied as	sessments	for FLLs including
	language proficiency assessments. Candidates understand how to ana			
	content learning. Candidates understand the importance of communic			
Component 4.A. - Candidates apply knowledge of validity, reliability, and	EPP			
assessment purposes to analyze and interpret student data from multiple				
sources, including norm-referenced				
and criterion-referenced tests. Candidates make informed instructional decisions that support language learning.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
ianguage learning.				

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document.	Name(s)/ Number(s)	Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard
	Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.			-Does not meet the standard
Component 4.B Candidates demonstrate understanding of classroom-based formative, summative, and diagnostic	EPP			
assessments scaffolded for both English language and content assessment. Candidates determines language and content learning goals based on assessment data.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 4.C. - Candidates demonstrate knowledge of stateapproved administrative	EPP			
considerations, accessibility features, and accommodations appropriate to ELLs for standardized assessments.	Reviewer Notes		1	Reviewer Rating
Component 4.D Candidates demonstrate understanding of how English language proficiency	EPP			
assessment results are used for identification, placement, and reclassification.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standar	d 4			Overall Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.	Name(s)/ Number(s)	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard	
Standard 5: Professionalism and Le	adership - Candidates demonstrate professionalism and leadership by	 v collaborating with other	er educato	 rs_knowing nolicies
and legislation and the rights of ELLs	s, advocating for ELLs and their families, engaging in self-assessment a ching practice through supervised teaching.			<u> </u>
Component 5.A Candidates demonstrate knowledge of effective collaboration strategies in order to	EPP			
plan ways to serve as a resource for ELL instruction, support educators and school staff, and advocate for ELLs.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 5.B. - Candidates apply knowledge of school, district, and governmental policies and legislation	EPP			
that impact ELLs' educational rights in order to advocate for ELLs.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Component 5.C. - Candidates practice self-assessment and reflection, make adjustments for self-	EPP			
improvement, and plan for continuous professional development in the field of English language learning and teaching.	Reviewer Notes	,		Reviewer Rating

OSSE Standard	Description of how program meets standard	Applicable Course	Credit	Reviewer Rating
	EPP: Please provide a concise, yet specific description (no more than 200 words) of how the EPP subject area program meets each of the standards and components below. Please attach evidence (course syllabi, reading lists, assessment examples) to this demonstration document. Reviewer: Please use the space highlighted in blue to document all notes regarding the EPP evidence provided for each standard component and overall standard and provide a rating in the last column for each standard component and an overall rating for each standard below.		Hours	-Meets or exceeds the standard -Partially meets the standard -Does not meet the standard
Component 5.D Candidates	EPP			
engage in supervised teaching to apply and develop their professional				
practice using self-reflection and feedback from their cooperating teachers and supervising faculty.	Reviewer Notes			Reviewer Rating
Overall reviewer notes for Standar	d 5			Overall Reviewer Rating
Overall OSSE EL Standards	Reviewer Notes			Overall OSSE EL Standards Reviewer Rating