

## **ENCLOSURE 2**

## FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2012 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS

LEA:	Washington Math Science and Technology Public Charter School
Final Percentage Rating:	81%
Determination Level:	Meets Requirements

## **SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED**

Element	Element Description	Determination Criteria	Number of Points Achieved	Number of Points Possible
1	History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13)	<ul> <li>Indicator 4b – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 9 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 10 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 11 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 12 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 13 – noncompliant</li> </ul>	0	1
2	Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data	All data are submitted timely	4	4
<b>3</b> a	Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring	<ul> <li>Less than 75% of areas reviewed in compliance</li> </ul>	0	2
3b	Dispute resolution findings	<ul> <li>LEA has 26-50 students with IEPs</li> <li>No dispute resolution complaints were filed against the LEA or 0-4 findings of noncompliance</li> </ul>	2	2

4	Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports	<ul> <li>Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>Type of Auditor's A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>Auditor's designation as low-risk subrecipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4</li> <li>Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4</li> <li>Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4</li> </ul>	4	4
5	Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA's compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data	Timely LEA submission of Phase I and Phase II applications and reimbursement for a minimum of 45% of its IDEA, Section 611 funds within the first 15 months of the FFY 2012 grants cycle	4	4
6	Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement	LEA did not report on MOE timely to     OSSE	0	2
7	Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators	LEA did not meet minimum "n" size for disability subgroup	N/A	N/A

8	Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance (points added to total score)	100% of noncompliance corrected as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year after the identification of the noncompliance.	2	2
		<ul> <li>BONUS: LEA has no longstanding noncompliance from FFY 2011, 2010 and 2009</li> </ul>	1	
Total Number of Points Achieved			17	
Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements			21	
Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements				81%