

MEMORANDUM

To: School Food Authorities

Viaela From:

Elizabeth Leach School Programs Manager

Date: October 11, 2016

Re: Expansion of the National School Lunch Program and School Breakfast Program Monitoring

State Agency Memo NSLP #10-17

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) released the <u>Final Rule: Administrative</u> <u>Reviews in the School Nutrition Programs</u> and recently released additional guidance supporting the Final Rule.

The purpose of this State Agency Memo is to inform school food authorities (SFA's) of updates in the Final Rule that require SFA action. If you have any questions, please reach out to myself or your designated program specialist.

SFA Annual On-Site Reviews of SBP and NSLP

In addition to the review requirements already in place for an annual SFA on-site review of the National School Lunch Program (NSLP), the final rule adds this review requirement for the School Breakfast Program (SBP). SFAs are required to establish internal controls which ensure the accuracy of meal counts prior to the submission of the monthly Claim for Reimbursement. The internal controls must include an on-site review of the readily observable general areas of review and the meal counting and claiming system employed by each school approved to participate in the NSLP and at 50% of the schools approved to participate in the SBP within the jurisdiction of the SFA. While the annual requirement is to complete 50% of the schools approved to participate in the SBP in the SFA's jurisdiction, each school approved to participate in the SBP must be reviewed at least once every two years. SFAs with only one school are not required to conduct such on-site reviews for their approved school. However, the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) highly encourages those SFAs to conduct a self-assessment in the form of an on-site review to ensure integrity and accountability of the Programs.

Every school year, each SFA with more than one school must perform no less than one on-site review of the meal counting and claiming system and the readily observable general areas of review in each school operating the NSLP and 50% of schools operating the SBP administered by the SFA.

The on-site review must take place prior to February 1 of each school year. If the review discloses problems with a school's meal counting and claiming procedures or general review areas, the SFA must ensure that the school implements corrective action, and within 45 days of the review, conduct a follow-up on-site review to determine that the corrective action resolved the problems. Each on-site review must ensure the school's claim is based on the counting and claiming system, as implemented, and yields the actual number of reimbursable free, reduced price, and paid meals, respectively, served for each day of operation.

In an effort to assist SFAs in conducting these reviews, FNS is providing a prototype checklist for SFAs to use as a resource when conducting on-site reviews. The content of the prototype checklist covers the minimum requirements for the on-site reviews; therefore, SFAs do not need to make changes to their existing on-site review form(s) unless it does not reflect the information contained in the FNS prototype checklist. The checklist assesses meal counting and claiming procedures, system accuracy, point of service, and readily observable general areas of review as applicable. The checklist is included below for your use.

SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY ON-SITE REVIEW CHECKLIST ASSESSMENT OF THE MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM AND READILY OBSERVABLE GENERAL AREAS FOR THE SBP AND NSLP

According to 7 CFR 210.8(a)(1) and 7 CFR 220.11(d)(1), every school year, prior to February 1, each School Food Authority (SFA) with more than one school (as defined by 7 CFR Part 210.2 to include Residential Child Care Institutions (RCCIs)) must perform no less than one on-site review of the meal counting and claiming system and the readily observable general areas of review identified under

7 CFR 210.18(h) in each school operating the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and 50% of schools operating the School Breakfast Program (SBP) under its jurisdiction.

Each on-site review must ensure the school's claim is based on the counting and claiming system, as implemented, and yields the actual number of reimbursable free, reduced price, and paid meals, respectively, served for each day of operation.

If the review discloses problems with a school's meal counting and claiming procedures or general review areas, the SFA must ensure that the school implements corrective action, and within 45 days of the review, conduct a followup on-site review to determine that the corrective action resolved the problems.

School Name: _____

Review Date: _____

SFA Reviewer: _____

SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM ON-SITE REVIEW

The following questions are recommended at a minimum to complete the on-site review requirement:

YES	NO	MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM						
		1. Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the approved						
			point of service requirement? (Meal counts must be taken at the location(s) where					
					are served to children.)			
					ervice meal count used to determine the school's claim for			
				rsement				
					sponsible for monitoring and counting meals correctly identifying			
				rsable m				
		4. I	s the so	chool co	prrectly implementing policies for handling the following (as applicable):			
		Yes	No	N/A				
					Incomplete meals?			
					Second meals?			
					Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs, etc.?			
					Visiting student meals?			
		Adult and non-student meals (and identif			Adult and non-student meals (and identifying program vs non-			
					program)?			
					A la carte?			
					Student worker meals?			
					Field Trips?			
					Charged and/or prepaid meals?			
					Offer vs Serve?			
					Unpaid meal charges			
		5. Is there a method of identifying non-reimbursable meals (i.e., not meeting meal pattern						
		requirements, seconds, adult meals, etc.) and distinguishing them from reimbursable						
		meals?						

YES	NO	MEAL COUNTING AND CL	AIMING SYSTEM CONT.						
		6. Is someone trained as a backup for the monitor and the meal counter?							
		7. Are there procedures for meal counting and claiming when the primary counting and claiming system is not available? Do staff know when and how to implement them?							
		8. Are daily counts correctly totaled and recor	ded?						
		9. If claims are aggregated, are the meal count	s correctly totaled and consolidated?						
		10. Are internal controls (edits, monitoring, etc.) established to ensure that daily counts do not exceed the number of students eligible or in attendance and that an accurate claim is made?							
		Record today's meal counts by category and con	mpare to the total number of students						
		eligible by category							
		Number of Students Approved by Category	Today's Meal Counts by Category						
		Free:	Free:						
		Reduced Price: Reduced Price:							
		Paid: Paid							
		11. Does the system prevent overt identification of children receiving free or reduced price meals?							
NOTI	E: The	following two questions are for all SFAs excep	t for SFAs on Provision 2 or 3 in non-base						
		years, CEP, or RCCIs with only re	esidential children						
YES	NO								
		12. Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date an provide an accurate daily count of reimburs paid)?	nd used by the meal counting system to able meals by category (free, reduced price,						
		13. If applicable according to 7 CFR 220.11(d) and paid breakfast counts against data whic counts in excess of the number of free, redu day to children eligible for such breakfasts	h will assist in the identification of breakfast aced price and paid breakfasts served each						

SBP CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "NO" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE SBP CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:

BY WHOM: _____

YES	NO	READILY OBSERVABLE GENERAL AREAS		
		1. Were any issues readily observed in relation to resource management?		
		YES	NO	
				Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account? (7 CFR 210.2,
				210.14, 210.19(a), 210.21)
				Paid Lunch Equity? (7 CFR 210.14(e))
				Revenue from Nonprogram Foods? (7 CFR 210.14(f))
				Indirect Costs? (2 CFR Part 200 and 7 CFR 210.14(g))
		2. W	vere an	y issues readily observed in other general areas?
		YES	NO	
				Free and Reduced Price Process - including verification, notification, and
				other procedures (7 CFR Part 245)
				Civil Rights (7 CFR 210.23(b))
				Reporting and Recordkeeping (7 CFR Parts 210, 220, and 245)
				Food Safety (7 CFR 210.13)
				Competitive Food Service (7 CFR 210.11 and 220.12)
				Water (7 CFR 210.10(a)(1)(i) and 220.8(a)(1))
				Professional Standards (7 CFR 210.30)
				SBP and SFSP Outreach (7 CFR 210.12(d))
				Local School Wellness Policies (7 CFR 210.30)
				Other

SBP CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "YES" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE SBP CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED: _____

BY WHOM: _____

SIGNATURE: _______ School Representative

Title

Date

Date

SFA	Reviewer

Title

SBP FOLLOW-UP VISIT (must be conducted within 45 days if corrective action was required):

Date(s) of Follow-Up:

Observations of corrective action implementation:

 NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM ON-SITE REVIEW (Review Date: _____)

 The following questions are recommended at a minimum to complete the on-site review requirement:

YES	NO	MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM						
		14. Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the approved						
		point of service requirement? (Meal counts must be taken at the location(s) where						
			complete meals are served to children.)					
			-		etermine the school's claim for			
			mbursemen					
			-	esponsible for monitoring me	eals correctly identifying reimbursable			
			als?	.1 . 1 1				
				prrectly implementing policie	es for handling the following (as applicable):			
		Yes	No N/A	Incomplete meele?				
				Incomplete meals? Second meals?				
					antten en destructed tichets talens IDs ets 2			
					gotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs, etc.?			
				Visiting student meals?	ale (and identifying no grow ye non			
				program)?	eals (and identifying program vs non-			
				A la carte?				
				Student worker meals?				
				Field Trips?				
				Charged and/or prepaid n	neals?			
				Offer vs Serve?				
				Unpaid meal charges?				
		18. Is there a method of identifying non-reimbursable meals (i.e. not meeting meal pattern						
		requirements, seconds, adult meals, etc.) and distinguishing them from reimbursable						
		me	als?					
YES	NO	MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM CONT.						
			19. Is someone trained as a backup for the monitor and the meal counter?					
			20. Are there procedures for meal counting and claiming when the primary counting and					
		claiming system is not available? Do staff know when and how to implement them? 21. Are daily counts correctly totaled and recorded?						
		22. If claims are aggregated, are the meal counts correctly totaled and consolidated?						
		23. Are internal controls (edits, monitoring, etc.) established to ensure that daily counts do						
		not exceed the number of students eligible or in attendance and that an accurate claim is						
		made?						
		Record today's meal counts by category and compare to the total number of students						
		eligible by category						
			Number of Students Approved by Category Today's Meal Counts by Category					
		Free:	1 Dalaas		Free:			
		Reduce	u Price:		Reduced Price:			
		Paid: Paid 24. Does the system prevent overt identification of children receiving free or reduced price						
			als?	in prevent overt identification	Tor children receiving free of reduced price			

NOT	NOTE: The following two questions are for all SFAs <u>except</u> for SFAs on Provision 2 or 3 in non-base years, CEP, or RCCIs with only residential children					
YES	NO					
		25. Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date and used by the meal counting system to provide an accurate daily count of reimbursable meals by category (free, reduced price, paid)?				
		26. If applicable according to 7 CFR 210.8(a)(3), are edit checks completed and documented which compare the daily counts of free, reduced price, and paid meals against the product of the number of children currently eligible for free, reduced price, and paid meals, respectively, times an attendance factor (and any discrepancies accounted for)?				

NSLP CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "NO" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE NSLP CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED: _____

BY WHOM: _____

YES	NO	READILY OBSERVABLE GENERAL AREAS		
		3. Were any issues readily observed in relation to resource management?		
		YES	NO	
				Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account? (7 CFR 210.2,
				210.14, 210.19(a), 210.21)
				Paid Lunch Equity? (7 CFR 210.14(e))
				Revenue from Nonprogram Foods? (7 CFR 210.14(f))
				Indirect Costs? (2 CFR Part 200 and 7 CFR 210.14(g))
		4. Were any issues readily observed in other general areas?		
		YES	NO	
				Free and Reduced Price Process - including verification, notification, and
				other procedures (7 CFR Part 245)
				Civil Rights (7 CFR 210.23(b))
			□ □ Reporting and Recordkeeping (7 CFR Parts 210, 220, and 245)	
				Food Safety (7 CFR 210.13)
			□ Competitive Food Service (7 CFR 210.11 and 220.12)	
				Water (7 CFR 210.10(a)(1)(i) and 220.8(a)(1))
				Professional Standards (7 CFR 210.30)
				SBP and SFSP Outreach (7 CFR 210.12(d))
				Local School Wellness Policies (7 CFR 210.30)
				Other

NSLP CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "YES" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE NSLP CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED: _____

BY WHOM: _____

SIGNATURE: _______ School Representative

Title

Title

NSLP FOLLOW-UP VISIT (must be conducted within 45 days if corrective action was required):

Date(s) of Follow-Up: _____

SFA Reviewer

Observations of corrective action implementation:

School Representative

SIGNATURE: ___

Title

Date

Date

Date

SFA Reviewer

Title

Date