

The Department of Special Education

Quarterly LEA Meeting March 3, 2010



Welcome and Introductions

Department Updates and Dates to Remember

LEA Forum: Q and A

Adjournment

Monitoring and Compliance Updates
MOA Overview: Key Elements

Initial Evaluations and Reevaluations
Implementation of Hearing Officer Decisions
Least Restrictive Environment
Disproportionate Representation
Secondary Transition

MOA Reporting Periods

Monitoring and Compliance Updates

Compliance Rates for First Reporting Period:

The quantitative benchmarks under the MOA pertain to initial evaluations, reevaluations and the timely implementation of due process of HODs

The SEA only met the benchmark for the percent of HODs that were implemented in a timely manner during the reporting period

Monitoring and Compliance Updates

Overview of LEA Monitoring Training

- Key Timeframes
- SEA and LEA Responsibilities
- OSSE Monitoring Standards
- Revised Monitoring Tools
- Monitoring Reports: Letters of Finding

Secondary Transition Monitoring

- Nine compliance areas
- Meeting invitations
- Student level and LEA level findings
- Correction of identified noncompliance

Fiscal Update – General

Submission of Required Documents

- ARRA Q-2 Reimbursement Workbooks 26/43 (60%) of LEAs submitted documents on time.
- 2008 Phase II Apps 42/44 Approved (95%)
- 2009 Phase I Apps: 41/43 Approved (95%)
- 2009 Phase II Apps 26/43 Approved (60%)
- LEA March Fiscal Webinars: Time and Effort and ARRA

Future Activities

- Release of final allocations
- Phase III application (consists of budgets and spending plans only). Purpose of the P-3 is to provide easy method for updating your budgets and spending plans to align with final allocations. Anticipated release - end of March.

Program Requests: Please submit your documents on time and with the requested file names!

Fiscal Update – FFY08 Carryover

FFY 08 Sub-grantee Burn Rate:

- Section 611: 95 percent of the FFY 08 Section 611 sub-grant funds have been liquidated. Approximately \$699K remains. Funds must be obligated by September 30, 2010.
- Section 619: Only 8 percent the Section 619 sub-grants have been liquidated. Approximately \$147K remains. Funds must be obligated by September 30, 2010. Reminder: these funds should be used to support services or activities for students aged 3 – 5 years old.
- District GOAL 100 percent liquidation of FFY08 funds by next quarterly meeting!

Fiscal Update – ARRA Monitoring

- Applies to sub-recipients who receive: Title 1, Part A; IDEA, McKinney Vento, SIG 1003 (a) and (g); EETT; and SFSF.
- All sub-recipients will be monitored this year. ARRA monitoring will be in addition to other monitoring activities your LEA may undergo this year.
- A memo summarizing the monitoring process in detail was sent to your LEA – February 19th, 2010.
- Anticipated start date for Round 1 monitoring May 2010
- LEAs will have 72 hours to confirm receipt of notice to monitor
- LEAs will have 2 weeks to submit requested data to OSSE
- If necessary, Corrective Action Plans will be issued

Policy Updates

Policies Released January 5, 2010:

- Related Services Policy
- Policies and Procedures for Placement Review, Revised
- Secondary Transition Policy <u>http://www.osse.dc.gov/seo/cwp/view,a,1222,q,561151.asp</u>

Upcoming Policies:

- Child Find Policy
- Early Childhood Transition (ECT) Policy
- Part B Initial Evaluation/Reevaluation Policy

Expectations Regarding Policy Implementation:

- LEAs should ensure circulation and review of new OSSE policies with staff upon publication.
- LEAs should ensure that staff attend T&TA sessions to support policy implementation.

Upcoming Focus Groups:

- LRE Toolkit Focus Group
- ECT Focus Group

Dates to Remember

Fiscal Training Webinars:

- Time and Effort Webinars: March 9, 2010 at 1:30pm or March 17, 2010 at 10:30am
- ARRA Quarter 3 Webinars: March 9, 2020 at 10:00am or March 11, 2010 at 2:00pm

LEA Monitoring Training:

March 12, 2010, 8:30 am- 3:00 pm and March 22, 2010, 8:30 am- 3:00 pm

SEDS LEA Train the Trainer Sessions:

- **Basic User training:** March 5, 2010 9:00am 3:30pm
- Webinar Review Session: March 15, 2010- multiple times
- **Trainer Strategies, Tools, and Logistics:** March 22, 2010- 9:00am 3:30pm

Next LEA Quarterly Meeting:

June 4, 2010, 1:00- 3:00 pm

LEA Feedback

Overview of FAQs: Themes from Last Session

LEA Questions

For Additional Information:

Fiscal: <u>OSS.DSE-PartBFinance@dc.gov</u> Data: <u>osse.ideadata@dc.gov</u> TTA: <u>osse.tta@dc.gov</u> General: <u>amv.maisterra@dc.gov</u>

1. Question: Why were there multiple dates connected to the child count process?

Answer: The deadline for submission of the child count report to the OSSE this year was extended to January 8, 2010. The date the data is collected annually is December 1.

Although data are collected on December 1, LEAs are required to complete a model certification form which verifies that the data has been reviewed and validated for accuracy prior to submitting to the OSSE. The certification forms are consistent with the laws and regulations for child count.

OSSE utilizes the same method to verify data that the Office of Special Education Programs uses in its monitoring of the OSSE. OSSE cross checks the information received to determine discrepancies and to identify duplications. All questions related to the child count process should be directed to Mr. William Knudsen, Deputy Assistant Superintendent, Monitoring and Compliance, at: <u>William.knudsen@dc.gov</u>.

2. Question: What happens if an LEA receives a student who was enrolled in another school/LEA? What are the obligations regarding retrieval of student records, and how does an LEA retrieve records of a student who has newly enrolled in the LEA?

Answer: IDEA addresses LEA requirements related to obtaining records 300.323(g) and records transmittal [614(d)(2)(C)(ii)]. The new LEA or District charter school is required to take reasonable steps to promptly obtain the child's records, including the IEP and supporting documents and any other records relating to the provision of special education or related services to the child, from the sending school. The previous LEA or District charter school must take reasonable steps to promptly respond to the request from the new LEA. It is essential for both the new and previous LEA or District charter school to document the steps taken to ensure compliance. OSSE strongly recommends that the receiving LEA make the record request within five school days. Please keep in mind that timely transmittal of records is a right of parents and children addressed in IDEA.

The LEA must make an official request (in writing) to the Head of the LEA or District charter school and/or the Special Education Director of the LEA or District charter school in which the child was previously located. If a response has not been received in a reasonable time, the LEA should contact the OSSE to request assistance.

3. Question: What are the guidelines for providing transportation at the preschool level?

Answer: Transportation guidance is available in OSSE's recently released Related Services Policy, which can be found at the following link: http://osse.dc.gov/seo/frames.asp?doc=/seo/lib/seo/Related Services Policy FINAL.pdf. Not every child is eligible for transportation as a related service. Determining a child's eligibility for transportation occurs during the IEP team meeting. The distance to/from school is not factored into the consideration when adding this service to the IEP.

4. Question: How do you count a child whose placement has changed (e.g. attending a non-public)?

Answer: The LEA and District charter schools will continue to count the student as a part of their respective enrollments. OSSE has issued new regulations effective December 4, 2009, confirming the responsibility of all LEAs, including independent charter LEAs and DCPS for D.C. public schools and District charter schools, to continue to ensure IDEA compliance for all children placed by the charter in a nonpublic setting. These regulations are located at: <u>http://seo.dc.gov/seo/frames.asp?doc=/seo/lib/seo/Title 5 Ch 30 Section 301</u> <u>9 Charter Schools FINAL.pdf</u>,

5. Question: How can LEA staff register for the SEDS Train the Trainer process?

Answer: LEA staff can register for SEDS training by utilizing the following link: <u>https://octo.quickbase.com/db/bexfqrx94</u>

6. Question: How do charter LEAs gain access to the Blackman Jones Database?

Answer: Clarence J. Parks, Education Program Specialist for HOD/SA Implementation, is responsible for ensuring that Independent Charter LEAs have access to the database and will be conducting outreach to all LEAs accordingly. Mr. Parks is also available to provide appropriate training and technical assistance and can be reached at <u>clarence.parks@dc.gov</u> or (202) 741-5098.

7. Question: How is parent involvement measured? How is parent participation being facilitated?

Answer: Parent Involvement is the focus of indicator 8 in the State Performance Plan (SPP) and Annual Performance Report (APR). States are asked to report on the percentage of parents with a child receiving special education services

who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. An outside consultant develops and analyzes a parent questionnaire that is sent out annually. The return rate and parent responses determine the progress/slippage the District is making toward meeting the measurable and rigorous target established in the SPP.

8. Question: We would like additional guidance on students who graduate from high school without diplomas. Do these children receive a Certificate of IEP or a Certificate of Attainment, and what are the guidelines for producing it?

Answer: Information regarding the Certificate of Individualized Educational Program (IEP) completion can be found in the final rulemaking May 11, 2007 to amend Chapter 22 of the Board Rules, Title 5 of the D.C. Municipal Regulations, at: <u>http://www.dcregs.org/Gateway/ChapterHome.aspx?ChapterNumber=5-E22</u>

9. Question: Why do we have the Blackman Jones database?

Answer: Per the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and the August 24, 2006 Consent Decree in *Blackman-Jones v. The District of Columbia* (Civ. Action Nos 97-1629 and 97-2402), LEAs are required to ensure timely implementation of all Hearing Officer Determinations (HODs) and Settlement Agreements (SAs) for children enrolled in the LEA. Newly promulgated DC Municipal Regulations (DCMR)- Title 5, Chapter 30, § 3019.3, published as a final rule on December 4, 2009, reinforced the obligations of all LEAs to comply with the IDEA and its implementing regulations (34 C.F.R. Part 300) including, without limitation, the following:

(h) An LEA Charter is responsible for responding to any due process complaint made in respect of a child enrolled in the LEA Charter, including any child who attends a nonpublic school.

Title 5, Chapter 30, § 3019.3 can be found at: <u>http://seo.dc.gov/seo/frames.asp?doc=/seo/lib/seo/Title 5 Ch 30 Section 301</u> <u>9 Charter Schools FINAL.pdf</u>

DCMR § 3019.10 (*Hearing Officer Determinations and Settlement Agreements*) also requires that a final Hearing Officer Determination (HOD) or Settlement Agreement (SA) resulting from the filing of a due process complaint and an SA resulting from mediation shall be binding upon the parties to the due process complaint and/or SA.

Additionally, under the terms of the December 2007 Blackman Jones Consent Decree Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) agreement, OSSE is responsible for ensuring Charter LEA compliance with the terms of the consent decree, including tracking and reporting on the timeliness of implementation of HODs and Settlement Agreements.

10. Question: If there is an HOD/SA in place from another LEA, what are the obligations/commitments of the receiving LEA?

Answer: If a student comes to an LEA with an HOD/SA from another LEA, the LEA is required to cooperate with the LEA bound by the HOD/SA in the immediate implementation of the terms of the HOD/SA. It is important for the receiving LEA to immediately check the Blackman Jones database when a child enrolls in order to assist the LEA bound by the HOD/SA in the timely implementation of any HOD or SAs.

11. Question: How would a LEA know if a state complaint has been filed against it?

Answer: If OSSE receives a State Complaint involving an LEA and determines that the complaint meets the requirements of the IDEA applicable to state complaints, then the OSSE State Complaint Office will notify the LEA that a complaint has been filed and give the LEA the opportunity to respond to the complaint. [34 CFR 300.152(a)] Under the IDEA regulations, the complainant is also required to forward a copy of the complaint to the LEA at the same time that the party files a complaint with the OSSE. [34 CFR 300.153(d)]

12. Question: Does the Special Education Coordinator have to be certified?

Answer: The function of the SEC can be filled by various qualified professionals as designated by the LEA. However, some LEAs create and advertise a position description specific to the role. OSSE does not define requirements or have a specific certification for this position.

13. Question: Does the Special Education teacher have to be certified if the teacher is doing pullouts? If a special education coordinator or a special education teacher is doing pullouts, would having just a special education background be sufficient (rather than a special education certification)?

Answer: Pursuant to federal (34 CFR § 300.18(b)(1)) and state requirements (5 DCMR §1601.1) for highly qualified Special Education teachers, resource teachers in DC Public Schools (DCPS) that ONLY push-in/pull-out and/or provide collaborative/consultative services must have a valid DC license in Special Education; and charter LEA teachers must have a Bachelor's degree. For more

information, please contact: Stacy Hardman, Credentialing Assistant at <u>stacy.hardman@dc.gov</u> or 202-741-5881. The link to Educator Licensure is: <u>http://osse.dc.gov/seo/cwp/view,a,1224,q,562481.asp</u>

14. Question: What are examples of multiple methods of engaging parents in IEP/Eligibility meetings? What is evidence of this?

Answer: Examples of multiple methods of engaging parents in IEP/Eligibility meetings would be documentation of telephone contacts, copies of written correspondence mailed, and documentation of attempts to send correspondence by certified mail.

15. Question: Is Easy IEP (SEDS) mandated for independent charter schools?

Answer: SEDS was optional in the 2008-2009 year, but if an LEA agreed to participate at the beginning of the school year, then they were obligated to keep their data accurate. Use of SEDS became mandatory for the 2009-2010 year.

16. Question: With DCPS as the LEA for our charter school, how will services be individualized in terms of improvement at our local site if changes are necessary?

Answer: It is the responsibility of the charter LEA to ensure that special education service delivery is both high quality and legally compliant. DCPS is responsible for monitoring charters that elected DCPS as the LEA for special education to ensure that schools are providing services to special education students as required by both federal and local law. When OSSE monitors DCPS as an LEA, this monitoring includes DCPS charters.

On December 4, 2009, OSSE published final rules for Charter Schools in Title 5, subtitle E, Chapter 30, Section 3019 of the *District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (DCMR)*. These regulations clarify the roles and responsibilities of LEA Charters, OSSE, and the District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS) regarding children enrolled in charter schools who are eligible for special education services. The regulations were created to ensure alignment between DC law and regulations with the intent of IDEA 2004 and related 2006 regulations that specify requirements of LEAs, and can be found at: http://www.dcregs.org/Gateway/RuleHome.aspx?RuleNumber=5-E3019

DCPS charters that have additional questions related to special education responsibilities should contact the DCPS Office of Special Education for additional information.

17. Question: How do LEAs report on SPP indicators? Does each school report or is there a tiered system? If each school reports out, to whom do they report?

Answer: LEAs that have multiple schools must collect required data from all sites before reporting the data to OSSE.

18. Question: Please provide clarification and written guidance on 120 or 60 day time period for evaluations to be completed.

Answer: DC Code currently provides a 120 day timeline for initial evaluation and eligibility determination, which is permitted under Federal law (States may set longer timelines than the 60 days [34 CFR 300.301(c)(1)(ii)]).

19. Question: What exactly does timely "evaluation or re-evaluation" mean?

Answer: The District's timelines for <u>initial</u> evaluations are:

- i. 120 days from written referral of the student for an evaluation or assessment through to eligibility determination [D.C. Code § 38-2561.02(a)]
- ii. 30 days from eligibility determination to development of the initial IEP [34 CFR 300.323(c)]

The federal timeline for <u>reevaluation</u> is that the team must have met and determined continued eligibility and service needs within three years of the date of the last evaluation. [34 CFR 300.303] OSSE recommends that LEAs begin the reevaluation process at least 90 days before the continued eligibility determination is due.

20. Question: Are the timelines measured in school days or calendar days?

Answer: "Days" means calendar days [34 CFR 300.11].

21. Question: I understand that students should not be discriminated against in a charter school's admissions process based on whether or not a child has an IEP; however, if a student needs full time services and the LEA does not provide a full time placement, both the student and school suffer.

Answer: As outlined in the Charter Regulations issued December 4, 2009 (Title 5, Subtitle E, Chapter 30, Section 3019 of the *District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (DCMR)*) referenced above, all LEAs are required by IDEA to provide a full continuum of services that ensures a Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE).

22. Question: Will charter schools continue to be financially responsible for paying for psycho-educational, speech language, clinical, etc., when student was previously enrolled at DCPS?

Answer: Yes. Please see the Charter Regulations referenced above.

OSEP Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) Key Elements and Reporting Timeframes

Key Performance Indicators:

- Timeliness of Initial Evaluations and Reevaluations
- Implementation of Hearing Officer Decisions
- Least Restrictive Environment
- Disproportionate Representation and Timeliness of Due Process Hearings Secondary Transition

Report	Reporting Period	Report Due Date
First Report	September 4, 2009 – December 4, 2009	January 11, 2010
Second Report	December 5, 2009 – March 5, 2010	April 1, 2010
Third Report	March 6, 2010 – June 6, 2010	July 1, 2010
Fourth Report	June 7, 2010 - September 1, 2010	October 1, 2010
Fifth Report	September 2, 2010 – December 1, 2010	January 10, 2011
Sixth Report	December 2, 2010 – February 1, 2011	March 1, 2011

September 4, 2009 through December 4, 2009 Reporting Period Numerical Benchmarks

DSE Reporting	Benchmark Target	Description of Required Information						
	Initial Evaluations and Reevaluations							
65.4%	75%	Report the percent of initial evaluations and placements provided to children with disabilities whose initial evaluation deadlines fell within the reporting period that were conducted in a timely manner.						
26.7%	45%	Report the percent of children (a) who as of the end of the previous reporting period (September 3, 2009), had not been provided a timely initial evaluation and placement (backlog) and (b) whose initial evaluation and placement became overdue during the reporting period, that were provided initial evaluations and placements during the reporting period.						
		(See section 2.A 1. (a), (b), and (c) of Enclosure E of the July 1, 2009 FFY 2009 Part B grant award letter. To calculate the percentage: (c) divided by (a) + (b) times 100).						
61%	75%	Report the percent of triennial reevaluations provided to children with disabilities whose reevaluation deadlines fell within the reporting period that were conducted in a timely manner.						
39.2% 45%		Report the percent of children (a) who, as of the end of the previous reporting period (09/03/2009), had not been provided a timely triennial reevaluation (backlog) and (b) whose triennial reevaluation became overdue during the reporting period, that were provided triennial reevaluations during the reporting period.						
		(See section 2.A 2. (a), (b), and (c) of Enclosure E of the July 1, 2009 FFY 2009 Part B grant award letter. To calculate the percentage: (c) divided by (a) + (b) times 100).						
	Implementati	on of Due Process Hearing Determinations (HODs)						
67.3%	50%	Report the percent of hearing officer determinations ¹ that were implemente a timely manner during the reporting period.						
63.2%	80%	Report the percent of children whose hearing officer determinations, as of the end of the previous reporting period (September 3, 2009), had not been implemented within the required time frame (backlog) and whose hearing officer determinations had not been implemented within the required time frame during the reporting period that had hearing officer determinations implemented during the reporting period.						
		(See section 2.B.1. (a), (b) and (c) of Enclosure E of the July 1, 2009 FFY 2009 Part B grant award letter. To calculate the percentage: (c) divided by (a) + (b) times 100).						

¹ For purposes of this benchmark, "hearing officer determinations" does not include settlement agreements and the benchmark is calculated on a per child basis, not per hearing officer determination in cases where the same child has more than one hearing officer determination.

OSSE Secondary Transition File Review

LEA Name Student Name Record Review Completed by Item # Regulation		School Name Student ID Date of Record Review Response Criteria Y		N	NA	Teacher Name Date of Birth N Corrective Actions: Student Level and LEA Level	
/ Authority						Student Level: Convene IEP team to develop appropriate	
STR -1 §300.320(b)	There is an appropriate measurable postsecondary goal that addresses education OR training after high school.	 Yes = The IEP contains at least one appropriate postsecondary goal in the area of education or training that is: Measurable Aligns with PLOP AND Aligns with assessment results No = The IEP does not contain a postsecondary goal in the area of education or training or the goal is not measureable or the goal does not align with present levels of performance and assessment results.				 goal. LEA Level: LEA must: develop appropriate secondary transition policy, draft policy, and/or procedure(s), provide documentation of transmittal of policy to all staff members and within 60 days (of report) document that all IEPs developed within last 60 days contain appropriate transition goals. Provide documentation of the above to OSSE. 	
STR – 2 §300.320(b)	There is an appropriate measurable postsecondary goal that addresses employment after high school.	 Yes = The IEP contains at least one appropriate postsecondary goal in the area of employment that is: Measurable Aligns with PLOP AND Aligns with assessment results 				Student Level: Convene IEP team to develop appropriate goal. LEA Level: LEA must: - develop appropriate secondary transition policy, draft policy, and/or	

OSSE Secondary Transition File Review

		No = The IEP does not contain a postsecondary goal in the area of employment or the goal is not measureable or the goal does not align with present levels of performance and assessment results.		procedure(s), - provide documentation of transmittal of policy to all staff members and - within 60 days (of report) document that all IEPs developed within last 60 days contain appropriate transition goals. Provide documentation of the above to OSSE.
STR - 3 §300.320(b)	If needed, there is an appropriate measurable postsecondary goal that addresses independent living.	 Yes = The IEP contains at least one appropriate postsecondary goal in the area of independent living that is: Measurable Aligns with PLOP AND Aligns with assessment results No= The IEP does not contain a postsecondary goal in the area of independent living or the goal is not measureable or the goal does not align with present levels of performance and assessment results. NA= An independent living goal is not appropriate for the student. 		Student Level: Convene IEP team to develop appropriate goal. LEA Level: LEA must: - develop appropriate secondary transition policy, draft policy, and/or procedure(s), - provide documentation of transmittal of policy to all staff members and - within 60 days (of report) document that all IEPs developed within last 60 days contain appropriate transition goals. Provide documentation of the above to OSSE.
STR - 4 §300.320(b)	Postsecondary goal(s) are updated annually.	 Yes= The file contains evidence that postsecondary goals were updated within the past year. (Or, this is the first IEP for the student which contains transition goals.) No= There is no evidence that the postsecondary goals have been updated within the past year. 		Student Level: Convene IEP team to develop appropriate goal. LEA Level: LEA must: - develop appropriate secondary transition policy, draft policy, and/or procedure(s), - provide documentation of transmittal of policy to all staff members and - within 60 days (of report) document that

USSE Secondary Transition File Review					
					all IEPs developed within last 60 days contain appropriate transition goals.
					Provide documentation of the above to OSSE.
STR - 5 §300.320(b)	Postsecondary goal(s) are based on age appropriate transition assessments.	Yes = The file contains documentation that age appropriate transition assessment(s) were used (date administered and results listed) to develop student's postsecondary goals. No = The file does NOT contain documentation that age appropriate transition assessment(s) were used to develop student's postsecondary goals.			Student Level: Conduct age appropriate transition assessment(s) and convene IEP meeting to review results.LEA Level: Provide training for IEP members related to transition assessments.Provide documentation of the above to OSSE.
STR - 6 §300.320	There are transition services in the IEP that will assist the student to meet postsecondary goal(s).	Yes = Transition services are present in the IEP. No = Transition services are NOT present in the IEP.			Student Level: Convene IEP meeting to identify transition services. LEA Level: Provide training for IEP members concerning transition services. Provide documentation of the above to OSSE.
STR - 7 §300.320(b)(2)	Transition services include courses of study that will enable the student to meet postsecondary goal(s).	Yes = Courses of study are included in the transition services. No = Courses of study are NOT included in the transition services.			Student Level: Convene IEP meeting to identify transition services, including courses of study.LEA Level: Provide training for IEP members concerning transition services, including courses of study.Provide documentation of the review to OSSE.
STR - 8	There is evidence that	Yes = File contains the student's invitation to			Student Level:

OSSE Secondary Transition File Review

§300.321 (b)	the student was invited to the IEP meeting.	the IEP meeting. No = File does NOT contain the student's invitation to the IEP meeting.	In student's next annual IEP, invite and document the invitation of, the student to the IEP meeting. LEA Level: Provide training concerning invited/required transition IEP participants. Provide documentation of the above to OSSE.		
STR - 9 §300.321(b)	If appropriate, there is evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP team meeting WITH the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	 Yes = File contains evidence that a representative from a participating agency was invited to the IEP meeting AND parent/student consent for inviting participating agency was obtained. No = One or both of the following documentation was NOT found: IEP invitation to representative from participating agency, parent/student consent to invite representative from participating agency. NA = No participating agency appropriate. (If no transition services listed and are likely to be provided/paid for by an outside agency, then NA.) 	Student Level:If appropriate in student's next annual IEP, there is evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP meeting with prior consent of parent or student (who has reached the age of majority).LEA Level: Review next 10 transition IEPs (or if less than 10, review all) for evidence of invitation to, and parent/student consent of invitation to, representative of participating agency. (Documentation to be included in justification section on LRE page of IEP.)Provide documentation of the above to OSSE.		