



ENCLOSURE 2

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2012 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| LEA: | Meridian Public Charter School |
| Final Percentage Rating: | 83% |
| Determination Level: | Meets Requirements |

SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED

| Element | Element Description | Determination Criteria | Number of Points Achieved | Number of Points Possible |
|----------------|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indicator 4b – compliant • Indicator 9 – compliant • Indicator 10 – noncompliant • Indicator 11 – noncompliant • Indicator 12 – N/A • Indicator 13 – N/A | 2 | 4 |
| 2 | Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All data are submitted timely | 4 | 4 |
| 3a | Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 75-89% of areas reviewed in compliance | 1 | 2 |
| 3b | Dispute resolution findings | LEA has 51-100 students with IEPs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No dispute resolution complaints were filed against the LEA or 0-8 findings of noncompliance | 2 | 2 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| 4 | Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 • Type of Auditor’s A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – 4 • Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 • Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 • Auditor’s designation as low-risk sub-recipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 • Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4 • Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4 • Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA’s compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timely LEA submission of Phase I and Phase II applications and reimbursement for a minimum of 45% of its IDEA, Section 611 funds within the first 15 months of the FFY 2012 grants cycle | 4 | 4 |
| 6 | Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LEA in compliance with the IDEA MOE requirement and LEA reported on MOE to OSSE timely | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LEA did not meet District of Columbia FFY 2012 AMO math targets for the disability subgroup – 0 • LEA did not meet District of Columbia FFY 2012 AMO reading targets for the disability subgroup – 0 | 0 | 2 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----|-----|
| 8 | Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance (points added to total score) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LEA was not issued any findings of noncompliance from FFY 2012 that were due for correction in FFY 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BONUS: LEA has no longstanding noncompliance from FFY 2011, 2010 and 2009 | 1 | |
| Total Number of Points Achieved | | | | 20 |
| Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements | | | | 24 |
| Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements | | | | 83% |