

## **ENCLOSURE 2**

## FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2012 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS

LEA:	Howard University Middle School of Math and Science PCS	
Final Percentage Rating:	81%	
Determination Level:	Meets Requirements	

## **SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED**

Element	Element Description	Determination Criteria	Number of Points Achieved	Number of Points Possible
1	History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13)	<ul> <li>Indicator 4b – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 9 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 10 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 11 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 12 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 13 – N/A</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A
2	Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data	All data are submitted timely	4	4
<b>3</b> a	Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring	LEA did not receive a report in FFY 2012 as the result of an on-site monitoring visit	N/A	N/A
3b	Dispute resolution findings	<ul> <li>LEA has 0-25 students with IEPS</li> <li>No dispute resolution complaints were filed against the LEA or 0-2 findings of noncompliance</li> </ul>	2	2

4	Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports	<ul> <li>Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – N/A</li> <li>Type of Auditor's A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – N/A</li> <li>Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – N/A</li> <li>Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – N/A</li> <li>Auditor's designation as low-risk subrecipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – N/A</li> <li>Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4</li> <li>Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4</li> <li>Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4</li> </ul>	4	4
5	Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA's compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data	Neither element was met	0	4
6	Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement	LEA in compliance with the IDEA MOE requirement and LEA reported on MOE to OSSE timely	2	2
7	Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators	LEA did not meet minimum "n" size for disability subgroup	N/A	N/A

8	Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance (points added to total score)	<ul> <li>LEA was not issued any findings of noncompliance from FFY 2012 that were due for correction in FFY 2013</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A
		<ul> <li>BONUS: LEA has no longstanding noncompliance from FFY 2011, 2010 and 2009</li> </ul>	1	
Total Number of Points Achieved				13
Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements			16	
Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements				81%