

ENCLOSURE 2

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2012 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS

LEA:	Hope Community Academy Public Charter School	
Final Percentage Rating:	95%	
Determination Level:	Meets Requirements	

SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED

Element	Element Description	Determination Criteria	Number of Points Achieved	Number of Points Possible
1	History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13)	 Indicator 4b – compliant Indicator 9 – compliant Indicator 10 – compliant Indicator 11 – noncompliant Indicator 12 – N/A Indicator 13 – N/A 	3	4
2	Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data	All data are submitted timely	4	4
За	Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring	 LEA did not receive a report in FFY 2012 as the result of an on-site monitoring visit 	N/A	N/A
3b	Dispute resolution findings	 LEA has 51-100 students with IEPs No dispute resolution complaints were filed against the LEA or 0-8 findings of noncompliance 	2	2

4	Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports	 Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 Type of Auditor's A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – 4 Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 Auditor's designation as low-risk subrecipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4 Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4 Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that as required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4 	4	4
5	Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA's compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data	• Timely LEA submission of Phase I and Phase II applications and reimbursement for a minimum of 45% of its IDEA, Section 611 funds within the first 15 months of the FFY 2012 grants cycle	4	4
6	Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement	 LEA in compliance with the IDEA MOE requirement and LEA reported on MOE to OSSE timely 	2	2
7	Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators	 LEA met District of Columbia FFY 2012 AMO math targets for the disability subgroup – 1 LEA did not meet District of Columbia FFY 2012 AMO reading targets for the disability subgroup – 0 	1	2

8	Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance (points added to total score)	 LEA was not issued any findings of noncompliance from FFY 2012 that were due for correction in FFY 2013 	N/A	N/A
		 BONUS: LEA has no longstanding noncompliance from FFY 2011, 2010 and 2009 	1	
Total Number of Points Achieved				21
Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements			22	
	Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements			95%