



**ENCLOSURE 2**

**FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2012 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS**

<b>LEA:</b>	Excel Academy Public Charter School
<b>Final Percentage Rating:</b>	97%
<b>Determination Level:</b>	Meets Requirements

**SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED**

<b>Element</b>	<b>Element Description</b>	<b>Determination Criteria</b>	<b>Number of Points Achieved</b>	<b>Number of Points Possible</b>
<b>1</b>	History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Indicator 4b – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 9 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 10 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 11 – noncompliant</li> <li>Indicator 12 – N/A</li> <li>Indicator 13 – N/A</li> </ul>	0	1
<b>2</b>	Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All data are submitted timely</li> </ul>	4	4
<b>3a</b>	Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LEA did not receive a report in FFY 2012 as the result of an on-site monitoring visit</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A
<b>3b</b>	Dispute resolution findings	LEA has 51-100 students with IEPs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No dispute resolution complaints were filed against the LEA or 0-8 findings of noncompliance</li> </ul>	2	2

4	Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>• Type of Auditor’s A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>• Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>• Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4</li> <li>• Auditor’s designation as low-risk sub-recipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 0</li> <li>• Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4</li> <li>• Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4</li> <li>• Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4</li> </ul>	3.5	4
5	Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA’s compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timely LEA submission of Phase I and Phase II applications and reimbursement for a minimum of 45% of its IDEA, Section 611 funds within the first 15 months of the FFY 2012 grants cycle</li> </ul>	4	4
6	Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LEA in compliance with the IDEA MOE requirement and LEA reported on MOE to OSSE timely</li> </ul>	2	2
7	Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LEA did not meet minimum “n” size for disability subgroup</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A

8	Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance (points added to total score)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LEA was not issued any findings of noncompliance from FFY 2012 that were due for correction in FFY 2013</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BONUS: LEA has no longstanding noncompliance from FFY 2011, 2010 and 2009</li> </ul>	1	
<b>Total Number of Points Achieved</b>				16.5
<b>Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements</b>				17
<b>Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements</b>				97%