



ENCLOSURE 2

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2011 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS

LEA:	Community Academy Public Charter School
Final Percentage Rating:	52%
Determination Level:	Needs Intervention

SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED

Element	Element Description	Determination	Number of Points Achieved	Number of Points Possible
1	History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indicator 4b –in compliance • Indicator 9 – in compliance • Indicator 10 – in compliance • Indicator 11 –not in compliance • Indicator 12 – N/A • Indicator 13 – N/A 	3	4
2	Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not all data are submitted timely 	0	4
3a	Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LEA did not receive a report in FFY 2011 as the result of an on-site monitoring visit 	N/A	N/A
3b	Dispute resolution findings	LEA has more than 100 students with IEPs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0-16 findings of noncompliance 	2	2

4	Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points • Type of Auditor’s A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – 4 points • Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points • Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points • Auditor’s designation as low-risk sub-recipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 0 points • Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4 points • Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4 points • Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4 points 	3.5 (average points)	4 (average points)
5	Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA’s compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timely LEA submission of Phase I and Phase II applications and reimbursement for a minimum of 45% of its IDEA, Section 611 funds within the first 15 months of the FFY 2011 grants cycle 	4	4
6	Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LEA did not report on MOE timely to OSSE 	0	2
7	Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LEA did not meet District of Columbia FFY 2011 AYP targets for the disability subgroup 	0	2

8	Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less than 90% of noncompliance corrected within one year after the identification of the noncompliance 	0	2
Total Number of Points Achieved			12.50	
Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements			24	
Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements			52%	